## Solutions for HW 5

**Exercise 1.2.9: Solution:** Since  $I_n$  is a finite union of closed intervals, it is closed. Hence C as an intersection of closed sets is also closed. As C is obviously bounded it follows that C is compact. To show that C is uncountable, assume  $C = \{x_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Then  $x_1$  belongs to exactly on of the two closed intervals of  $I_1$ . Let  $J_1$  be the closed interval of  $I_1$ , which does not contain  $x_1$ . Now  $J_1$  is the union of two closed disjoint intervals of  $I_2$ . Let  $J_2 \subset J_1 \cap I_2$  be the closed subinterval which does not contain  $x_2$ . Continuing like this we get closed nested intervals  $J_n \subset J_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset J_1$  such that  $J_n \subset I_n$  and  $x_k \notin J_n$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . By the Nested Interval Theorem we have  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n = \{x\}$ . Then  $x \in C$ , but  $x \neq x_n$  for all n by construction. This is a contradiction. Hence C is uncountable. To prove m(C) = 0, note  $m(C) \leq m(I_n) = \frac{2^n}{3^n}$ .

**Exercise 1.2.26: Solution:** We observe first that if E is the non-measurable set constructed in class (or text) and  $F \subset E$  is measurable, then m(F) = 0. Let  $\{q_k\} = \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1, 1]$ and  $E_k = q_k + E$  as in the book or notes. Let  $F_k = q_k + F$ . Then  $F_k$  measurable and  $m(F) = m(F_k)$ . Now  $F_k \subset E_k$  implies that  $\{F_k\}$  is a disjoint collection and  $\bigcup_k F_k \subset [-1, 2]$ . This implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m(F) = m(\bigcup F_k) \leq m([-1, 2]) = 3$ . Hence m(F) = 0. We now claim  $m^*([0, 1] \setminus E) = 1$ , from which the claim of the exercise follows. Assume therefore  $m^*([0, 1] \setminus E) < 1$ . Then there exist a (relatively) open set  $U \subset [0, 1]$  such the m(U) < 1and  $[0, 1] \setminus E \subset U$ . Now  $F = [0, 1] \setminus U$  is a closed subset E of positive measure, which is contradiction.

## Problem 1: Solution:

- a. See solution of above problem.
- b. Let  $A_n = [n, n+1] \cap A$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n$  implies that there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $m^*(A_n) > 0$ . Let  $B = -n + A_n$ . Then  $m^*(B) > 0$  and  $B \subset [0, 1]$ . If  $F \subset B$  is non-measurable, then  $n + B \subset A_n \subset A$  non-measurable. Since  $\bigcup_k E_k \supset [0, 1]$  we have  $B = \bigcup_k B \cap E_k$ . If each  $B \cap E_k$  measurable, then  $m(B \cap E_k) = 0$  for all k and thus  $m^*(B) = 0$ , which contradicts our assumption. Hence there exists a k such that  $F = B \cap E_k$  is non-measurable.

## Problem 2: Solution:

- (1) Let  $A_n = A \cap B(0; n)$  and  $B_n = B \cap B(0; n)$ . Then  $A_n, B_n$  closed and bounded, so  $A_n, B_n$  are compact. Moreover  $A + B = \bigcup_n A_n + B_n$ . To prove A + B measurable it suffices therefore to show  $A_n + B_n$  is measurable. This is true however, since in fact  $A_n + B_n$  is compact. To see this, let  $\{a_m + b_m\}$  be a sequence in  $A_n + B_n$ . Then  $\{a_m\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{a_{m_k}\}$  such that  $a_{m_k} \to a \in A_n$ . Now  $\{b_{m_k}\}$  has a further subsequence  $\{b_{m_{k_l}}\}$  such that  $b_{m_{k_l}} \to b \in B_n$ . Now  $\{a_{m_{k_l}} + b_{m_{k_l}}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{a_m + b_m\}$  which converges to  $a + b \in A_n + B_n$ . Hence  $A_n + B_n$  is compact, and thus measurable.
- (2) It suffices to show  $[0,1] \subset \mathcal{C} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}$ . To see this, let  $x \in [0,1]$ . Then x has a ternary expansion  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}$ , where  $a_n \in \{0,1,2\}$ . Let  $b_n = a_n$  in case  $a_n = 2$  and  $b_n = 0$  otherwise and  $c_n = a_n$  in case  $a_n = 1$  and  $c_n = 0$  otherwise. Define  $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{3^n}$  and  $z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{3^n}$ . Then x = y + z and  $y \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $z \in \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}$ .

## Problem 3: Solution:

**a.** If  $\tilde{I}_k$  denotes the closed set remaining at the k-th stage, then  $m(\tilde{I}_k) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\epsilon}{2^j}$ . Now  $m(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} m(\tilde{I}_k) = 1 - \epsilon$ .

- **b.** One can see by induction that the length of each closed interval in  $I_k$  has length less than  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ . Let  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then, if we pick  $x_k$  as the center of the nearest open interval to x removed at stage k, then  $|x - x_k| < \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \to 0$ . c. If we pick one of the endpoints  $y_k \neq x$  of the removed open interval at stage k, then
- $y_k \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $|x y_k| \to 0$ . Hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  is perfect and by the previous part it has no interior points.
- d. First Solution: Assign to each  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  an infinite sequence of zeros and ones as follows: If at stage k the point x lies to the left of the removed interval assign it a zero and a one if it lies to the right. Conversely we can associate to each sequence of zeros and ones a unique sequence of nested closed intervals of length less than  $\frac{1}{2k}$ , so that there is a unique  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  which is in the intersection of these intervals. We have in this way a one to one correspondence between the elements in  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  and the set of all sequences of zeros and ones, which is uncountable. Hence  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  is uncountable.

Second Solution: Since  $m(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) > 0$  we have that  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  is uncountable.