An Overview of Number Field Counting

Frank Thorne

University of South Carolina

Québec-Vermont Number Theory Seminar

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

Definition For any integer $d \ge 1$, write

$$N_d(X) := \#\{K : [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d, |\operatorname{Disc}(K)| < X\}.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

臣

Definition For any integer d > 1, write

$$N_d(X) := \#\{K : [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d, |\operatorname{Disc}(K)| < X\}.$$

and for each transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$,

 $N_d(X,G) := \#\{K \ : \ [K:\mathbb{Q}] = d, \ |\mathrm{Disc}(K)| < X, \ \mathrm{Gal}(K^c/\mathbb{Q}) = G\},$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Definition

For any integer $d \ge 1$, write

$$N_d(X) := \#\{K : [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d, |\operatorname{Disc}(K)| < X\}.$$

and for each transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$,

 $N_d(X,G) := \#\{K \ : \ [K:\mathbb{Q}] = d, \ |\mathrm{Disc}(K)| < X, \ \mathrm{Gal}(K^c/\mathbb{Q}) = G\},$

so that

$$N_d(X) = \sum_{\substack{G \subseteq S_d \ \text{transitive}}} N_d(X, G).$$

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→ -

Definition

For any integer $d \ge 1$, write

$$N_d(X) := \#\{K : [K : \mathbb{Q}] = d, |\operatorname{Disc}(K)| < X\}.$$

and for each transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$,

 $N_d(X,G) := \#\{K \ : \ [K:\mathbb{Q}] = d, \ |\mathrm{Disc}(K)| < X, \ \mathrm{Gal}(K^c/\mathbb{Q}) = G\},$

so that

$$N_d(X) = \sum_{\substack{G \subseteq S_d \ \text{transitive}}} N_d(X, G).$$

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→ -

Theorem (Finiteness – Hermite) For each d and X, $N_d(X)$ is finite.

(同) (目)

Theorem (Finiteness – Hermite) For each d and X, $N_d(X)$ is finite.

Theorem (Minkowski's Lower Bound) If $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = d$, then

$$|\operatorname{Disc}(\mathcal{K})| \geq \left(\frac{d^d}{d!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^d.$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

Theorem (Finiteness – Hermite) For each d and X, $N_d(X)$ is finite.

Theorem (Minkowski's Lower Bound) If $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = d$, then

$$|\operatorname{Disc}(\mathcal{K})| \geq \left(\frac{d^d}{d!}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^d$$

In other words,

$$N_d(X) = 0$$
 for $X < (5.803 \cdots + o(1))^d$.

▶ < Ξ > <</p>

Conjecture

For every *d* and transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$,

X big enough
$$\implies N_d(X, G) \neq 0.$$

▶ < ≣ ▶

Conjecture

For every *d* and transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$,

X big enough
$$\implies N_d(X,G) \neq 0.$$

Proof.

(Your Name Here)

• • = •

Conjecture In fact we have

$$N_d(X,G) \sim c(G) X^{1/a(G)} (\log X)^{b(G)},$$

where $a(G) \ge 1$ and $b(G) \ge 0$ are explicitly described integers.

Conjecture In fact we have

$$N_d(X,G) \sim c(G) X^{1/a(G)} (\log X)^{b(G)},$$

where $a(G) \ge 1$ and $b(G) \ge 0$ are explicitly described integers.

Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)

・ 母 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

臣

Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.

▶ < 프 ► < 프 ►</p>

Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.
- Parametrization methods (Davenport-Heilbronn, Bhargava, ...)

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.
- Parametrization methods (Davenport-Heilbronn, Bhargava, ...)
 Count lattice points in prehomogeneous representations.

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.
- Parametrization methods (Davenport-Heilbronn, Bhargava, ...) Count lattice points in prehomogeneous representations.
 Brilliant when it works.

