These notes will develop the theory of polynomial rings and their ideals.
Throughout, except where explicitly noted to the contrary, we write $R$ for an arbitrary commutative ring with unity. This theory is still interesting if you relax these applications! – but we will concentrate on the most common case.

0.1 Polynomial rings

**Definition 1** We write $R[x]$ for the set of polynomials

$$a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n,$$

where each of the $a_i$ is in $R$.

If $a_n \neq 0$ then we refer to $n$ as the degree of the polynomial. (And if $a_n = 0$ then we rewrite our polynomial so as to omit the zero coefficients, and the degree will be less than $n$.) The degree of a polynomial can be any nonnegative integer, but not that we do not allow infinite power series.

**Theorem 2** If $R$ is a commutative ring with unity, then so is $R[x]$.

The proof of this is rather boring and so we omit it. I presume that you are familiar with addition and multiplication of polynomials, and could verify the associative and distributive laws step by step if forced to.

We also consider polynomial rings in multiple variables. We write $R[x_1, \cdots, x_r]$ for the ring of polynomials in the variables $x_1$ through $x_r$; the elements of $R[x_1, \cdots, x_r]$ are precisely finite sums of terms of the form $ax_1^{e_1}x_2^{e_2}\cdots x_r^{e_r}$, where $a \in R$ and the $e_i$ are all nonnegative numbers.

We can also use other variables (i.e., write $R[x,y]$ for the ring of polynomials with two variables).

**Exercise 1** Explain informally why $R[x,y] = (R[x])[y]$. Generalize!

0.2 Ideals in polynomial rings

Recall that if $R$ is any commutative ring with unity (where we most definitely include the case that $R = S[x]$, where $S$ is some other commutative ring with unity), the principal ideal generated by an element $r \in R$ is the set

$$(r) := \{ra : a \in R\}.$$

**Exercise 2** Prove (in this generality) that any principal ideal is, in fact, an ideal.

In polynomial rings we encounter ideals which are not principal.

**Exercise 3** In the ring $R[x,y]$, let $I$ be the ideal of polynomials of degree at least one. Prove that $I$ is a nonprincipal ideal.
Solution. Suppose that \( I = \langle f \rangle \) for some polynomial \( f \in \mathbb{R}[x,y] \). Then \( x = g_1f \) and \( y = g_2f \) for some polynomials \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \). The only polynomials dividing \( x \) are of the form \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda x \), where \( \lambda \) is a unit of \( \mathbb{R} \), and similarly the only polynomials dividing \( y \) are of the form \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda y \). The only polynomials dividing both are of the form \( \lambda \), and so \( f \) is a unit, but then \( I = \langle f \rangle = \mathbb{R}[x,y] \). This is impossible as \( I \) does not contain any constants.

Exercise 4 If \( I \) and \( J \) are ideals of \( R \), let
\[
I + J := \{a + b : a \in I, b \in J\}.
\]
1. Prove that \( I + J \) is an ideal.
2. Prove that \( I + J \) is the minimal ideal which contains both \( I \) and \( J \). (In other words, prove that \( I + J \) contains \( I \) and \( J \), and that if \( K \) is any other ideal containing \( I \) and \( J \) we have \( I + J \subseteq K \).)
3. Prove that in general \( I + J \neq I \cup J \). (It is enough to find a counterexample with \( R = \mathbb{Z} \).)

Exercise 5 In \( \mathbb{Z} \), prove that the ideal \( (6, 11) \) is principal. (In other words, prove that it equals \( (a) \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \).)

0.3 Polynomial rings as abelian groups

Recall that if \( R \) is any ring whatsoever, then \( (R, +) \) is an abelian group, and any subgroup is normal. In particular, if \( I \) is any ideal of \( R \), then \( (I, +) \) is a normal subgroup of \( R \).

Exercise 6 Review the theory of quotient groups, normal subgroups, and homomorphisms — including Theorem 13.2 of Saracino, or its equivalent in other books or your notes.

