

Solutions for HW 6

**Exercise 1.2.18: Solution:**

- (i.) Without loss of generality we can assume  $E \subset A \subset A'$  (otherwise replace  $A$  by  $A \cap A'$ ). Then  $m(A') - m(A) = m(A' \setminus A)$ . Thus we have to show

$$m^*(A \setminus E) + m(A' \setminus A) = m^*(A' \setminus E).$$

Let  $G$  be a measurable set with  $A \supset G \supset A \setminus E$  such that  $m(G) = m^*(A \setminus E)$ . Similarly, let  $G_1$  be a measurable set with  $A' \supset G_1 \supset A' \setminus E$  such that  $m(G_1) = m^*(A' \setminus E)$ . Let  $F = (G_1 \setminus (A' \setminus A)) \cap G$ . Then  $F \supset A \setminus E$  and  $m(F) = m^*(A \setminus E)$ . Also  $G_1 \supset F \cup (A' \setminus A) \supset A' \setminus E$ , so  $m(F \cup (A' \setminus A)) = m^*(A' \setminus E)$ . This shows  $m^*(A' \setminus E) = m(F) + m(A' \setminus A) = m^*(A \setminus E) + m(A' \setminus A)$  and the proof of (i) is complete. An alternate way of showing (i) is to show that  $m_*(E) = \sup\{m(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}$ . To see this, observe

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(A \setminus E) &= \inf\{m(U) : A \setminus E \subset U, U \text{ open}\} \\ &= \inf\{m(U \cap A) : A \setminus E \subset U, U \text{ open}\} \\ &= \inf\{m(U \cap A) : E^c \subset U, U \text{ open}\} \\ &= \inf\{m(A \setminus K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\} \\ &= m(A) - \sup\{m(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\} \end{aligned}$$

- (ii.) Let first  $m_*(E) = m^*(E)$ . Then find a measurable  $G$  with  $A \supset G \supset E$  and  $m(G) = m^*(E)$ . Similarly find a measurable set  $H$  with  $A \supset H \supset A \setminus E$  and  $m(H) = m^*(A \setminus E)$ . Let  $F = A \setminus H$ . Then  $F \subset E \subset G \subset A$  and  $m(G \setminus F) = m(G) - m(F) = m^*(E) - (m(A) - m(H)) = m^*(E) - m(A) + m(H) = 0$ . It now follows easily that  $E$  is measurable. If we use the other identity for  $m_*(E)$ , then for all  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find an open set  $U \supset E$  with  $m(U) < m^*(E) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  and a compact set  $K \subset E$  with  $m(K) > m^*(E) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Now  $m(U \setminus K) = m(U) - m(K) < \epsilon$  and the measurability of  $E$  follows. If  $E$  is measurable, then  $m^*(A \setminus E) = m(A) - m(E) = m(A) - m^*(E)$ , so  $m_*(E) = m^*(E)$ .

**Exercise 1.3.8: Solution:** (vi) There exist sequences  $(f_n)$  and  $(g_n)$  of bounded simple functions such that  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  and  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $f_n(x) + g_n(x)$  and  $f_n g_n$  are simple functions and  $f_n(x) + g_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) + g(x)$  as well as  $f_n(x)g_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)g(x)$  for all  $x$ . Hence  $f + g$  and  $fg$  are measurable.

**Exercise 1.3.15: Solution:** From Hw 4 we know that if  $E$  measurable, then  $E + x$  measurable and  $m(E) = m(E + x)$ . This implies immediately that if  $g$  is an signed simple function, then  $g(\cdot + y)$  is an unsigned simple function and  $\int g(x) dx = \int g(x + y) dx$ . Now, if  $0 \leq g \leq f$ ,  $g$  simple then  $0 \leq g(\cdot + y) \leq f(\cdot + y)$  and if  $0 \leq g \leq f(\cdot + y)$ , then  $0 \leq g(\cdot - y) \leq f$ . By taking supreme we get that  $\int f(x) dx = \int f(x + y) dx$ .

**Problem 1: Solution:** Assume  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| = \epsilon > 0$ . Then by uniform continuity there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . Then there exist  $x_n \uparrow$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $x_{n+1} - x_n > 2\delta$  and  $|f(x_n)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . This implies that  $|f(y)| > \frac{\epsilon}{4}$  for all  $y \in (x_n - \delta, x_n + \delta)$ . Hence  $\int_{x_n - \delta}^{x_n + \delta} |f| dx \geq \frac{\delta \epsilon}{2}$  for all  $n$ . But  $x_{n+1} - x_n > 2\delta$  implies  $x_n + \delta < x_{n+1} - \delta$  so that the intervals  $(x_n - \delta, x_n + \delta)$  are disjoint. Hence  $\int |f| dx \geq \sum_n \int_{x_n - \delta}^{x_n + \delta} |f| dx = \infty$ . This contradiction with the integrability of  $f$  shows that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)| = 0$ .

To get a counter example define  $f$  piecewise. Let  $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Define first  $f$  on  $[2, \infty)$  as follows. For  $n \geq 2$  let  $f(n) = f(n + \frac{1}{n^3}) = \epsilon_n$ ,  $f(n + \frac{1}{2n^3}) = n$ , and  $f$  linear and continuous on

2

$[n, n + \frac{1}{2n^3}]$ ,  $[n + \frac{1}{2n^3}, n + \frac{1}{n^3}]$ , and  $[n + \frac{1}{n^3}, n + 1]$ . Then  $f$  positive and continuous on  $[2, \infty)$  and  $\int_n^{n+1} f dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n^2} + \epsilon_n$  implies that  $\int_2^\infty f dx < \infty$ . Moreover  $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ . To get a function on  $\mathbb{R}$  extend the above  $f$  by defining  $f(x) = \epsilon_2 e^{x-2}$  on  $(-\infty, 2]$ .