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.
- Parametrization methods (Davenport-Heilbronn, Bhargava, ...)
 Count lattice points in prehomogeneous representations.
 Brilliant when it works.
- ► Inductive methods (Klüners, Cohen-Diaz-Olivier, ...)

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.
- Parametrization methods (Davenport-Heilbronn, Bhargava, ...) Count lattice points in prehomogeneous representations.
 Brilliant when it works.
- Inductive methods (Klüners, Cohen-Diaz-Olivier, ...)
 Obtain old results from new.

- Generator methods (Schmidt, Ellenberg-Venkatesh, ...)
 Count in terms of appropriate algebraic integers.
 Usually seeking upper bounds.
- Abelian methods (Cohn, Wright, Mäki, ...)
 Use class field theory and/or Kummer theory.
 Get good results when G is abelian.
- Parametrization methods (Davenport-Heilbronn, Bhargava, ...) Count lattice points in prehomogeneous representations.
 Brilliant when it works.
- Inductive methods (Klüners, Cohen-Diaz-Olivier, ...) Obtain old results from new.
 Expand the scope of existing methods.

(品) (目) (

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a generator of \mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q} , then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})| &= \operatorname{Disc}(\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]) \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]^{-2} \\ &= \operatorname{Disc}(\mathsf{minpoly}_{\alpha}) \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

伺 と く ヨ と く

∃ >

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is a generator of K/\mathbb{Q} , then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Disc}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})| &= \operatorname{Disc}(\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]) \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]^{-2} \\ &= \operatorname{Disc}(\mathsf{minpoly}_{\alpha}) \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]]^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Schmidt)

For each d we have

 $N_d(X) \ll X^{\frac{d+2}{4}}.$

Frank Thorne Number Field Counting

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶

æ

▶ By Minkowski's theory, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with trace 0 and $||\alpha||_{\sigma} \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}$ for all embeddings $\sigma : K \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.

- ▶ By Minkowski's theory, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with trace 0 and $||\alpha||_{\sigma} \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}$ for all embeddings $\sigma : K \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.
- Assume that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K$. (If not, induct.)

- ▶ By Minkowski's theory, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with trace 0 and $||\alpha||_{\sigma} \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}$ for all embeddings $\sigma : K \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.
- Assume that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K$. (If not, induct.)
- The minimal polynomial of α is

minpoly_{$$\alpha$$}(x) = $\prod_{\sigma} (x - \sigma(\alpha)) = x^n + a_2(\alpha)x^{n-2} + \dots + a_n(\alpha)$,
with $a_i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a_i(\alpha)| \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{i}{2n-2}}$.

- ▶ By Minkowski's theory, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with trace 0 and $||\alpha||_{\sigma} \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}$ for all embeddings $\sigma : K \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.
- Assume that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K$. (If not, induct.)
- The minimal polynomial of α is

minpoly_{\alpha}(x) =
$$\prod_{\sigma} (x - \sigma(\alpha)) = x^n + a_2(\alpha)x^{n-2} + \dots + a_n(\alpha)$$
,
with $a_i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a_i(\alpha)| \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{i}{2n-2}}$.

• There are at most $O(X^{\frac{d+2}{4}})$ possibilities.

- ▶ By Minkowski's theory, there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with trace 0 and $||\alpha||_{\sigma} \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{1}{2n-2}}$ for all embeddings $\sigma : K \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.
- Assume that $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = K$. (If not, induct.)
- The minimal polynomial of α is

minpoly_{\alpha}(x) =
$$\prod_{\sigma} (x - \sigma(\alpha)) = x^n + a_2(\alpha)x^{n-2} + \dots + a_n(\alpha)$$
,
with $a_i(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|a_i(\alpha)| \ll |\text{Disc}(K)|^{\frac{i}{2n-2}}$.

• There are at most $O(X^{\frac{d+2}{4}})$ possibilities.

Given a base number field K.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > .

æ

Given a base number field K.

Theorem (Class Field Theory)

Abelian extensions L/K of degree d and conductor dividing \mathfrak{m} are in bijection with index d quotients of $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(L)$.

