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#### Abstract

We prove in this paper the existence of a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$ consisting of rational functions of uniformly bounded degrees. This solves an open question of some years standing concerning the possible existence of such bases. This result follows from a more general construction of bases on $\mathbb{R}$ and $[0,1]$. We prove that the new bases are unconditional bases for $L_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, and Besov spaces. On $[0,1]$, they are Schauder bases for $C[0,1]$ as well. The new bases are utilized for nonlinear approximation. © 2000 Academic Press


## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of our main goals in this paper is to solve the problem of the existence of a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$ consisting of rational functions of uniformly bounded degrees. This problem was posed in [S] and [DS]. Shekhtman [S] proved that there exists a Schauder basis $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for $C[0,1]$ such that $r_{n}$ is a rational function with $\operatorname{deg} r_{n}=O\left(\ln ^{2} n\right)$. We shall prove that there exists a rational Schauder basis $\left\{r_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for $C[0,1]$ such that $\operatorname{deg} r_{n} \leqslant K<\infty$. There is a striking difference between rational and polynomial bases for $C[0,1]$. If $\left\{p_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a polynomial Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$ and $\operatorname{deg} p_{n} \leqslant \operatorname{deg} p_{n+1}, n=0,1, \ldots$, then $\operatorname{deg} p_{n} \approx n$ is the best possible; see [Pr1, Pr2]. For orthogonal polynomial bases see [LS, WW].

The techniques we develop in this paper can actually be applied in a quite general setting and to a variety of function spaces. Our technique will give a new method for constructing bases that are unconditional for $L_{p}$ $(1<p<\infty)$, Besov, and other spaces and Schauder bases for $C[0,1]$.

Our idea for constructing bases stems from the well known idea of a small perturbation argument: Given a basis $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for some Banach

[^0]space $X$, if the functions $\theta_{j}$ approximate $\psi_{j}$ well enough, then $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ will also be a basis for $X$. The key question is: In what sense should $\psi_{j}$ be approximated by $\theta_{j}$ ? If one elects to make $\left\|\psi_{j}-\theta_{j}\right\|_{X}$ small enough, then $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is automatically a basis for $X$ (see, e.g., [LT]). However, there is not much room for maneuvering when selecting $\theta_{j}$. Our approach is different. We start (on $\mathbb{R}$ ) from an excellent orthonormal wavelet basis $\left\{\psi\left(2^{k} t-j\right)\right\}$ with compactly supported $\psi$ with enough smoothness and vanishing moments. Then we select $\theta$ smooth with enough vanishing moments so that
$$
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon(1+|t|)^{-M} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k,
$$
where $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough (fixed) and $M>0$ and $k>0$ are big enough. We prove that $\left\{\theta\left(2^{k} t-j\right)\right\}$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(1<p<\infty)$ and other spaces. Our construction of bases on [0, 1] is similar. In this case, however, the basis functions are not dyadic shifts and dilates of a single function. We prove that our bases on $[0,1]$ are Schauder bases for $C[0,1]$ as well. The tradeoff is that we give up the orthogonality and multiresolution analysis but preserve all other good properties of the wavelets and gain much more flexibility in selecting the basis functions.

Our main application of this new small perturbation technique is the construction of bases consisting of functions that are linear combinations of a fixed (small) number of shifts and dilates of a single function $\Phi$. This function ought to be smooth enough and with sufficiently rapid decay. For instance, the rational function $\Phi(t)=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-m}$ with $m$ big enough generates the desirable rational bases. Another interesting example is the Gaussian $\Phi(t)=e^{-t^{2}}$.

Another important motivation for our work in constructing bases is nonlinear approximation. It has been well understood in approximation theory that unconditional bases for $L_{p}(1<p<\infty)$, Besov, and other spaces provide a simple and powerful tool for nonlinear approximation. Namely, suppose that $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is such a basis. Then each function $f \in L_{p}$ can be represented by $f=\sum c_{j} \psi_{j}$. It is natural to consider approximation of $f$ by linear combinations of $n$ basis functions $\psi_{j}$ ( $n$-term approximation). The strategy for achieving best or near best $n$-term approximation to $f$ is simply to retain the $n$ terms from the expansion of the $f$ with the biggest $\left\|c_{j} \psi_{j}\right\|_{L_{p}}$. It turns out that (under mild conditions on $\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}$ ) the $n$-term approximation can be characterized by Besov and other spaces. The above leads us to the following idea for nonlinear approximation: Suppose that we want to approximate by linear combinations of functions from some approximating family $\mathbf{D}$. Then we can proceed as follows: First, we construct a good basis whose elements are linear combinations of a fixed number of functions from D and, second, we run the best $n$-term approximation algorithm described
above. We refer the reader to [De] as a general reference for nonlinear $n$-term approximation.

We decided not to consider bases for other spaces besides $C[0,1]$ and the univariate $L_{p}(1<p<\infty)$ and Besov spaces in this paper. We shall report our results about $H^{p}$ and other spaces and in the multivariate case elsewhere.

The outline of the paper is the following. In Section 2, we give the construction of the new bases (systems). In Section 3, we give the basic properties of the new systems. In Section 4, we prove one of the main results of the paper, namely, that the new system for [0,1] is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$. In Section 5 , we prove that the new systems are unconditional bases for $L_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, and Besov spaces. In Section 6, we prove the needed approximation result for the construction of bases consisting of linear combinations of shifts and dilates of a single function. In Section 7, we give examples of new bases and, in particular, rational bases. We utilize them to nonlinear $n$-term approximation. Finally, there is an appendix, where we give the proofs of some technical statements from Sections 3-5.

Throughout the paper, the constants are denoted by $C, C_{1}, \ldots$ and they may vary at every occurrence. The constants usually depend on some parameters that will sometimes be indicated explicitly.

## 2. CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SYSTEMS (BASES)

We shall construct our bases (the new systems) using as a backbone excellent wavelet bases (the old bases). We shall use as old bases smooth compactly supported orthogonal wavelet bases with enough vanishing moments (Daubechies wavelets), although other wavelet bases can be used as well. We shall have two variants of our construction, namely, on $\mathbb{R}$ and on the compact interval $[0,1]$.

We first introduce some notation. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ or $\Omega=[0,1]$. Let $\mathscr{D}:=\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ denote the collection of all dyadic subintervals of $\Omega$ and let

$$
\mathscr{D}_{m}:=\mathscr{D}_{m}(\Omega):=\left\{I \in \mathscr{D}:|I|=2^{-m}\right\},
$$

where $|I|$ denotes the length of $I$. Thus

$$
\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R})=\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{D}_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{D}([0,1])=\bigcup_{m \geqslant 0} \mathscr{D}_{m} .
$$

For each $I \in \mathscr{D}$, we let $t_{I}$ denote the left end of the interval $I$.
An Old Basis on $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. Let $N$ and $k$ be positive integers such that $N \geqslant k+1$ and let $A>1$. Let $\mathscr{A}:=\mathscr{A}(\mathbb{R})$ be an orthonormal wavelet basis
consisting of compactly supported smooth wavelets and constructed from a multiresolution analysis generated by a compactly supported scaling function $\phi$ (Daubechies compactly supported wavelets). More precisely, we assume that there exists a ladder of closed subspaces of $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$
\cdots \subset V_{-1} \subset V_{0} \subset V_{1} \subset \cdots
$$

with

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{m}}=L_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text { and } \quad \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{m}=\{0\}
$$

so that
(a) $f \in V_{m} \Leftrightarrow f\left(2^{m} x\right) \in V_{0}$, and
(b) $\{\phi(t-v)\}_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $V_{0}$.

Let $\psi$ be the mother wavelet. That is, $\{\psi(t-v)\}_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $W_{0}:=V_{1} \ominus V_{0}$.

We denote, for each $I \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$
\phi_{I}(t):=|I|^{-1 / 2} \phi\left(\frac{t-t_{I}}{|I|}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{I}(t):=|I|^{-1 / 2} \psi\left(\frac{t-t_{I}}{|I|}\right) .
$$

Then $\mathscr{A}=\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}, \mathscr{A}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L_{2}(\mathbb{R}),\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $V_{m}$, and $\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $W_{m}:=V_{m+1} \ominus V_{m}$.

