```
Subject: Homeworks #6
  Date: February 22, 2006 9:09:53 AM EST
   Cc: Robert Sharpley <sharpley@math.sc.edu>
Homework #5 - Solutions
%%
                                       %%
Here is a matlab code for the bisection method that was used in the
homework solutions.
function [c] = bisection(a,b,n_max, eps)
   u = f(a);
   v = f(b);
   n = 0;
   if (u*v>=0)
       error, 'there might be no root on this interval\n';
   else
       for n=0:n_max
              c = (a+b)/2;
              if (abs(b-a)<eps)</pre>
                  fprintf('Convergence: root is between %6.4f and %6.4f\n', a,b);
                  break;
              end;
              W = f(c);
              fprintf('Iteration %3d: f(%6.4f) = %6.4f\n',n,c,w);
              if (w^*u == 0)
                  fprintf('Root found: f(\%6.4f) = \%6.4f n', c, w);
                  break;
              else
                  if (w*u<0)
                      b = c;
                      V = W;
                  else
                      a = c;
                      u = w;
                  end
              end
       end
   end
% #3.1.1, Page 101
function y = f(x)
   y = \exp(x) - 3^*x;
% #C3.1.1, , Page 103
function y = f(x)
   y = x^3-2^*x+1-x^2 % or even better by Horner scheme y = (((x-1)^*x-2)^*x+1)^*x^2
                      % # 3.1.1
  ezplot('exp(x)');
```

From: Robert Sharpley <sharpley@math.sc.edu>

hold on ezplot('3*x');

x = bisection(0, 1, 20, 0.0001);Iteration 0: f(0.5000) = 0.1487Iteration 1: f(0.7500) = -0.1330Iteration 2: f(0.6250) = -0.0068Iteration 3: f(0.5625) = 0.0676 Iteration 4: f(0.5938) = 0.0295Iteration 5: f(0.6094) = 0.0112Iteration 6: f(0.6172) = 0.0021Iteration 7: f(0.6211) = -0.0023Iteration 8: f(0.6191) = -0.0001Iteration 9: f(0.6182) = 0.0010Iteration 10: f(0.6187) = 0.0005Iteration 11: f(0.6189) = 0.0002Iteration 12: f(0.6190) = 0.0000Iteration 13: f(0.6191) = -0.0000Convergence: root is between 0.6190 and 0.6191 x = bisection(1,2,20,0.0001); Iteration 0: f(1.5000) = -0.0183Iteration 1: f(1.7500) = 0.5046Iteration 2: f(1.6250) = 0.2034Iteration 3: f(1.5625) = 0.0832Iteration 4: f(1.5312) = 0.0302Iteration 5: f(1.5156) = 0.0054Iteration 6: f(1.5078) = -0.0066Iteration 7: f(1.5117) = -0.0006Iteration 8: f(1.5137) = 0.0024Iteration 9: f(1.5127) = 0.0009Iteration 10: f(1.5122) = 0.0001Iteration 11: f(1.5120) = -0.0003Iteration 12: f(1.5121) = -0.0001Iteration 13: f(1.5121) = 0.0000Convergence: root is between 1.5121 and 1.5121

% #3.1.8

By applying the formula on page 100 using the logarithms consistently: (log(1.0-0.1)-log(2*0.5*1e-8))/log(2)