Given a base number field K.

Theorem (Class Field Theory)

Abelian extensions L/K of degree d and conductor dividing \mathfrak{m} are in bijection with index d quotients of $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathfrak{m}}(L)$.

Theorem (Kummer Theory)

If in addition $\mu_d \subseteq K$, then abelian extensions L/K of exponent d are in bijection with subgroups of $K^{\times}/(K^{\times})^d$.

Theorem (Cohn, 1954) *We have*

$$\sum_{K \text{ cyclic cubic}} \frac{1}{\text{Disc}(K)^s} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3^{4s}} \right) \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^{2s}} \right).$$

Corollary

We have

$$N_3(X, C_3) \sim rac{11\sqrt{3}}{36\pi} \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}} rac{(p+2)(p-1)}{p(p+1)}.$$

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶
Theorem (Wright, Mäki, but read Wood's treatment) Let G be any abelian group of order n. Then we have

 $\sum_{\operatorname{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})\simeq G} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Disc}(K)^s} = \text{ finite sum of Euler products }.$

Corollary We have

$$N_{|G|}(X,G) \sim c(G)X^{1/a(G)}(\log X)^{b(G)},$$

where a(G) and b(G) are explicit and c(G) is 'explicit'.

Prime degree (Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, Olivier 2002)

"It is claimed that this constant can be explicitly computed as a finite product of local adelic integrals, but in practice this has not been done, even for the simplest Abelian groups G, except for $G = C_2...$ "

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Prime degree (Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, Olivier 2002)

"It is claimed that this constant can be explicitly computed as a a finite product of local adelic integrals, but in practice this has not been done, even for the simplest Abelian groups G, except for $G = C_2...$ "

	CDO-prime-cyclic.pdf (page 3 of 41)	
		8
	Cohen, Diaz y Diaz and Olivier, Cyclic extensions	171
Theorem 1.1 of prime ideals of	• Let K be a number field of signature (r_1, r_2) . Let \mathcal{R} (res K which are ramified (resp. totally split) in K_z/K . Then	sp. D) be the set
	$N_{K,\ell}(C_{\ell}, X^{\ell-1}) \sim c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 X \log^{q_t-1} X$	
with		
	$c_1 = rac{\left(\prod\limits_{d\mid d_d} \zeta_{\mathcal{K}_i\mid d\mid}(d)^{\mu(d)} ight)^{q_1}}{d_z \ell'^{z+r_i} q_2!},$	
	$c_2 = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathscr{D}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{\ell - 1}{\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p}} \right) \prod_{d \mid d_2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p}^d} \right)^{(\ell - 1)\mu(d)/d} \right),$	
	$c_{3} = \left(\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathscr{R}} \prod_{d \mid d_{i}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{p}^{df}(\mathfrak{p}_{d}/\mathfrak{p})}\right)^{g(\mathfrak{p}_{d}/\mathfrak{p})\mu(d)}\right)^{q_{i}},$	
	$c_4 = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \ell, \mathfrak{p} \notin \mathscr{D}} \left(1 + \frac{\ell - 1}{\mathscr{N}\mathfrak{p}} - \frac{\ell - 1 - r(e(\mathfrak{p}))(1 - 1/\mathscr{N}\mathfrak{p})}{\mathscr{N}\mathfrak{p}^{\lceil r(\mathfrak{p})/(\ell - 1)\rceil}} \right),$	
where $r_z = 0$ if ζ_c	$\in K$, while $r_z = r_1 - 1$ otherwise, and by abuse of notation,	for any number

field L we write $\zeta_L(1)$ for the residue of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_L(s)$ at s = 1.