Exercise 7 Prove, as abelian groups, that
\[
\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.
\]
The best way to do this is to construct a homomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z} \) and prove that its kernel is \( (x) \).

Exercise 8 Prove, as abelian groups, that
\[
\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z},
\]
that
\[
\mathbb{Z}[x,y]/(x^2, xy, y^2) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z},
\]
and that for any polynomial \( f \) of degree 3 we have
\[
\mathbb{Z}[x]/(f) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Solution. For the first part, define a homomorphism \( \phi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) by
\[
\phi(x_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \cdots) = (a_0, a_1).
\]
Then its kernel is \( (x^2) \), and therefore the result follows by the fundamental theorem of homomorphisms of abelian groups. (The ‘First Isomorphism Theorem’). For the second homomorphism, define it similarly, and take the constant, \( x \), and \( y \) coefficients.

The third question is the Secret Bonus Question and the result is false. For example, if \( f = 2x^3 \), then \( x^3 \) is an element of order 2 in \( \mathbb{Z}[x]/(f) \), but \( \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \) does not contain any nonzero elements of finite order.

Later, we will discuss a quotient ring structure: ‘ideal’ will turn out be the correct analogy of ‘abelian group’.

Exercise 9 Prove, as abelian groups, that
\[
\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}.
\]
Formally we have not yet learned what an isomorphism of rings is, but \( \mathbb{C} \) is an integral domain and \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \) is not, so you can damn well guess that your isomorphism is not an isomorphism of rings.
0.4 Division algorithm for $F[x]$.

Please read Theorem 19.2, including its proof, in Saracino.

Exercise 10 Prove that the theorem still holds if the field $F$ is replaced with any commutative ring with unity $R$, provided that the leading coefficient of $g(X)$ is a unit in $R$.

Moreover, find a counterexample that shows that the condition that the leading coefficient be a unit cannot be removed. (I recommend looking for a counterexample in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.)

0.5 Proving that $F[x]$ is a principal ideal domain

Theorem 3 Let $F$ be a field, and let $I$ be any nonzero ideal of $F[x]$. Then $I$ is a principal ideal.

(We call $F[x]$ a principal ideal domain or PID.)

Proof: Let $n$ be the minimal degree of any polynomial in $I$. Then, if $I \neq F[x]$, we have $n \geq 1$. (Why?) Choose any polynomial $f \in I$ which is of degree $n$. We claim that $I = (f)$. To prove this, suppose $g \in I - f$. Then, by the division algorithm, we may write uniquely

$$ g = f \cdot q + r, $$

where $r$ has degree less than $n$. Now, we have $f \in I$ and $g \in I$, so $r = g - f \cdot q \in I$. Moreover, $r \neq 0$ because $g \notin (f)$.

But we have just found an element of $I$ of degree less than $n$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Exercise 11 That proof was really important and beautiful. Please read it again.

Exercise 12 In $\mathbb{R}[x]$, write the ideals $(x^3, x^4), (x^3, x^4 + x^2), \text{ and } (x^3, x^5 - 2x + 1)$ as principal ideals.

Solution. $(x^3, x^4)$ is just $(x^3)$ because $x^4$ is already a multiple of $x^2$.

$(x^3, x^4 + x^2)$ contains $x^4$ and $x^4 + x^2$, hence $x^2$, and everything in the ideal is a multiple of $x^2$. So this ideal is $(x^2)$.

$(x^3, x^5 - 2x + 1)$ is all of $\mathbb{R}[x]$. This requires some trial and error. One way is to write 1 as an $\mathbb{R}[x]$-linear combination of $x^3$ and $x^5 - 2x + 1$. (This is the Euclidean algorithm!! Review your Math 580.) You might find it helpful to do this in steps. First of all, the ideal contains $-2x + 1$. Now cube that and subtract an appropriate multiple of $x^3$ to find another polynomial this ideal contains. Etc., etc. A lot of trial and error.

(Discuss the primality and maximality of various ideals of $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$.)