In addition to this, let $\phi$ and $\psi$ satisfy the following properties with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi, \psi \in C^{N}(\Omega),  \tag{2.1}\\
\int_{\Omega} t^{v} \psi(t) d t=0, \quad v=0,1, \ldots, k-1, \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Supp } \phi, \operatorname{Supp} \psi \subset[-A, A] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple change of variables shows that (2.1)-(2.3) yield that $\phi_{I}$ and $\psi_{I}$ satisfy the following properties: For $I \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)$,

A1.

$$
\phi_{I}, \psi_{I} \in C^{N}(\Omega)
$$

and

$$
\left\|\phi_{I}^{(j)}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)},\left\|\psi_{I}^{(j)}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_{j}|I|^{-j-1 / 2}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, N
$$

A2. $\quad \int_{\Omega} t^{\nu} \psi_{I}(t) d t=0, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega), \quad v=0,1, \ldots, k-1 ;$
A3. $\quad \operatorname{Supp} \phi_{I}, \operatorname{Supp} \psi_{I} \subset\left[t_{I}-A|I|, t_{I}+A|I|\right]$.
Also, we assume that the following condition holds:
A4. $\mathscr{A}$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$, and the Besov space $B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\Omega)\right)$ that will be specified later in Section 5.

Daubechies wavelets of sufficiently high smoothness provide a basis like this; see [Da]. For most parts of this paper, condition (2.3) can be relaxed. It can be replaced by

$$
|\phi(t)|,|\psi(t)| \leqslant \frac{C}{(1+|t|)^{S}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

with $S$ large enough. Then Mayer's wavelets as well as smooth spline or other wavelet bases can be used as old bases (see [Da, HW, Me, W]).

Construction of a New System (Basis) on $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. Let $N$ and $k$ be the parameters of the old basis. Let $M>1$ and $\varepsilon>0$. We select a function $\theta \in C^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon(1+|t|)^{-M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} t^{v} \theta(t) d t=0, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k-1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
\theta_{I}(t):=|I|^{-1 / 2} \theta\left(\frac{t-t_{I}}{|I|}\right), \quad I \in \mathscr{D} .
$$

A simple change of variables in (2.4) and (2.5) shows that $\theta_{I}$ satisfies the properties:

B1.

$$
\left|\psi_{I}^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-M}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k,
$$

and
B2. $\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{\nu} \theta_{I}(t) d t=0, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k-1$.
By A1, A3, and B1, we obtain the decay property

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\psi_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\theta_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant C|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-M}, \\
t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define now the new system $\mathscr{B}$ by

$$
\mathscr{B}:=\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}):=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbb{R})} .
$$

It will be shown in Section 6 that functions $\theta \in C^{k}(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfy (2.4) and (2.5) exist. Therefore, new systems exist.

An Old Basis on $\Omega=[0,1]$. Let $N$ and $k$ again be positive integers so that $N>k+1$ and let $A>1$. Let

$$
\mathscr{A}:=\mathscr{A}([0,1]):=\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m_{0}}} \cup\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \cup_{m \geqslant m_{0}} \mathscr{O}_{m}}, \quad m_{0}>0,
$$

be an orthonormal wavelet basis for $L_{2}[0,1]$ with the following properties: there exists a ladder of finite-dimensional subspaces of $L_{2}[0,1]$,

$$
V_{m_{0}} \subset V_{m_{0}+1} \subset \cdots
$$

with

$$
\overline{\bigcup_{m \geqslant m_{0}} V_{m}}=L_{2}[0,1]
$$

such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{m} & =\operatorname{Span}\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{m}} \text { and } \\
W_{m} & :=V_{m+1} \ominus V_{m}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}, \quad m=m_{0}, m_{0}+1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\phi_{I}$ and $\psi_{I}$ satisfying properties A1-A4 with $\Omega=[0,1]$ (see the properties of the old basis on $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ ). In addition to this we assume that $\mathscr{A}$ satisfies the following property:

A5. $\mathscr{A}$ is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$.
When the basis functions of $\mathscr{A}$ need to be ordered, we assume that they are ordered from low to high levels and from left to right on a given level.

Wavelet bases like this have been constructed in [CDV] and [AHJP]. Note that Ciesielski's spline bases (see [C, CD]) can also be used as old bases.

Construction of a New System (Basis) on $\Omega=[0,1]$. Let $N$ and $k$ be the parameters of the old basis $\mathscr{A}([0,1])$. Let $M>1$ and $\varepsilon>0$.

For each $I \in \bigcup_{m \geqslant m_{0}} \mathscr{D}_{m}$, we select a function $\theta_{I} \in C^{k}([0,1])$ such that
B1. $\left|\psi_{I}^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-M}$,

$$
t \in[0,1], \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k,
$$

and

B2.

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{\nu} \theta_{I}(t) d t=0, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k-1 .
$$

Also, we select, for each $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}$, a function $\omega_{I} \in C^{k}([0,1])$ such that

$$
\text { B3. }\left\|\phi_{I}^{(j)}-\omega_{I}^{(j)}\right\|_{C[0,1]} \leqslant \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k .
$$

Note that A1, A3, B1, and B3 yield the following decay property: For $j=0$, $1, \ldots, k$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\psi_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\theta_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\phi_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\omega_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant C|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-M}, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have the restriction $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}$ when considering $\omega_{I}$.
Now, we define the new system $\mathscr{B}$ on $[0,1]$ by

$$
\mathscr{B}:=\mathscr{B}([0,1]):=\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m_{0}}} \cup\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \cup_{m \geqslant m_{0}} \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{m}} .
$$

It will be shown in Section 6 that functions $\theta_{I}, \omega_{I} \in C^{k}([0,1])$ satisfying $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 3$ exist. Therefore, new bases on [ 0,1 ] exist.

It will be convenient for us to unify the notation of the basis functions from $\mathscr{A}([0,1])$ and $\mathscr{B}([0,1])$ as follows. Since $\#\left\{\varphi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}}=\# \mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}=2^{m_{0}}$, we can use the set $\mathscr{D}_{-1} \cup \bigcup_{0 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{0}-1} \mathscr{D}_{m}$ with $\mathscr{D}_{-1}:=\mathscr{D}_{0}:=\{[0,1]\}$ to reindex the basis functions $\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{m_{0}}} \subset \mathscr{A}$ and $\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}} \subset \mathscr{B}$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \bigcup_{-1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{0}-1} \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{m}}:=\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m_{0}}} \quad \text { and } \\
&\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \cup_{-1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{0}-1} \mathscr{\mathscr { P }}_{m}}:=\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the one-to-one correspondence between $\mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}$ and $\bigcup_{-1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{0}-1} \mathscr{D}_{m}$ is determined by the natural order among the intervals in $\mathscr{D}_{m_{0}}$ (from left to right) and $U_{-1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{0}-1} \mathscr{D}_{m}$ (as was explained before).

We denote again

$$
\mathscr{D}:=\mathscr{D}([0,1]):=\bigcup_{m \geqslant-1} \mathscr{D}_{m} .
$$

Thus we conveniently have

$$
\mathscr{A}([0,1])=\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{B}([0,1])=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}} .
$$

We can now summarize that, for $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}, m \geqslant m_{0}, \theta_{I}$ satisfies properties B1 and B2 and, by B3, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{B}^{\prime} .\left\|\psi_{I}^{(j)}-\theta_{I}^{(j)}\right\|_{C[0,1]} \leqslant \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}, \quad-1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{0}-1, \\
j=0,1, \ldots, k .
\end{gathered}
$$

Construction of New Bases of Periodic Functions. Clearly one can utilize our small perturbation technique to construct new bases in the periodic case. We leave the details of this construction to the reader.

In the next sections we shall show that the new systems introduced above inherit most of the good properties of the old bases, provided the parameters $\varepsilon, k$, and $M$ are properly selected.

## 3. BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE NEW SYSTEMS

We let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ or $\Omega=[0,1]$. Let $\mathscr{B}:=\mathscr{B}(\Omega)=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}, \mathscr{D}:=\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$, be the new system constructed in Section 2. Since $\mathscr{A}:=\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ is an orthonormal basis for $L_{2}(\Omega)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{I}=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}} a(I, J) \psi_{J} \quad \text { with } \quad a(I, J):=\left\langle\theta_{I}, \psi_{J}\right\rangle, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inner product is defined by $\langle f, g\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} f(t) g(t) d t$. We denote the matrix of the coefficients by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}:=(a(I, J))_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma shows that $\mathbf{A}$ is very close to the identity matrix. In what follows $\varepsilon, k$, and $M$ will be the parameters of $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$; see Section 2 .

Lemma 3.1. Let $k \geqslant 1$ and $M>k+1$. Then the entries $a(I, J)$ of $\mathbf{A}$ satisfy the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a(I, J)| \leqslant C_{1} \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{k+1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-M}, \quad I \neq J, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|a(I, I)-1| \leqslant C_{1} \varepsilon, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}>1$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. First let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. Let $I, J \in \mathscr{D}, I \neq J$, and $|J| \leqslant|I|$. We shall estimate both $|a(I, J)|$ and $|a(J, I)|$ under these conditions. This is sufficient for the proof of (3.3).

Without loss of generality we shall assume that $|I|=1$ and $t_{I}=0$. We have, using the orthogonality of $\psi_{I}$ and $\psi_{J}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(I, J):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_{I}(t) \psi_{J}(t) d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\theta_{I}(t)-\psi_{I}(t)\right) \psi_{J}(t) d t . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
g_{I}:=\theta_{I}-\psi_{I} .
$$

We use the vanishing moments of $\psi_{J}$ (see A2) and (3.5) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|a(I, J)| & =\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[g_{I}(t)-\sum_{v=0}^{k-1} g_{I}^{(v)}\left(t_{J}\right)\left(t-t_{J}\right)^{v} / v!\right] \psi_{J}(t) d t\right| \\
& \left.\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mid g_{I}(t)-\sum_{v=0}^{k-1} g_{I}^{(v)}\left(t_{J}\right)\left(t-t_{J}\right)^{v}\right) / v!| | \psi_{J}(t) \mid d t \\
& =\int_{T}+\int_{T^{c}}=: \mathscr{I}_{1}+\mathscr{I}_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integral over $\mathbb{R}$ is split up into integrals over $T:=\left\{t:\left|t-t_{J}\right| \geqslant 1\right\}$ and $T^{c}$.

For $\mathscr{I}_{1}$, we use B1, (2.6), and the definition of $T$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{I}_{1} \leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2} \int_{T}\left[(1+|t|)^{-M}+\sum_{v=0}^{k-1}\left|t-t_{J}\right|^{v}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M}\right] \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M} d t \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2} \int_{T}\left[(1+|t|)^{-M}+\left|t-t_{J}\right|^{k-1}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M}\right] \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M} d t \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2} \int_{T}(1+|t|)^{-M}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M} d t \\
& +C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} \int_{T}\left|t-t_{J}\right|^{k-1}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M} d t \\
= & \mathscr{I}_{11}+\mathscr{I}_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $\mathscr{I}_{11}$ we define $U:=\left\{t:|t| \leqslant\left|t_{J}\right| / 2\right\}$. We shall integrate over $T \cap U$ and $T \cap U^{c}$ separately. If $t \in U$, then $\left|t-t_{J}\right| \geqslant\left|t_{J}\right|-|t| \geqslant\left|t_{J}\right| / 2$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t-t_{J}\right| /|J| \geqslant \max \left\{1,\left|t_{J}\right| / 2\right\} /|J| \geqslant \frac{1}{4}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right) /|J| . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t \in U^{c}:=\left\{t:|t|>\left|t_{J}\right| / 2\right\}$, then $1+|t| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)$. Using this and (3.6), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{I}_{11} \leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2}\left(\int_{T \cap U}+\int_{T \cap U^{c}}\right) \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2}\left(|J|^{M}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(1+|t|)^{-M} d t\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} \int_{\left|t-t_{J}\right| \geqslant 1}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M} d t\right) \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{M-1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{I}_{12} & \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{k-1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} \int_{\left|t-t_{J}\right| \geqslant 1}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M+k-1} d t \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{M+1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above estimates for $\mathscr{I}_{11}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{12}$ imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{1} \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{M-1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the integral $\mathscr{I}_{2}$ over $T^{c}:=\left\{t:\left|t-t_{J}\right|<1\right\}$, we have, using Taylor's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{I}_{2} \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2} \int_{T^{c}}\left|t-t_{J}\right|^{k}\left\|g_{I}^{(k)}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left(\Delta_{t}\right)}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M} d t, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{t}$ is the interval with end points $t_{J}$ and $t$. For each $\xi \in \Delta_{t}$, we have

$$
1+|\xi| \geqslant 1+\left|t_{J}\right|-\left|\xi-t_{J}\right| \geqslant 1+\left|t_{J}\right|-\left|t-t_{J}\right| \geqslant\left|t_{J}\right|
$$

and hence $1+|\xi| \geqslant(1 / 2)\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)$. Therefore, by B1,

$$
\left\|g_{I}^{(k)}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left(\Delta_{t}\right)} \leqslant \varepsilon \max _{\xi \in \Lambda_{t}}(1+|\xi|)^{-M} \leqslant C \varepsilon\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} .
$$

We use this in (3.8) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{I}_{2} & \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{k-1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} \int_{\left|t-t_{J}\right|<1}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-M+k} d t \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{k-1 / 2}\left(1+\left|t_{J}\right|\right)^{-M} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This estimate and (3.7) yield (3.3) when $I \neq J$, and $|J| \leqslant|I|$.
Let us now estimate $|a(J, I)|$ when $I \neq J$ and $|J| \leqslant|I|$. As in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(J, I) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\theta_{J}(t)-\psi_{J}(t)\right) \psi_{I}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\psi_{I}(t)-\sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \psi_{I}^{(v)}\left(t_{J}\right)\left(t-t_{J}\right)^{v} / v!\right]\left(\theta_{J}(t)-\psi_{J}(t)\right) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\theta_{J}-\psi_{J}$ has $k$ vanishing moments, see A2 and B2. We estimate $|a(J, I)|$ using estimate (2.6) for $\left|\psi_{I}(t)\right|$ and $\left|\psi_{I}^{(\nu)}\left(t_{J}\right)\right|$, and B 1 for $\left|\theta_{J}(t)-\psi_{J}(t)\right|$. Everything else is exactly the same as in the estimate of $|a(I, J)|$ and will be omitted. Thus (3.3) is proved.

To estimate $|a(I, I)|$ we use that $\left\|\psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{2}(\mathbb{R})}=1$ and write

$$
a(I, I):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_{I}(t) \psi_{I}(t) d t=1+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\theta_{I}(t)-\psi_{I}(t)\right) \psi_{I}(t) d t .
$$

Now, B1 and estimate (2.6) for $\psi_{I}$ yield (3.4). Thus (3.3) and (3.4) are proved when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\Omega=[0,1]$. If $I, J \in \bigcup_{m>m_{0}} \mathscr{D}_{m}$, then $|a(I, J)|$ and $|a(J, I)|$ can be estimated exactly as in the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ and (3.3) and (3.4) hold.

If $J \in \bigcup_{m>m_{0}} \mathscr{D}_{m}$ and $I \in \bigcup_{m \leqslant m_{0}} \mathscr{D}_{m}$, then in the estimate of $|a(I, J)|$ and $|a(J, I)| \mathrm{B} 3^{\prime}$ replaces B 1 and everything else is the same as in the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. As a result, (3.3) holds.

If $I, J \in \bigcup_{m \leqslant m_{0}} \mathscr{D}_{m}$ and $I \neq J$, then B3' $^{\prime}$ with $j=0$ yields

$$
|a(I, J)|,|a(J, I)| \leqslant C \varepsilon .
$$

This estimate implies (3.3) in this case (with $C_{1}$ depending on $m_{0}$ ).
If $I \in \bigcup_{m \leqslant m_{0}} \mathscr{D}_{m}$, then (3.4) can be proved exactly as in the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ by using B3' instead of B1. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}:=(g(I, J))_{I, J \in \mathscr{O}}:=\left(\left\langle\theta_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right)_{I, J \in \mathscr{O}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Gram matrix of $\mathscr{B}$, where $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{D}(\Omega)$ with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ or $\Omega=[0,1]$. The following lemma shows that $G$ is very close to the identity matrix.

Lemma 3.2. If $k \geqslant 1$ and $M>k+1$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
&|g(I, J)| \leqslant C \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{k+1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-M}, \\
& I \neq J, \quad I, J \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(I, I)-1| \leqslant C \varepsilon, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>1$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. First let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. Let $I, J \in \mathscr{D}$ and $I \neq J$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right| \leqslant\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}-\psi_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\psi_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}-\psi_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right|+|a(J, I)| . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ as well as $\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ satisfy the decay conditions (2.6) and have $k$ vanishing moments (see B2 and A2). Also, B1 holds. Hence $\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}-\psi_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right|$ can be estimated exactly as $|a(I, J)|$ was estimated in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Therefore, the upper bound from (3.3) holds for $\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}-\psi_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right|$. Thus (3.10) holds.

It is readily seen that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}, \theta_{I}\right\rangle-1\right| & \leqslant\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}, \theta_{I}-\psi_{I}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}-\psi_{I}, \psi_{I}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\psi_{I}, \psi_{I}\right\rangle-1\right| \\
& =\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}, \theta_{I}-\psi_{I}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\theta_{I}-\psi_{I}, \psi_{I}\right\rangle\right| . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

This, B1, and (2.6) yield (3.11).
If $\Omega=[0,1]$, then we proceed again similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.1 using (3.12) and (3.13). The details are omitted. Lemma 3.2 is proved.

We shall next prove that the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ from (3.2) and $\mathbf{G}$ from (3.9) are invertible. This will enable us to prove that the new systems have most of the good properties of the old bases.

We denote by $\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D})$ the weighted $\ell_{\infty}$ space of all sequences $\left(c_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(c_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\right\|_{\epsilon_{\infty}}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{O}):=\sup _{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|c_{I}\right||I|^{\lambda}<\infty .
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $M>k+1$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for each $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ the matrix A from (3.2) is the matrix of an invertible bounded linear operator $\mathbf{A}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}),|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2$. Moreover, $\|\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{A}\|_{\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow t_{\infty}^{\lambda}}<1$ and hence $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ can be defined by its Neumann series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{A})^{n} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is absolutely convergent. In addition to this, the inverse operator $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ has a matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}^{-1}=:(b(I, J))_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the following property: For any selection of the constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $3 / 2 \leqslant \alpha<k+1 / 2,1<\beta \leqslant M$, and $2 \alpha \geqslant \beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|b(I, J)| \leqslant C_{3} \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad I \neq J, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|b(I, I)-1| \leqslant C_{3} \varepsilon, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.
Remark 3.1. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is fairly standard: Some related works we refer the reader to are [FJ, Mü, and L]. However, since we
could not find a reference that was good enough for our purposes and for the completeness of the present paper we give the following proof of this theorem.

For the proof of Theorem 3.1 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathbf{M}_{i}=\left(\lambda_{i}(I, J)\right)_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}}, i=1,2$, be two matrices satisfying the properties, for $I, J \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{1}(I, J)\right| \leqslant\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{2}(I, J)\right| \leqslant\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha+\delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha>1, \beta>1, \delta>0$, and $2 \alpha \geqslant \beta$. Let

$$
\mathbf{M}:=\mathbf{M}_{1} \mathbf{M}_{2}=:(\lambda(I, J))_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda(I, J)| \leqslant C_{2}\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad I, J \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}>1$ is a constant depending only on $\alpha, \beta$, and $\delta$.
We give the proof of this lemma in the Appendix.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. We shall prove this theorem only for the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. Let

$$
\mathbf{L}:=\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{A}=:(c(I, J))_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}}
$$

and let $\mathbf{L}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D})$ denote the operator with matrix $\mathbf{L}$. We shall show that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon,\|\mathbf{L}\|_{\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}}<1$.

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be such that $3 / 2 \leqslant \alpha<k+1 / 2, \quad 1<\beta \leqslant M$, and $2 \alpha \geqslant \beta$. Evidently such $\alpha$ and $\beta$ exist. Lemma 3.3 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c(I, J)| \leqslant C_{1} \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha+\delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad I, J \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta:=k+1 / 2-\alpha>0$. It is readily seen that

$$
\|\mathbf{L}\|_{\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow t_{\infty}^{\lambda}}=\sup _{I \in \mathscr{D}} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}}|c(I, J)||I|^{\lambda}|J|^{-\lambda} .
$$

We shall use (3.21) and that $|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2$ to estimate $\|\mathbf{L}\|_{\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow t_{\infty}^{\lambda}}$. We have, for a fixed $I \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$
\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}}|c(I, J)||I|^{\lambda}|J|^{-\lambda}=\sum_{|J| \leqslant|I|}+\sum_{|J|>|I|}=: \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} .
$$

To estimate $\sigma_{1}$ we set $I_{\mu}:=I+\mu|I|$. Using (3.21), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & :=\sum_{|J| \leqslant|I|}|c(I, J)||I|^{\lambda}|J|^{-\lambda} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{J \subset I_{\mu} \\
|J|=2^{-j}|I|}}|c(I, J)||I|^{\lambda}|J|^{-\lambda} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(1+\lambda)} \max \left\{|c(I, J)|: J \subset I_{\mu},|J|=2^{-j}|I|\right\} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\alpha+\delta-3 / 2)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|\mu|)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use that $\alpha \geqslant 3 / 2, \delta>0$, and $\beta>1$.
We next estimate $\sigma_{2}$. For a fixed $j \geqslant 1$, let $J_{0}$ be the dyadic interval with the properties $J_{0} \supset I$ and $\left|J_{0}\right|=2^{j}|I|$. Write $J_{\mu j}:=J_{0}+\mu\left|J_{0}\right|$. We estimate now, using (3.21),

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{2} & :=\sum_{|J|>|I|}|c(I, J)||I|^{\lambda}|J|^{-\lambda} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|c\left(I, J_{\mu j}\right)\right||I|^{\lambda}|J|^{-\lambda} \\
& =C \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\alpha+\delta-3 / 2)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|\mu|)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon, \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used again that $\alpha \geqslant 3 / 2, \delta>0$, and $\beta>1$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}}|c(I, J)|=\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \leqslant C \varepsilon
$$

and hence, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{L}\|_{\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}} \leqslant C \varepsilon<1 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{A}^{-1}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D})$ exists and (3.14) holds.
Let

$$
\mathbf{L}^{n}=:\left(c_{n}(I, J)\right)_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}} .
$$

We shall prove that, for $n=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{n}(I, J)\right| \leqslant(B \varepsilon)^{n}\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad I, J \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B=C_{1} C_{2}$ with $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, respectively. We shall carry out the proof of (3.23) by induction in $n$. From (3.21), it follows that (3.23) holds for $n=1$. Suppose that (3.23) holds for some $n \geqslant 1$. Then we apply Lemma 3.3, using (3.21) and (3.23), to conclude that (3.23) holds for $n+1$. This completes the proof of (3.23).

We are now in a position to prove that (3.16) and (3.17) are valid. It follows, by (3.14) and (3.23), that, for $\varepsilon<1 / B$ and $I \neq J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|b(I, J)| & \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}(I, J)\right| \\
& \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(B \varepsilon)^{n}\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant \frac{B \varepsilon}{1-B \varepsilon}\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (3.16) holds. The proof of (3.17) is similar. Theorem 3.1 is proved.

Corollary 3.1. Let $k \geqslant 2, M>k+1$, and $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is from Theorem 3.1. Then the new system $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ is related to the old basis $\mathscr{A}(\Omega)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{I}=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}} a(I, J) \psi_{J} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{I}=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}} b(I, J) \theta_{J}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}:=\mathscr{D}(\Omega), \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a(I, J)$ are from (3.1) and $b(I, J)$ are from (3.15), and both series converge absolutely in $L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Moreover, $\left(\left\|\theta_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D})$.

Proof. It follows by A1 that $\left(\left\|\psi_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D})(1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty)$. Therefore, since the operator $\mathbf{A}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D})(|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2)$ is bounded,
the first series in (3.24) converges absolutely in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ and $\left(\left\|\theta_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in$ $\ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D})$. Similarly, by Theorem 3.1, $\mathbf{A}^{-1}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D})(|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2)$ is a bounded operator and, since $\left(\left\|\theta_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D})$, the second series in (3.24) converges absolutely in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ as well.

Theorem 3.2. Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $M>k+1$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for each $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ the matrix $\mathbf{G}$, defined by (3.9), is the matrix of an invertible bounded linear operator $\mathbf{G}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}),|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2$. Moreover, $\|\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{G}\|_{\epsilon_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow t_{\infty}^{\lambda}}<1$ and hence $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$ can be defined by its Neumann series

$$
\mathbf{G}^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{G})^{n}
$$

which is absolutely convergent. In addition to this, the inverse operator $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$ has a matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}^{-1}=:(\tilde{g}(I, J))_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}}, \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the following property: For any selection of the constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $3 / 2 \leqslant \alpha<k+1 / 2,1<\beta \leqslant M$, and $2 \alpha \geqslant \beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{g}(I, J)| \leqslant C_{4} \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad I \neq J, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{g}(I, I)-1| \leqslant C_{4} \varepsilon, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{4}>1$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the entries $g(I, J)$ of $\mathbf{G}$ satisfy the same inequalities as the entries of $\mathbf{A}$ (see Lemma 3.1). Therefore, Theorem 3.2 follows by the proof of Theorem 3.1. Note that $\mathbf{G}$ is a self-adjoint operator.

Remark 3.2. Let $\ell_{p}(\mathscr{D}), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, be the space of all sequences $\left(c_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{O}}$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(c_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\right\|_{\theta_{p}(\mathscr{D})}:=\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|c_{I}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

with the $\ell_{p}$-norm replaced by the sup-norm if $p=\infty$. It can be proved that the operators $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{G}$ from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, respectively, are bounded and invertible, considered as operators from $\ell_{p}(\mathscr{D})$ onto $\ell_{p}(\mathscr{D})$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. Let $k \geqslant 2$ and $M>k+1$. Then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ the new system $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}(\Omega)}$ with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ or $\Omega=[0,1]$ has a dual $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}(\Omega)=\left\{\widetilde{\theta}_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\theta}_{I}:=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}} \tilde{g}(I, J) \theta_{J}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the series converges absolutely in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{I} \in L_{p}(\Omega)$ for each $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Thus

$$
\left\langle\theta_{I}, \tilde{\theta}_{J}\right\rangle=\delta_{I, J} \quad \text { for } \quad I, J \in \mathscr{D} .
$$

Moreover, $\left(\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{O}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D}), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$.
Proof. We select $\varepsilon$ so that $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ is from Theorem 3.2. By (2.6) or (2.7), it follows that $\left(\left\|\theta_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D}), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. We have, by Theorem 3.2, that $\mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{- 1}}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D}) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathscr{D})(|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2)$ is a bounded linear operator. Therefore, the series from (3.28) converges absolutely in $L_{p}(\Omega)$ and hence $\tilde{\theta}_{I} \in L_{p}(\Omega), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, and $\left(\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p}(\mathscr{D})$. Using this, we obtain, for $I, J \in \mathscr{D}$,

$$
\left\langle\theta_{J}, \tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\rangle=\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}} \tilde{g}(I, \Delta)\left\langle\theta_{J}, \theta_{\Delta}\right\rangle=\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}} \tilde{g}(I, \Delta) g(\Delta, J)=\delta_{I, J},
$$

since $\mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{G}=\mathbf{I d}$.

## 4. THE NEW SYSTEM ON [0, 1] IS <br> A SCHAUDER BASIS FOR $C[0,1]$

It is our main goal in this section to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. If $k \geqslant 4$ and $M>5$, then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ the new system $\mathscr{B}=\mathscr{B}([0,1])$ from Section 2 is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$.

It is easy to prove that a good orthogonal wavelet basis (like the old basis $\mathscr{A}$ ) is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$ because of the existence of the scaling functions $\left\{\phi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}$ which span $V_{m}$. Our plan is to create a similar structure in the new system $\mathscr{B}$ and use it to prove that $\mathscr{B}$ is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$. Our construction will be based on the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence in a Banach space $X$. Then $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis of $X$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(i) $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ is complete in $X$ (the closed span of $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ is all of $X$ ).
(ii) There exists a dual system $\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty} \subset X^{*}\left(\left\langle\theta_{\mu}, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle=\delta_{\mu, v}\right)$.
(iii) For each $m \geqslant m_{0}\left(m_{0} \geqslant 0\right.$ is fixed $)$ there exist sets $\left\{\omega_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}} \subset X$ and $\left\{\tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}} \cup\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v, m}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty} \subset X^{*}$ such that the following conditions hold:
(a) $\operatorname{Span}\left\{\omega_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}}=: X_{m}$.
(b) $\left\{\tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}} \cup\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v, m}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$ is the dual of $\left\{\omega_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}} \cup$ $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$.
(c) For each $f \in X$ and $0 \leqslant i<2^{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle f, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m}+\sum_{v=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m}+i}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v, m}\right\rangle \theta_{v}\right\| \leqslant K\|f\|, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a constant independent of $f, m$, and $i$ and $\langle f, \tilde{g}\rangle$ denotes the value of the linear functional $\tilde{g} \in X^{*}$ at $f$.

Remark 4.1. We recall that $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty} \subset X(X$ a Banach space $)$ is called a Schauder basis for $X$ if for each $f \in X$ there exists a unique sequence of scalars $\left\{a_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ such that $f=\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_{v} \theta_{v}$ in $X$; see, e.g., [LT] or [KS].

Note that conditions (i)-(iii) readily imply that $\left\{\tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}} \cup\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$ is the dual of $\left\{\omega_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}} \cup\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$ (the dual is unique) and hence $\tilde{\theta}_{v, m}$ $=\widetilde{\theta}_{v}, v \geqslant 2^{m}$. Therefore, in the formulation of Proposition 4.1, $\left\{\widetilde{\theta}_{v, m}\right\}_{\substack{\infty \\ v=2^{m}+1}}^{\infty}$ can be replaced by $\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$.

We note that Proposition 4.1 is an adaptation to our situation of the standard criterion for checking whether a given sequence is a Schauder basis. Condition (iii) is usually replaced by the following equivalent condition (or a similar one) (see, e.g., [LT] or [KS]):
(iii') There exists a constant $K>0$ such that, for every $f \in X$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{v=1}^{n}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v}\right\| \leqslant K\|f\|, \quad n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

For completeness, we give the proof of the part of Proposition 4.1 that we need in the Appendix.

We denote

$$
\mathscr{E}_{m}:=\bigcup_{v=-1}^{m-1} \mathscr{D}_{v} \quad \text { and } \quad X_{m}:=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{E}_{m}},
$$

where $\theta_{I}$ are from $\mathscr{B}[0,1]$. Note that $\# \mathscr{E}_{m}=\operatorname{dim} X_{m}=2^{m}$.

In what follows, we shall assume that $k=4$ and $M>5$. Also, we shall assume that the parameters $\alpha, \beta, r, \alpha^{\prime}$, and $\beta^{\prime}$ are selected so that the following inequalities hold: $3 / 2 \leqslant \alpha<k+1 / 2, \quad 1<\beta \leqslant M, 2 \alpha \geqslant \beta, \quad \alpha>r+3 / 2$, $\alpha+1 / 2>\beta, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant k, \beta>r+1,3 / 2<\alpha^{\prime}<r+1 / 2,1<\beta^{\prime} \leqslant \beta$, and $2 \alpha^{\prime} \geqslant \beta^{\prime}$, where $r$ is an integer. These parameters should be selected in the order $\beta^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}, r, \beta$, and $\alpha$. Here is one possible selection of the parameters: $\beta^{\prime}=$ $1+\eta, \alpha^{\prime}=1.5+\eta, r=2, \beta=3+\eta$, and $\alpha=3.5+\eta$ with $\eta>0$ sufficiently small. We assume that $m_{0}$ is the constant from Section 2. Also, we shall assume that the $\varepsilon>0$ from the construction of the new system $\mathscr{B}$ in Section 2 is small enough, namely, so small that Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.1, and Corollary 3.2 apply.

Lemma 4.1. For any $m \geqslant m_{0}$ and $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}$ there exists $\omega_{I} \in X_{m}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\phi_{I}^{(j)}(t)-\omega_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}, \\
t \in[0,1], \quad j=0,1, \ldots, r, \tag{4.2}
\end{array}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon, m$, and $I$.
Proof. If $m=m_{0}$, then (4.2) follows by B3 with $\omega_{I}$ defined in B3 and $C$ depending on $m_{0}$.

Let $m>m_{0}$ and let $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}$. We have, by the properties of the old basis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{I}=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{E}_{m}} c(I, J) \psi_{J} \quad \text { with } \quad c(I, J):=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{I}(t) \psi_{J}(t) d t . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By A1 and A3, we readily find

$$
|c(I, J)| \leqslant C|I|^{-1 / 2}|J|^{-1 / 2}|I|=C\left(\frac{|I|}{|J|}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { if } \quad\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right| \leqslant 2 A|J|,
$$

and $c(I, J)=0$ otherwise. From this, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c(I, J)| \leqslant C\left(\frac{|I|}{|J|}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad|J|>|I| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ depending on $A$ and $\beta$.
We next approximate each $\psi_{J},|J|>|I|$, from the subspace $X_{m}$.

Lemma 4.2. For each $J \in \mathscr{D}_{v},-1 \leqslant v \leqslant m-1$, there exists $\lambda_{J} \in X_{m}$ such that, for $j=0,1, \ldots, r$, and $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{J}^{(j)}(t)-\lambda_{J}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{-j-1 / 2} 2^{-(m-v)(\alpha-j-1 / 2)}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon, m$, $J$, and $t$.
Proof. We have, by Corollary 3.1,

$$
\psi_{J}=\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}} b(J, \Delta) \theta_{\Delta},
$$

where the series converges uniformly. Let

$$
\lambda_{J}:=\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{m}} b(J, \Delta) \theta_{\Delta}, \quad \lambda_{J} \in X_{m} .
$$

Then

$$
\psi_{J}-\lambda_{J}=\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D} \backslash \delta_{m}} b(J, \Delta) \theta_{\Delta} .
$$

Using (2.7) and Theorem 3.1, we obtain, for $j=0,1, \ldots, r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D} \mathscr{\delta}_{m}}\left\|b(J, \Delta) \theta_{\Delta}{ }^{(j)}(t)\right\|_{L_{\infty}} & \leqslant C \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{\mu}}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|J|}\right)^{\alpha}|\Delta|^{-j-1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{-j-1 / 2} \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{\mu}}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|J|}\right)^{\alpha-j-1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{-j-1 / 2} \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} 2^{\mu} 2^{-(\mu-m)(\alpha-r-1 / 2)} \\
& <\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\alpha>r+3 / 2$. Therefore, the series

$$
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D} \backslash \mathscr{\delta}_{m}} b(J, \Delta) \theta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(t)
$$

converges uniformly on $[0,1]$ and hence, for $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{J}^{(j)}(t)-\lambda_{J}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant & \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O} \backslash \mathscr{E}_{m}}|b(J, \Delta)|\left|\theta_{\Delta}^{(j)}(t)\right| \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|J|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{J}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \times|\Delta|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|J|^{-j-1 / 2} \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} 2^{-(\mu-v)(\alpha-j-1 / 2)} \\
& \times \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{J}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 8.1 to the last sum above, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{J}^{(j)}(t)-\lambda_{J}^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\mid t-t_{J}}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{\mu=m}^{\infty} 2^{-(\mu-v)(\alpha-j-1 / 2)} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|J|^{-1 / 2} 2^{-(m-v)(\alpha-j-1 / 2)}\left(1+\frac{\mid t-t_{J}}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\alpha>r+3 / 2$. Thus (4.5) is proved.
Completion of the Proof of Lemma 4.2. We define, for $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}$,

$$
\omega_{I}:=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{E}_{m}} c(I, J) \lambda_{J}, \quad \omega_{I} \in X_{m},
$$

where $c(I, J)$ are from (4.3). Using (4.4) and Lemma 4.2, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi_{I}^{(j)}(t)-\omega_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant & \sum_{J \in \mathscr{E}_{m}}|c(I, J)|\left|\psi_{J}^{(j)}(t)-\lambda_{J}^{(j)}(t)\right| \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon \sum_{v=-1}^{m-1} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{v}}\left(\frac{|I|}{|J|}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \times|J|^{-j-1 / 2} 2^{-(m-v)(\alpha-j-1 / 2)}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2} \sum_{v=-1}^{m-1} 2^{-(m-v)(\alpha+1 / 2)} \\
& \times \sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{v}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 8.1 to the last sum above, we obtain

$$
\left|\phi_{I}^{(j)}(t)-\omega_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2} \sum_{v=-1}^{m-1} 2^{-(m-v)(\alpha+1 / 2)}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{2^{-v}}\right)^{-\beta} .
$$

Clearly

$$
1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{2^{-v}} \geqslant 2^{-(m-v)}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\phi_{I}^{(j)}(t)-\omega_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{v=-1}^{m-1} 2^{-(m-v)(\alpha+1 / 2-\beta)} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\alpha+1 / 2>\beta$. Lemma 4.1 is proved.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. We shall prove that conditions (i)-(iii) of Proposition 4.1 hold with $X:=C[0,1],\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}:=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}},\left\{\widetilde{\theta}_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}:=$ $\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$, and $\left\{\omega_{v, m}\right\}_{v=1}^{2^{m}}:=\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}, m=0,1, \ldots$, where $\tilde{\theta}_{I}$ are from Corollary 3.2 and $\omega_{I} \in X_{m}$ are from Lemma 4.1.

Since $\mathscr{A}$ is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$ (see property A5 of $\mathscr{A}$ ) $\mathscr{A}$ is complete in $C[0,1]$. This and Corollary 3.1 imply that $\mathscr{B}$ is complete in $C[0,1]$ as well. Thus condition (i) holds.

By Corollary 3.2, we have that $\mathscr{\mathscr { B }}:=\left\{\tilde{\mathscr{I}}_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\theta}_{I}:=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}} \tilde{g}(I, J) \theta_{J}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the dual of $\mathscr{B}$. Thus condition (ii) holds. Note that the dual $\mathscr{\mathscr { B }}$ is unique since $\mathscr{B}$ is complete in $C[0,1]$.

It remains to prove that condition (iii) holds. Let $m \geqslant m_{0}$. Let $\mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}:=\mathscr{D}_{m}$ $\left(\mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}\right.$ is a copy of $\left.\mathscr{D}_{m}\right)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}:=\bigcup_{v=m}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{v}$. We define

$$
\mathscr{B}_{m}:=\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}} \cup\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}},
$$

where $\omega_{I}$ are from Lemma 4.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{m}=\left(g_{m}(I, J)\right)_{I, J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0} \cup \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Gram matrix of $\mathscr{B}_{m}$. We next show that $\mathbf{G}_{m}$ is close to the identity matrix.

Lemma 4.3. We have, for $I, J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0} \cup \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{m}(I, J)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{r+1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta}, \quad I \neq J, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{m}(I, I)-1\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C>1$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. If $I, J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}$, then (4.8) and (4.9) follow by Lemma $3.2(k \geqslant r)$.
By (2.7) and Lemma 4.1, it follows that, for $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}, j=0,1, \ldots, r$, and $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\psi_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\omega_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right|,\left|\theta_{I}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant C|I|^{-j-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I, J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}$ and $I \neq J$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{m}(I, J)\right| & =\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}\right\rangle-\left\langle\phi_{I}, \phi_{J}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \omega_{J}-\phi_{J}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}-\phi_{I}, \phi_{J}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|\omega_{I}(t)\right|\left|\omega_{J}(t)-\phi_{J}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left|\omega_{I}(t)-\phi_{I}(t)\right|\left|\phi_{J}(t)\right| d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\left\langle\phi_{I}, \phi_{J}\right\rangle=0$. This and Lemma 4.1 (with $j=0$ ) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g_{m}(I, J)\right| & \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} d t \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (4.8) holds for $I, J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}$ and $I \neq J$. Similarly, we obtain, for $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}$,

$$
\left|g_{m}(I, I)-1\right|=\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \omega_{I}\right\rangle-1\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon .
$$

This is (4.9).
Let $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}, J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}$, and $I \neq J$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g(I, J)| & =\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle\right|=\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \theta_{J}\right\rangle-\left\langle\phi_{I}, \psi_{J}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \theta_{J}-\psi_{J}\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}-\phi_{I}, \psi_{J}\right\rangle\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\left\langle\phi_{I}, \psi_{J}\right\rangle=0$. We note that $\omega_{I}$ satisfies (4.10) and $\theta_{J}-\psi_{J}$ satisfies B 1 and has $k(k \geqslant r)$ vanishing moments by A2 and B2. Also, $\omega_{I}-\phi_{I}$ satisfies (4.2) and $\psi_{J}$ satisfies (4.10) and has $k(k \geqslant r)$ vanishing moments. Therefore, we can estimate $\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}, \theta_{J}-\psi_{J}\right\rangle\right|$ and $\left|\left\langle\omega_{I}-\phi_{I}, \psi_{J}\right\rangle\right|$ exactly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 and obtain the upper bound (4.8). The roles of $M$ and $k$ are played now by $\beta$ and $r$, respectively. We omit the details. Thus Lemma 4.3 is proved.

Lemma 4.3 enables us to prove that the Gram matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}$ is invertible.
Lemma 4.4. There exists $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that for each $0<\varepsilon \leqslant \varepsilon_{1}$ the matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}$, defined by (4.7), is the matrix of an invertible bounded linear operator $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}: \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{D}_{m}^{0} \cup \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}\left(\mathscr{D}_{m}^{0} \cup \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}\right),|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2$. Moreover, $\left\|\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}}$ $<1$ and hence $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}{ }^{-1}$ can be defined by its Neumann series

$$
\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{I d}-\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}\right)^{n}
$$

which is absolutely convergent. In addition to this, the inverse operator $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}{ }^{-1}$ has a matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{m}}^{-1}=:\left(\tilde{g}_{m}(I, J)\right)_{I, J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{0} \cup \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{g}_{m}(I, J)\right| \leqslant C_{4} \varepsilon\left(\min \left\{\frac{|I|}{|J|}, \frac{|J|}{|I|}\right\}\right)^{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{\max \{|I|,|J|\}}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}, \quad I \neq J, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{g}_{m}(I, I)-1\right| \leqslant C_{4} \varepsilon \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{4}>1$ is a constant independent of $\varepsilon$.
Proof. It is readily seen that Lemma 3.3 holds with $\mathscr{D}$ replaced by $\mathscr{D}_{m}^{0} \cup \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}$( $m$ fixed) and $C_{2}$ independent of $m$. Now, Lemma 4.4 follows by Lemma 4.3. The proof is almost identical to the proof of Theorem 3.1 (or Theorem 3.2). The roles of $M, k, \alpha$, and $\beta$ are played now by $\beta, r, \alpha^{\prime}$, and $\beta^{\prime}$, respectively. Note that we assumed earlier that the latter parameters satisfy the inequalities $3 / 2<\alpha^{\prime}<r+1 / 2,1<\beta^{\prime} \leqslant \beta$, and $2 \alpha^{\prime} \geqslant \beta^{\prime}$ which replace the corresponding inequalities for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. We leave the details of this proof to the reader.

Completion of the Proof of Condition (iii). We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{I}:=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{0}} \tilde{g}_{m}(I, J) \omega_{J}+\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{+}} \tilde{g}_{m}(I, J) \theta_{J}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}, \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\theta}_{I, m}:=\sum_{J \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{m}^{0}} \tilde{g}_{m}(I, J) \omega_{J}+\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}} \tilde{g}_{m}(I, J) \theta_{J}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exactly as in the proof of Corollary 3.2, it follows, by Lemma 4.4, that

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_{m}:=\left\{\tilde{\omega}_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{0}} \cup\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{I, m}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}}
$$

is a dual of $\mathscr{B}_{m}$. This yields that $\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}}$ is a linearly independent set and hence $\operatorname{Span}\left\{\omega_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{E}_{m}}$. Therefore, $\mathscr{B}_{m}$ is complete in $C[0,1]$ as well as $\mathscr{B}$. Hence the dual $\widetilde{\mathscr{B}}_{m}$ of $\mathscr{B}_{m}$ is unique and (see Remark 4.1)

$$
\tilde{\theta}_{I}=\tilde{\theta}_{I, m}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+},
$$

where $\widetilde{\theta}_{I}$ is from (4.6).
Next, we use Lemma 4.4 and the good localization properties of $\omega_{J}$ and $\theta_{J}$ to show that $\tilde{\omega}_{I}$ and $\tilde{\theta}_{I}\left(I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}\right)$ have good localization properties. We have, combining (4.10) (with $j=0$ ), (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15), for $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{\omega}_{I}(t)-\omega_{I}(t)\right| \leqslant & \left|\tilde{g}_{m}(I, I)-1\right|\left|\omega_{I}(t)\right| \\
& +\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0}, J \neq I}\left|\tilde{g}_{m}(I, J)\right|\left|\omega_{J}(t)\right|+\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}}\left|\tilde{g}_{m}(I, J)\right|\left|\theta_{J}(t)\right| \\
\leqslant & C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{0}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} \\
& +C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{+}}\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha^{\prime}-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} \\
= & \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma A. 1 to the sum in $\sigma_{1}$ to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\sigma_{2} \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}-1 / 2\right)} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{m+\mu}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}
$$

Applying Lemma A. 1 to the last sum, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\mu\left(\alpha^{\prime}-3 / 2\right)} \\
& \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\alpha^{\prime}>3 / 2$. From this and (4.17), it follows that

$$
\left|\tilde{\omega}_{I}(t)-\omega_{I}(t)\right| \leqslant C \varepsilon|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\omega}_{I}(t)\right| \leqslant C|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}, \quad t \in[0,1], \quad I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}^{0} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proceeding exactly as above (using (4.10), (4.13), and (4.14) in (4.16)), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\theta}_{I}(t)\right| \leqslant C|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}, \quad t \in[0,1], \quad I \in \mathscr{D}_{m} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are finally completely ready to prove that condition (iii) holds. Let $n=2^{m}+i$, where $2^{m} \leqslant n<2^{m+1}$ and $0 \leqslant i<2^{m}$. Let

$$
P_{n}(f):=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{0}}\left\langle f, \tilde{\omega}_{I}\right\rangle \omega_{I}+\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m, i}^{\diamond}}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\rangle \theta_{I},
$$

where $\mathscr{D}_{m, i}^{\diamond}$ is the set of the first $i$ intervals $I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}$ (ordered as usual from left to right). We have

$$
P_{n}(f)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} K_{n}(t, y) f(y) d y
$$

where

$$
K_{n}(t, y):=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{m}^{0}} \tilde{\omega}_{I}(y) \omega_{I}(t)+\sum_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{m, i}^{\diamond}} \tilde{\theta}_{I}(y) \theta_{I}(t) .
$$