The parametrization method

هن رسالن ب سويدان تقسم ببعرامي آسدمرطامي آسدة دبستهن عبط تعطرشل آدديخر جكوه ترج على التقريرية وسبترا ولا من كنسترة بالمات و ، مركز الدلين و ، ويضع بصي القط فالأنزل الأنبعيت المتحض والتحليل الحام معلوم تكبيط لمذالصنه فعبدوا تحاسعة ومرجعاة وبخرج أت _ المفاطعات على دوابا فانه وبخرج تمودي كمحل نسبراً • السيكسندق للوت ونخرج عودى فكرط طرم وتم الخطآ بسال جلباخط تم شل آه فلان سنراه الم م كمسترق لايت ديتم مثل آه يكون نستريتم المانية حزب سيم في ترمسا وبالعرب لا في تم كما بسرادلدسرف تومرة الاصرل دخرستم لناتر شلسط سدد وخرب وتحافق شلاطح بآفيفكرن الجسكم سأسام فأسطح فكح وتخعل طحاط شتركافكون عوطم مباديا تسج ولذفان علبا فطعارت لالمقا وخطا عدقم فآم دبمرعلى تعطرة كالمسرا لجريم س فينط مرالغا لترالا وف تركما الخوطات والشكاق وة مراجا لذالثاني مرجعًا الكتاب اذ هذا العل بمجين الاشكال الملتدفان ذلك الشطع الرابر يمصط تعقد ولاعتد كاستر يمتط لمط كمالك كمالك المتأمرض المقالتان المير كما الخوطات وتشطرة مسلمة المصرد خطت مسلما ارمي والعدالا ان معطراً عندانتركيب بنرم لمعتز الوصولا عالمكات سلمة المصر لكات معلَّمة سلر مدّ المصبر لايعطاء وسلمد العثير فديكون خطاسيج سلم العشر ولكامنا لشكل سلما فكراس

< □ > < □ > < □ > □ Ξ

Intersections of conics

Example. Solve $x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 - 5x + 1 = 0$.

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→ ----

æ

Intersections of conics

Example. Solve $x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 - 5x + 1 = 0$.

$$y = x^2$$
, $y^2 - yx + 3y - 5x + 1 = 0$.

▲□→ ▲ □→ ▲ □→ ----

æ

Intersections of conics

Example. Solve $x^4 - x^3 + 3x^2 - 5x + 1 = 0$.

$$y = x^2$$
, $y^2 - yx + 3y - 5x + 1 = 0$.



Theorem (Bhargava, Annals, 2004)

There exists an explicit, discriminant preserving bijection between the following two sets:

Theorem (Bhargava, Annals, 2004)

There exists an explicit, discriminant preserving bijection between the following two sets:

 GL₃(ℤ) × GL₂(ℤ)-orbits on the lattice (Sym²ℤ³ ⊗ ℤ²) of pairs of integral ternary quartic forms.

Theorem (Bhargava, Annals, 2004)

There exists an explicit, discriminant preserving bijection between the following two sets:

- GL₃(ℤ) × GL₂(ℤ)-orbits on the lattice (Sym²ℤ³ ⊗ ℤ²) of pairs of integral ternary quartic forms.
- ▶ Pairs (Q, R), where Q is a quartic ring and R is a cubic resolvent of Q.

4 🗇 🕨 🔺 🖻 🕨 🔺

Theorem

There exists an explicit, discriminant preserving bijection between the following two sets:

Theorem

There exists an explicit, discriminant preserving bijection between the following two sets:

► G(Z)-orbits on a lattice V(Z); where G is an algebraic group acting (often prehomogeneously) on a vector space V;

Theorem

There exists an explicit, discriminant preserving bijection between the following two sets:

- ► G(Z)-orbits on a lattice V(Z); where G is an algebraic group acting (often prehomogeneously) on a vector space V;
- Some nice class of arithmetic objects we want to count.

< □ > < □ > < □ >

 Read old papers in representation theory, invariant theory, and commutative algebra for inspiration. Read old papers in representation theory, invariant theory, and commutative algebra for inspiration. (Or Omar Khayyam!)

