

**A NEWER ADDENDUM TO “AND STILL ONE MORE PROOF
OF THE RADON–NIKODYM THEOREM.”**

ANTON R. SCHEP

In [1] a new proof was given of the Radon–Nikodym Theorem. First for the special case that $0 \leq \nu \leq \mu$ and then the general case was derived via von Neumann’s approach, except that the use of Hilbert spaces or the Hahn decomposition theorem was avoided. Based on inquiries I received, it seems that not all readers understand what I mean by the phrase “By an exhaustion argument . . .”. We will therefore present here a detailed proof of this statement, as a separate lemma. In fact we shall present two proofs of that argument: one shorter one using the Axiom of Choice, and another constructive one. Moreover we prove now the Radon-Nikodym theorem immediately for the absolutely continuous case and no longer use von Neumann’s approach.

Lemma 1. *Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and let (P) be some property which any measurable sets does or does not possess. Assume that for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ there exists $B \subset A$ in \mathcal{B} with property (P) and $\mu(B) > 0$. Then there exists a disjoint collection (B_n) in \mathcal{B} such that $\mu(X \setminus \cup_n B_n) = 0$ and such that each B_n has property (P) . In particular, if the property is preserved under countable disjoint unions and under change by sets of measure zero, then X has property (P) .*

Proof. It is clear that it suffices to prove the lemma for the case that $\mu(X) < \infty$. First (non-constructive) proof: Let \mathcal{A} be the set of all disjoint sets (B_i) with $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mu(B_i) > 0$ and such that each B_i has property (P) . We can partial order the set \mathcal{A} by set theoretical inclusion, i.e., $(B_i) \leq (C_j)$ if $(B_i) \subset (C_j)$. Then every chain in \mathcal{A} has a (least) upper bound, namely the union of all the elements of the chain. Hence by Zorn’s lemma \mathcal{A} has a maximal element $(B_i)_{i \in I}$. Since $\mu(B_i) > 0$ and $\mu(X) < \infty$ the index set I is countable. The maximality ensures now that $\mu(X \setminus \cup_i B_i) = 0$, as otherwise we can find $B \subset X \setminus \cup_i B_i$ with $\mu(B) > 0$ and such that B has property (P) .

Second (constructive) proof: To avoid having to divide by $\mu(X)$ we make the further assumption that $\mu(X) = 1$. This can be accomplished by replacing the measure μ by the measure $\frac{1}{\mu(X)}\mu$. Let $\alpha_1 = \sup\{\mu(B) : B \text{ has property } (P)\}$. Let n_1 be the smallest natural number n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \alpha_1$. Then there exists B_1 with property (P) such that $\frac{1}{n_1} < \mu(B_1)$. By induction, if $X \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_j$ has positive measure for all $k \geq 2$ we let $\alpha_k = \sup\{\mu(B) : B \subset X \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_j, B \text{ has property } (P)\}$. Then $\alpha_k > 0$. Let n_k be the smallest natural number n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \alpha_k$. Then there exists $B_k \subset X \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_j$ with property (P) such that $\frac{1}{n_k} < \mu(B_k)$. Note that if $n_{k-1} < n_k$, then $n_{k-1} < \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \leq n_k$, which implies that in that case $\mu(B) \leq \frac{1}{n_{k-1}}$ for

Date: April 19, 2012.

all $B \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_j$. Now we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_k) \leq \mu(X) = 1.$$

Hence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We claim that $\mu(X \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) = 0$. If not, then there exists $B \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$ with $\mu(B) > 0$ such that B has property (P). Then $B \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} B_j$ for all k and $n_{k-1} < n_k$ for infinitely k together imply that $\mu(B) \leq \frac{a}{n_{k-1}}$ for infinitely many k . As $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $\mu(B) = 0$. This is a contradiction and the lemma follows. \square

Theorem 2. (*Radon-Nikodym*) Let ν and μ be measures on (X, \mathcal{B}) with $\nu \ll \mu$. Assume μ is σ -finite and that ν is a finite measure. Then there exists an integrable function $f_0 \geq 0$ such that $\nu(E) = \int_E f_0 d\mu$ for all E in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. Let $H = \{f : f \text{ measurable}, 0 \leq f, \int_E f d\mu \leq \nu(E) \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Note that $H \neq \emptyset$, since 0 belongs to H . Moreover, when $f_1, f_2 \in H$, then $\max\{f_1, f_2\} \in H$. Indeed, if $A = \{x : f_1(x) \geq f_2(x)\}$ and $B = A^c$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \max\{f_1, f_2\} d\mu &= \int_{E \cap A} \max\{f_1, f_2\} d\mu + \int_{E \cap B} \max\{f_1, f_2\} d\mu \\ &= \int_{E \cap A} f_1 d\mu + \int_{E \cap B} f_2 d\mu \leq \nu(E \cap A) + \nu(E \cap B) = \nu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = \sup\{\int f d\mu : f \in H\}$. Then $0 \leq M \leq \nu(X) < \infty$, so there exist functions f_n in H with $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$ such that $\int f_n d\mu > M - \frac{1}{n}$. Let $f_0 = \lim f_n$. Then f_0 is measurable. By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, $f_0 \in H$ and $\int f_0 d\mu \geq M$. Hence $\int f_0 d\mu = M$. To complete the proof we show that $\nu(E) = \int_E f_0 d\mu$. Suppose $\nu(E_0) > \int_{E_0} f_0 d\mu$ for some E_0 in \mathcal{B} . Then $\mu(E_0) > 0$, since $\nu(E_0) > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\int_{E_0} f_0 + \epsilon d\mu < \nu(E_0)$. Let (P) be the property $\int_E f_0 + \epsilon \chi_{E_0} d\mu > \nu(E)$. Then E_0 does not have property (P) and property (P) is preserved under countable disjoint unions. Moreover by absolute continuity of ν w.r.t. μ it follows that (P) is preserved under change by sets of μ -measure zero. We claim there exists a measurable $F \subset E_0$ with $\mu(F) > 0$ such that $f_0 + \epsilon \chi_F \in H$. If not, then for all measurable $F \subset E_0$ of positive μ -measure there exists a measurable $G \subset F$ with $\int_G f_0 + \epsilon \chi_G d\mu > \nu(G)$. Hence for each measurable $F \subset E_0$ of positive μ -measure there exists a measurable $G \subset F$ with $\int_G f_0 + \epsilon \chi_{E_0} d\mu > \nu(G)$, i.e., each measurable $F \subset E_0$ of positive μ -measure contains a measurable $G \subset F$ with property (P). By the previous Lemma E_0 has property (P), which is a contradiction. Hence there exists a measurable $F \subset E_0$ with $\mu(F) > 0$ such that $f_0 + \epsilon \chi_F \in H$. Now $\int f_0 + \epsilon \chi_F d\mu = M + \epsilon \mu(F) > M$, which contradicts the definition of M and the proof is complete. \square

Remark. The extension to the σ -finite case is routine.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anton R. Schep, And still one more proof of the Radon-Nikodym theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **110**(2003) 526-538.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208, USA
E-mail address: schep@math.sc.edu