ans =

26.4234

number of iterations is integer, so n>27

% #C3.1.1, Page 103

% First approximate the intervals where the root would be - should be three of them , since the degree of the polynomial is three.

```
x = bisection(-2, -1, 20, 0.00001);
Iteration 0: f(-1.5000) = -1.6250
Iteration 1: f(-1.2500) = -0.0156
Iteration 2: f(-1.1250) = 0.5605
Iteration 3: f(-1.1875) = 0.2903
Iteration 4: f(-1.2188) = 0.1419
Iteration 5: f(-1.2344) = 0.0643
Iteration 6: f(-1.2422) = 0.0246
Iteration 7: f(-1.2461) = 0.0046
Iteration 8: f(-1.2480) = -0.0055
Iteration 9: f(-1.2471) = -0.0005
Iteration 10: f(-1.2466) = 0.0021
Iteration 11: f(-1.2468) = 0.0008
Iteration 12: f(-1.2469) = 0.0002
Iteration 13: f(-1.2470) = -0.0002
Iteration 14: f(-1.2470) = 0.0000
Iteration 15: f(-1.2470) = -0.0001
Iteration 16: f(-1.2470) = -0.0000
Convergence: root is between -1.2470 and -1.2470
x = bisection(0, 1, 20, 0.00001);
Iteration 0: f(0.5000) = -0.1250
Iteration 1: f(0.2500) = 0.4531
Iteration 2: f(0.3750) = 0.1621
Iteration 3: f(0.4375) = 0.0173
Iteration 4: f(0.4688) = -0.0542
Iteration 5: f(0.4531) = -0.0185
Iteration 6: f(0.4453) = -0.0006
Iteration 7: f(0.4414) = 0.0084
Iteration 8: f(0.4434) = 0.0039
Iteration 9: f(0.4443) = 0.0016
Iteration 10: f(0.4448) = 0.0005
Iteration 11: f(0.4451) = -0.0001
Iteration 12: f(0.4449) = 0.0002
Iteration 13: f(0.4450) = 0.0001
Iteration 14: f(0.4450) = 0.0000
Iteration 15: f(0.4451) = -0.0000
Iteration 16: f(0.4450) = -0.0000
Convergence: root is between 0.4450 and 0.4450
x = bisection(1, 2, 20, 0.00001);
```

Iteration	0:	f(1.5000) = -0.8750				
Iteration	1:	f(1.7500) = -0.2031				
Iteration	2:	f(1.8750) = 0.3262				
Iteration	3:	f(1.8125) = 0.0442				
Iteration	4:	f(1.7812) = -0.0837				
Iteration	5:	f(1.7969) = -0.0208				
Iteration	6:	f(1.8047) = 0.0114				
Iteration	7:	f(1.8008) = -0.0048				
Iteration	8:	f(1.8027) = 0.0033				
Iteration	9:	f(1.8018) = -0.0007				
Iteration	10:	f(1.8022) = 0.0013				
Iteration	11:	f(1.8020) = 0.0003				
Iteration	12:	f(1.8019) = -0.0002				
Iteration	13:	f(1.8019) = 0.0000				
Iteration	14:	f(1.8019) = -0.0001				
Iteration	15:	f(1.8019) = -0.0000				
Iteration	16:	f(1.8019) = -0.0000				
Convergence: root is between 1.8019 and 1.8019						

% #C3.1.3, Page 103

This is a trick problem. Those who can graph by hand on paper won't make a mistake - tangent function is not continuous on [1,2]. There is an infinite jump at $pi/2 \sim 1.57$. Thus, method of bisection is not applicable.

% #3.2.4, Page 117

```
function x = newtons(x, n_max, delta, eps)
    w = f(x);
    for n=0:n_max
        fp = df(x);
        if (abs(fp)<delta)</pre>
                 fprintf('Near root, x = \%6.4f', x);
                 break
        end
        d = w/fp;
        x = x-d;
        W = f(x);
        fprintf('Iteration %3d: f(%6.4f) = %6.4f\n',n,x,w);
        if (abs(d)<eps)</pre>
           fprintf('Convergence: root is about %6.4f\n', x);
           break;
        end
    end
function y = f(x)
    y = ((x+2)*x-7)*x*x+3;
function y = df(x)
    y = ((4*x+6)*x-14)*x;
```

x = newton	s(1	,20,0.0001,0.0001)
Iteration	0:	f(0.7500) = 0.2227
Iteration	1:	f(0.7909) = 0.0018
Iteration	2:	f(0.7913) = 0.0000
Iteration	3:	f(0.7913) = 0.0000
Convergence	r r	oot is about 0.7913