- Read old papers in representation theory, invariant theory, and commutative algebra for inspiration. (Or Omar Khayyam!)
- ▶ Pick your favorite complex representation (G, V) (which should be defined over Z, and for which the invariant theory should be nice).

- Read old papers in representation theory, invariant theory, and commutative algebra for inspiration. (Or Omar Khayyam!)
- ▶ Pick your favorite complex representation (*G*, *V*) (which should be defined over Z, and for which the invariant theory should be nice).
- ► Try to prove that the G(Z)-orbits on V(Z) parametrize something. Hope to get lucky.

$$N_{3}(X) = \frac{1}{3\zeta(3)}X + \frac{4(1+\sqrt{3})\zeta(1/3)}{5\Gamma(2/3)^{3}\zeta(5/3)}X^{5/6} + O(X^{2/3}(\log X)^{2.09}),$$

< □ > < □ > < □ >

$$N_{3}(X) = \frac{1}{3\zeta(3)}X + \frac{4(1+\sqrt{3})\zeta(1/3)}{5\Gamma(2/3)^{3}\zeta(5/3)}X^{5/6} + O(X^{2/3}(\log X)^{2.09}),$$
$$N_{4}(X, S_{4}) \sim \frac{5}{24}\prod_{p}(1+p^{-2}-p^{-3}-p^{-4})X,$$

▲圖 → ▲ 国 → ▲ 国 →

$$\begin{split} N_3(X) &= \frac{1}{3\zeta(3)} X + \frac{4(1+\sqrt{3})\zeta(1/3)}{5\Gamma(2/3)^3\zeta(5/3)} X^{5/6} + O(X^{2/3}(\log X)^{2.09}), \\ N_4(X,S_4) &\sim \frac{5}{24} \prod_p (1+p^{-2}-p^{-3}-p^{-4})X, \\ N_5(X) &\sim \frac{13}{130} \prod_p (1+p^{-2}-p^{-4}-p^{-5})X. \end{split}$$

▲圖 → ▲ 国 → ▲ 国 →

$$\begin{split} N_3(X) &= \frac{1}{3\zeta(3)} X + \frac{4(1+\sqrt{3})\zeta(1/3)}{5\Gamma(2/3)^3\zeta(5/3)} X^{5/6} + O(X^{2/3}(\log X)^{2.09}), \\ N_4(X,S_4) &\sim \frac{5}{24} \prod_p (1+p^{-2}-p^{-3}-p^{-4})X, \\ N_5(X) &\sim \frac{13}{130} \prod_p (1+p^{-2}-p^{-4}-p^{-5})X. \end{split}$$

These are now lattice point counting problems.

• • E • •

Theorem (Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, Olivier) *We have*

$$N_4(X, D_4) \sim X \cdot \frac{3}{\pi^2} \sum_D \frac{2^{-r_2(D)}}{D^2} \frac{L(1, D)}{L(2, D)},$$

where the sum ranges over all fundamental discriminants $\neq 1$.

Theorem (Cohen, Diaz y Diaz, Olivier) *We have*

$$N_4(X, D_4) \sim X \cdot \frac{3}{\pi^2} \sum_D \frac{2^{-r_2(D)}}{D^2} \frac{L(1, D)}{L(2, D)},$$

where the sum ranges over all fundamental discriminants $\neq 1$.

Theorem (Belabas-Fouvry, Bhargava-Wood) *We have*

$$N_6(X, S_3) \sim \frac{2}{9} \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3^{5/3}} + \frac{2}{3^{7/3}} \right) \prod_{p \neq 3} \left(1 + p^{-1} + p^{-4/3} \right) \cdot X^{1/3}.$$

同 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Theorem (Belabas-Fouvry, Bhargava-Wood) *We have*

$$N_6(X, S_3) \sim \frac{2}{9} \left(\frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3^{5/3}} + \frac{2}{3^{7/3}} \right) \prod_{p \neq 3} \left(1 + p^{-1} + p^{-4/3} \right) \cdot X^{1/3}.$$

Idea: If K is an S₃-cubic with $\text{Disc}(K) = Dn^2$, then $\text{Disc}(\widetilde{K}) = D^3 n^4$ apart from the 2- and 3-adic factors.

