

# Math 547/702I – Some Solutions

Frank Thorne

April 28, 2015

**19.2.** (g). Is  $x^4 - 3x^2 + 6x + 1$  irreducible?

No, Eisenstein's criterion doesn't apply, even after the trick. This question requires a small amount of brute force.

If it were reducible, it would factor over  $\mathbb{Z}$  by Gauss's lemma. First of all, check that it doesn't have any roots (and therefore no linear factors). For example, check directly that  $x = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$  are not roots, and then use an inequality to argue that if  $|x| \geq 3$  the same is true.

Therefore, if it factored we would have

$$x^4 - 3x^2 + 6x + 1 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d).$$

But  $a = -c$  (why?) and  $bd = 1$ , so  $b = d = 1$  or  $b = d = -1$ . Keep going along these lines to obtain a contradiction.

**20.6** (a). This is basically (part of) Theorem 22.3. Evidently these are all elements of  $K$ . If we have

$$a_0 + a_1\bar{X} + \cdots + a_{n-1}\bar{X}^{n-1} = b_0 + b_1\bar{X} + \cdots + b_{n-1}\bar{X}^{n-1}$$

then, by definition, we have

$$(a_0 - b_0) + (a_1 - b_1)X + \cdots + (a_{n-1} - b_{n-1})X^{n-1} \in (f(X)).$$

Since  $f$  is of degree  $n$ , this is only possible if this is the zero polynomial, i.e. if all the  $a_i$  are equal to the corresponding  $b_i$ .

Finally, we must prove that any element of  $K$  can be written in such a fashion. Write  $\phi$  for the quotient homomorphism  $F[X] \rightarrow F[X]/(f(X))$ . Given any  $\alpha \in K$ , choose any polynomial  $g$  such that  $\phi(g) = \alpha$ . By the division algorithm, we can write  $g = fq + r$  for  $f, r \in F[X]$  with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < n$ . We have that  $\phi(g) = \phi(r)$ . Writing  $r$  as a polynomial of degree less than  $n$  (or the zero polynomial),  $\phi(r)$  is just the same polynomial with each  $X$  replaced by  $\bar{X}$ ; i.e., it is a polynomial of the form given in the question.

**20.10.** (a). Consider the ideal

$$I = \{af + bg \mid a, b \in F[x]\}.$$

By Theorem 20.1,  $I = (h)$  for some polynomial  $h \in F[x]$ . In particular  $h \mid f$  and  $h \mid g$  (since  $f = 1 \cdot f + 0 \cdot g$  and similarly  $g$  are in  $I$ ). Moreover, if  $k$  divides both  $f$  and  $g$  in  $F[x]$ , then any  $k$  divides any  $F[x]$ -linear combination of  $f$  and  $g$  and in particular  $h$ . This is what is required to be proved.

(b). Suppose that  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are two gcd's of  $f$  and  $g$ . By property (ii) we have  $h_1 \mid h_2$  and  $h_2 \mid h_1$  so that  $h_2 = uh_1$  for some unit  $u \in F[x]$ , i.e., a nonzero constant.

**20.11.** We omit the 'only if' part and prove the 'if' part here. Suppose  $f(x)$  has a nontrivial factorization  $f = gh$  in  $F[x]$ . Use Corollary 20.4 to write

$$g(x) = (x - c_1) \cdots (x - c_n)$$

in  $K[x]$  for some extension  $K$  of  $F$ , where  $1 \leq n < p$ . Write  $c = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i$ . Note that  $c \in F$  because it is plus or minus the last coefficient of  $g(x)$ , which is in  $F[x]$ .

Now, each of the  $c_i$  is a  $p$ th root of  $a$ . Therefore,  $c^p = a^n$ . Because  $(p, n) = 1$  we may write  $1 = pr + ns$  for some  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $a = a^{pr+ns} = a^{pr}c^{ps} = (a^r c^s)^p$ . Since  $a, c \in F$  we have  $a^r c^s \in F$ , i.e.,  $a$  has a  $p$ th root in  $F$ , and so it must be a root of  $f$  in  $F$ .

**22.3.** (Summary.) We have  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$ . Follow example 1 on p. 235, it's kind of a tedious kludge but not actually hard. I don't know of a slick proof that doesn't use Galois theory.

**22.4.** We know that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ . Now,  $\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}}$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^2 - (1 + \sqrt{2})$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , so if that is irreducible we will know that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}} : \mathbb{Q})] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2}} : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}))][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .

To prove this, write

$$x^2 - (1 + \sqrt{2}) = (x + a + b\sqrt{2})(x + c + d\sqrt{2})$$

for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Foiling, we get  $-(1 + \sqrt{2}) = (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}$ , or  $-1 - (1 + ad + bc)\sqrt{2} = 0$ ; since  $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , hence linearly independent, so this can't happen.

**22.5**  $\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}$  is a root of  $x^4 + 1$ . You can show by the usual Eisenstein and  $f(x+1)$  trick that this polynomial is irreducible, hence  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ .