

1	(16 pts)
2	(10 pts)
3	(15 pts)
4	(24 pts)
5	(10 pts)
6	(15 pts)
7	(10 pts)

Name: Key

Directions: To receive credit, you must justify your statements unless otherwise stated. Answers should be provided in complete sentences.

1. Pick exactly two of the following three parts to work: Suppose that  $F$  is an ordered field,

(a) prove for each  $a \in F$ ,  $a \cdot 0 = 0$ .

Since 0 is the additive identity for  $F$ , then  $0 = 0 + 0$ . Hence  $a \cdot 0 = a \cdot (0 + 0) = a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0$  follows by the distributive property of the field. Adding the additive inverse of  $a \cdot 0$  to this identity gives

$$0 = 0 + (a \cdot 0)$$

which implies  $0 = a \cdot 0$ .  $\square$

(b) prove that  $0 < 1$ .

By the trichotomy property either  $0 < 1$  (then done),  $0 = 1$  (~~\*~~ to definition of  $F$ ), or  $1 < 0$ . If the last case were to hold, i.e.  $1 < 0$ , then  $0 - 1 \in \mathbb{P}$ . Multiplying two positive numbers gives

$$(-1) \cdot (-1) \in \mathbb{P}$$

But  $(-1)(-1) = -(-1) = 1$ , so  $1 \in \mathbb{P} \not\ast -1 \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $\square$

(c) if  $a < b$  and  $c < d$ , prove that  $a + c < b + d$ .

Since  $a < b$ , then  $(b-a) \in \mathbb{P}$ . Similarly  $c < d \Rightarrow (d-c) \in \mathbb{P}$ . The sum of two elements in  $\mathbb{P}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{P}$ , so

$$(b-a) + (d-c) \in \mathbb{P}$$

But  $(b-a) + (d-c) = (b+d) - (a+c)$  by the field properties,

so  $(b+d) - (a+c) \in \mathbb{P}$ , which implies  $a+c < b+d$ .  $\square$

2. (a) Give a precise definition for a set to be finite.

A set  $A$  is finite means either  $A = \emptyset$  or  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  is in 1:1 correspondence with  $A$ . That is  $\exists$  a function  $f$  such that

$$f: \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \xrightarrow{1:1} A.$$

(b) Give a precise definition for a set to be countably infinite.

A set  $A$  is countably infinite means  $\exists$  a function  $f$

$$f: \mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{1:1} A.$$

3. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

a.) Define 'upper bound' for  $A$ .

$M \in \mathbb{R}$  is called an upper bound for  $A$  if

$$a \leq M, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

b.) Define 'least upper bound' for  $A$ .

$\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  is called a least upper bound for  $A$  if

1)  $\gamma$  is an upper bound for  $A$

2) if  $M$  is any upper bound for  $A$ , then  $\gamma \leq M$ .

c.) Prove that least upper bounds are unique.

Suppose  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$  are both least upper bounds for  $A$ , then  $\gamma_2$  is an upper bound for  $A$ .  $\gamma_1$ , a least upper bound for  $A \Rightarrow \boxed{\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2}$ . Reverse the roles of  $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$  (i.e.  $\gamma_1$  an upper bound for  $A \neq \gamma_2$  a l.u.b.  $A$ ) to give that  $\boxed{\gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1}$ . Hence  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2$   $\square$

4. a. State and prove the Archimedean principle.

Archimedean Principle If  $a, b$  are any two positive real numbers then  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ni b < na$ .

proof We proceed using a contrapositive argument. Suppose not, that is in fact  $b \geq na, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $M := b/a$  is an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the least upper bound by the Completeness Axiom. Now  $\gamma - \frac{1}{2} < \gamma$  so  $\gamma - \frac{1}{2}$  cannot be an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \ni \gamma - \frac{1}{2} < n$ . But then  $\gamma < n + \frac{1}{2} < n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 ~~$\gamma$  must be an upper bound for  $\mathbb{N}$ .~~  $\square$

b. Prove that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N$  such that for all  $N \leq n$  there holds  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ . By the Archimedean principle  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$

$\ni 1 < N \cdot \epsilon$ , by choosing  $a = \epsilon$  and  $b = 1$ . It follows that  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . If  $n \in \mathbb{N} \wedge n \geq N$  then  $0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N}$ .

This then implies that

$$0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon, \text{ if } n \geq N. \quad \square$$

5. Negate the statement:

for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a natural number  $N$  such that for every  $n \geq N$  it is implied that  $|a_n - a| < \epsilon$

$$\sim (\forall \epsilon > 0) (\exists N \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall n \geq N) \Rightarrow |a_n - a| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0 \ni \sim (\exists N \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall n \geq N) \Rightarrow |a_n - a| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\exists \epsilon > 0 \ni \forall N \in \mathbb{N} (\exists n \geq N) \ni |a_n - a| \geq \epsilon}$$

6. For  $a > 0$ , and all natural numbers  $n$ , prove that

$$(P_n) \quad 1 + na \leq (1+a)^n$$

Proof We use induction on  $n$ .

Case  $n=1$   $1+1a \leq (1+a)^1$  since both sides equal  $1+a$ .

Induction step Suppose  $(P_n)$  is true for  $n$ . We need to prove that  $(P_{n+1})$  is true. But  $(P_n)$  is true  $\& \ (1+a) > 0$  so

$$(1+a)^{n+1} = (1+a)^n (1+a) > (1+na)(1+a)$$

$$= 1 + na + a + na^2 > 1 + (n+1)a$$

since  $na^2 > 0$ . Hence  $(P_{n+1})$  is true  $\& \$  the induction step is complete.

$\therefore (P_n)$  is true  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

7. Prove that if  $0 < r < 1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists a natural number  $n$  so that  $r^n < \epsilon$ .  
(Hint: Problem #6) Let  $a = \frac{1}{1-r} - 1$  in Problem #6. Since  $0 < r < 1$ , then  $1 < \frac{1}{1-r} \& \$  so  $a > 0$ . Notice  $1+a = \frac{1}{1-r}$  so the previous problem implies

$$1 + na \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$0 < r^n < \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{n}$$

or

$$(*) \quad 0 < r^n < \left(\frac{n}{1-r}\right) \frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the Archimedean Principle with the numbers  $\frac{1}{1-r} \& \ \epsilon > 0$  we may find  $n \in \mathbb{N} \ni$

$$\frac{1}{1-r} < n \epsilon$$

Therefore by this and inequality (\*)

$$0 < r^n < \frac{1}{1-r} \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon. \quad \square$$