 $N_{d|A|}(X, S_d \times A) \sim c(S_d \times A) X^{1/|A|}.$

▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶

$$N_{d|A|}(X, S_d \times A) \sim c(S_d \times A) X^{1/|A|}.$$

Idea: If K is an S_d -field and L is an A-field, then usually K and L are linearly disjoint with

$$N_{d|A|}(X, S_d \times A) \sim c(S_d \times A) X^{1/|A|}.$$

Idea: If K is an S_d -field and L is an A-field, then usually K and L are linearly disjoint with

$$\operatorname{Disc}(KL) = * \cdot \operatorname{Disc}(K)^{|A|} \operatorname{Disc}(L)^d,$$

$$N_{d|A|}(X,S_d \times A) \sim c(S_d \times A) X^{1/|A|}.$$

Idea: If K is an S_d -field and L is an A-field, then usually K and L are linearly disjoint with

$$\operatorname{Disc}(KL) = * \cdot \operatorname{Disc}(K)^{|A|} \operatorname{Disc}(L)^{d},$$

where * is divisible only primes ramified in both K and L.

$$N_{d|A|}(X,S_d imes A)\sim c(S_d imes A)X^{1/|A|}.$$

Idea: If K is an S_d -field and L is an A-field, then usually K and L are linearly disjoint with

$$\operatorname{Disc}(KL) = * \cdot \operatorname{Disc}(K)^{|A|} \operatorname{Disc}(L)^d$$
,

where * is divisible only primes ramified in both K and L.

(This doesn't happen too often.)

Assume a 'weak Malle conjecture' of the form

 $N_d(X,G) \ll X^{3/2}.$

▲御 ▶ ▲ ● ▶

Assume a 'weak Malle conjecture' of the form

 $N_d(X,G) \ll X^{3/2}.$

Then we have

$$N_{2d}(X, C_2 \wr G) \sim c(C_2 \wr G)X.$$

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

Assume a 'weak Malle conjecture' of the form

 $N_d(X,G)\ll X^{3/2}.$

Then we have

$$N_{2d}(X, C_2 \wr G) \sim c(C_2 \wr G)X.$$

Idea. A quadratic extension of a *G*-extension usually has Galois group $C_2 \wr G$.

Assume a 'weak Malle conjecture' of the form

 $N_d(X,G) \ll X^{3/2}.$

Then we have

$$N_{2d}(X, C_2 \wr G) \sim c(C_2 \wr G)X.$$

Idea. A quadratic extension of a *G*-extension usually has Galois group $C_2 \wr G$. Note. $D_4 \simeq C_2 \wr C_2$; subsumes Cohen-Diaz-Olivier as a special case.

Theorem (Alberts, 2018)

Assume that "the m-torsion in class groups is small on average". Then, for every solvable transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$ we have

 $N_d(X,G) \ll X^{1/a(G)+\epsilon}.$

< 🗇 > < 🖻 >
Theorem (Alberts, 2018)

Assume that "the m-torsion in class groups is small on average". Then, for every solvable transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$ we have

 $N_d(X,G) \ll X^{1/a(G)+\epsilon}.$

Further development of the same family of ideas.

Theorem (Alberts, 2018)

Assume that "the m-torsion in class groups is small on average". Then, for every solvable transitive subgroup $G \subseteq S_d$ we have

 $N_d(X,G) \ll X^{1/a(G)+\epsilon}.$

Further development of the same family of ideas.

Also: See further related works by Altuğ, Lemke Oliver, Mehta, Shankar, Taniguchi, Varma, Wilson, and previously named authors (in various permutations).

伺 ト イヨト イ

Theorem (Lemke Oliver-T., 2020) *We have*

 $N_d(X) \ll \dots$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