X =

0.7913

x = newto	ons((2,20,0.0001,0.0001)
Iteration	0:	f(1.7500) = 1.6602
Iteration	1:	f(1.6416) = 0.2458
Iteration	2:	f(1.6190) = 0.0096
Iteration	3:	f(1.6180) = 0.0000
Iteration	4:	f(1.6180) = 0.0000
Convergence	: ro	oot is about 1.6180

X =

1.6180

```
% #3.2.6, Page 117
x = newtons(2, 30, 0.0001, 1e-45)
Iteration
          0: f(1.875000000) = 0.3436089158
Iteration
          1: f(1.7656250000) = 0.1180670870
Iteration
          2: f(1.6699218750) = 0.0405689038
          3: f(1.5861816406) = 0.0139398370
Iteration
Iteration
          4: f(1.5129089355) = 0.0047898523
          5: f(1.4487953186) = 0.0016458360
Iteration
Iteration
         6: f(1.3926959038) = 0.0005655239
Iteration 7: f(1.3436089158) = 0.0001943191
Iteration
          8: f(1.3006578013) = 0.0000667698
Iteration
         9: f(1.2630755762) = 0.0000229427
Iteration 10: f(1.2301911291) = 0.0000078833
Iteration 11: f(1.2014172380) = 0.0000027088
Iteration 12: f(1.1762400833) = 0.0000009308
Iteration 13: f(1.1542100728) = 0.0000003198
Iteration 14: f(1.1349338137) = 0.0000001099
Iteration 15: f(1.1180670870) = 0.0000000378
Iteration 16: f(1.1033087011) = 0.0000000130
Iteration 17: f(1.0903951135) = 0.0000000045
Iteration 18: f(1.0790957243) = 0.0000000015
Iteration 19: f(1.0692087588) = 0.0000000005
Iteration 20: f(1.0605576639) = 0.0000000002
Iteration 21: f(1.0529879559) = 0.0000000001
Iteration 22: f(1.0463644614) = 0.0000000000
Iteration 24: f(1.0354977908) = 0.0000000000
Iteration 25: f(1.0310605669) = 0.000000000
```

```
Iteration 26: f(1.0271779961) = 0.0000000000
Iteration 27: f(1.0237807466) = 0.0000000000
Iteration 28: f(1.0208081532) = 0.0000000000
Iteration 29: f(1.0182071341) = 0.0000000000
Iteration 30: f(1.0159312423) = 0.00000000000
```

X =

1.016

The sluggishness of convergence is easily explained if you read the paragraph carefully. On page 111 it says: around a multiple zero Newton's method converges only linearly, not quadratically. If we modify method by incorporating the multiplicity where needed, the convergence becomes amazing - one iteration needed regardless of the point choice! Of course, this effect is due to special choice of a function - polynomial.

Homework solutions #3 3.2.1 Apply the formula for Newton's mothod: $f(x) = \tilde{x} - R$ (looking for the solution of an $equation \quad x^2 = R, \text{ or } x^2 - R = 0$) f'(x) = 2x $C(x) \quad x^2 = D$ Thus, $X_{n+1} = t_n - \frac{f(t_n)}{f'(t_n)} = X_n - \frac{X_n^2 - R}{2X_n} =$ $= 2t_{n}^{2} - t_{n}^{2} + R = t_{n}^{2} + R = \frac{t_{n}^{2} + R}{2t_{n}} =$ What many of you did was to plug & for Both Xn and Xn, which would emulate the convergence of the iterations. Unsurprisingly, $\chi^2 = R$. 3.2.9. Xny=Xn-tanXn. Here the thing to notice is $X_{n+1} = X_n - \frac{\sin X_n}{\cos X_n}$, where $\cos x = (\sin x)$, i.e. we have $X_{n+1} = X_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$ for $f(x) = \sin x$. Then the sequence converges to the solution of an equation f(x) = 0. Sin x = 0 gives is x=TT (considering roots around to=3). Simple check of several first terms supports the conclusion.