We use (4.10) $\left(\beta>\beta^{\prime}\right)$, (4.18), and (4.19) to obtain, for $t, y \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|K_{n}(t, y)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}^{0}}\left|\tilde{\omega}_{I}(y)\right|\left|\omega_{I}(t)\right|+\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m, i}^{\diamond}}\left|\tilde{\theta}_{I}(y)\right|\left|\theta_{I}(t)\right| \\
& \leqslant C|I|^{-1} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|y-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma A. 1 one more time, we find

$$
\left|K_{n}(t, y)\right| \leqslant C|I|^{-1}\left(1+\frac{|t-y|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}}, \quad t, y \in[0,1] .
$$

Therefore, we have, for $f \in C([0,1])$ and $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{n}(f)(t)\right| & \leqslant \int_{0}^{1}\left|K_{n}(t, y)\right||f(y)| d y \\
& \leqslant C\|f\|_{C}|I|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+\frac{|t-y|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta^{\prime}} d y \leqslant C\|f\|_{C},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\beta^{\prime}>1$. Hence condition (iii) holds and this completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

## 5. THE NEW SYSTEM IS AN UNCONDITIONAL BASIS FOR $L_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, AND BESOV SPACES

From the way the new systems were constructed in Section 2 and their properties from Section 3, it is clear that they should be unconditional bases for all reasonable spaces of functions of a certain smoothness. In this section we prove this for $L_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, and Besov spaces using standard techniques. For the sake of completeness we give the proofs of these results. We shall utilize them to perform nonlinear $n$-term approximation in Section 7.

Theorem 5.1. If $k \geqslant 2$ and $M>k+1$, then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ the new system $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ with $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ or $\Omega=[0,1]$ (see Section 2 ) is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$.

Proof. We assume that $\varepsilon>0$ from the construction of $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ is small enough. Namely, let $\varepsilon$ be so small that Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 apply. We select the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ so that $3 / 2 \leqslant \alpha<k+1 / 2,1<\beta \leqslant M$, and $2 \alpha \geqslant \beta$. Therefore, Theorem 3.1 can be used. One possible selection is $\alpha:=1.6$
and $\beta:=1.6$. We shall give the proof only when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. We denote briefly $L_{p}:=L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$.

It is well known (see, e.g., [KS, LT, W]) that a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathscr{B}$ to be an unconditional basis for $L_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, is that $\mathscr{B}$ satisfies the following:
(i) $\mathscr{B}$ is complete in $L_{p}$ (the closed span of $\mathscr{B}$ is all of $L_{p}$ ).
(ii) For any finite sequence of numbers $\left(d_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} d_{I} \theta_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \theta_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants of equivalence depending at most on $p$. Here and later $A \approx B$ means that there are two constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that $C_{1} B \leqslant A \leqslant C_{2} B$.

The completeness of $\mathscr{B}$ in $L_{p}$ follows by the completeness of $\mathscr{A}$ and Corollary 3.1.

To prove that condition (ii) holds we shall use the Fefferman-Stein vector-valued maximal inequality; see [FS]: If $1<p<\infty$ and $1<q \leqslant \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|M\left(f_{j}\right)\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{L_{p}} \leqslant C(p, q)\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\right\|_{L_{p}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
M(f)(t):=\sup _{Q \ni t}|Q|^{-1} \int_{Q}|f(x)| d x,
$$

where the sup is taken over all intervals $Q$ containing $t$.
By the properties of $\psi_{I}$ and $\theta_{I}\left(\mathrm{~A} 1, \mathrm{~A} 3, \mathrm{~B} 1\right.$, and $\left.\|\psi\|_{L_{2}}=1\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\theta_{I}\right)(t) \approx M\left(\psi_{I}\right)(t) \approx M\left(\lambda_{I}\right)(t) \approx|I|^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t-t_{I}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{I}:=|I|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{I}$ is the characteristic function of $I$ normalized in $L_{2}$. By (5.2) and (5.3), it follows that, for any sequence $\left(d_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{O}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \psi_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \theta_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(d_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ be a finite sequence of numbers. We denote

$$
f:=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} d_{I} \theta_{I} .
$$

Since $\mathscr{A}$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}$ (see A4), then

$$
f=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} c_{I} \psi_{I} \quad \text { with } \quad c_{I}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \psi_{I}(t) d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|c_{I} \psi_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\left|c_{I}\right| \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{p}}\|\psi\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}} \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{p}}|I|^{1 / p^{\prime}-1 / 2}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D}, \quad 1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1,
$$

and hence $\left(c_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{D}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-1 / p^{\prime}}(\mathscr{D})$. Using Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{J}=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} a(I, J) d_{I} \quad \text { with } \quad a(I, J)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta_{I}(t) \psi_{J}(t) d t, \quad J \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{J}=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} b(I, J) c_{I}, \quad J \in \mathscr{D} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (5.1) it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|c_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if (5.8) holds then, using (5.4) and (5.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L_{p}} & \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}}\left|c_{I} \psi_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|c_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
& \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \approx\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \theta_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (5.1) holds.
To prove (5.8) we shall use the properties of $a(I, J)$ and $b(I, J)$ from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1. We shall only prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|d_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \leqslant C\left\|\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}\left|c_{I} \lambda_{I}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse estimate follows in the same way.

The next lemma will help us in the use of the maximal function. We borrowed the idea for this lemma from [FJ].

Lemma 5.1. Let $J \in \mathscr{D},|J|=2^{-v}$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\left(h_{\Delta}\right)_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}}$ be any sequence of numbers. Then the following properties hold:
(a) If $\mu \leqslant v$, then, for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right|\left(1+2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|\right)^{-\beta} \leqslant C M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $\mu>v$, then, for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right|\left(1+2^{v}\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|\right)^{-\beta} \leqslant C 2^{\mu-v} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give the proof of this lemma in the appendix.
Completion of the Proof of Theorem 5.1. We have, using (5.7) and Theorem 3.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}}\left|d_{J} \lambda_{J}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
& \leqslant
\end{aligned}
$$

We first estimate $\sigma_{1}$. We use Lemma 5.1 and (5.2) to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} \leqslant & C \|\left(\sum _ { v \in \mathbb { Z } } \sum _ { J \in \mathscr { P } _ { v } } \left(\sum_{\mu \leqslant v} 2^{-(v-\mu)(\alpha-1 / 2)} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left(1+2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|\right)^{-\beta}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{J}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \|_{L_{p}} \\
\leqslant & C \|\left(\sum _ { v \in \mathbb { Z } } \sum _ { J \in \mathscr { P } _ { v } } \left[\sum_{\mu \leqslant v} 2^{-(v-\mu)(\alpha-1 / 2)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right) \chi_{J}\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \|_{L_{p}} \\
= & C\left\|\left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{\mu \leqslant v} 2^{-(v-\mu)(\alpha-1 / 2)} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
\leqslant & C\left\|\left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
\leqslant & C\left\|\left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
\leqslant & C\left\|\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{\mathscr { D }}}\left(\left|c_{\Delta}\right| \lambda_{\Delta}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the inequality (see, e.g., [DL])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{\mu \leqslant v} 2^{-(v-\mu) \delta} a_{\mu}\right)^{2} \leqslant C \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\mu}^{2}, \quad \delta>0 . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now estimate $\sigma_{2}$. Using again Lemma 5.1 and (5.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} \leqslant & C \|\left(\sum _ { v \in \mathbb { Z } } \sum _ { J \in \mathscr { P } _ { v } } \left(\sum_{\mu>v} 2^{-(\mu-v)(\alpha+1 / 2)} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}}\left(1+2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|\right)^{-\beta}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{J}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \|_{L_{p}} \\
\leqslant & C \|\left(\sum _ { v \in \mathbb { Z } } \sum _ { J \in \mathscr { P } _ { v } } \left[\sum_{\mu>v} 2^{-(\mu-v)(\alpha+1 / 2)} 2^{\mu-v} M\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right) \chi_{J}\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \|_{L_{p}} \\
= & C\left\|\left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{\mu>v} 2^{-(\mu-v)(\alpha-1 / 2)} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C\left\|\left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right)\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|\left(\sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|c_{\Delta}\right||\Delta|^{-1 / 2} \chi_{\Delta}\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}}\left|c_{\Delta} \lambda_{\Delta}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L_{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used again inequality (5.12). The above estimates for $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ imply (5.9). Theorem 5.1 is proved.

The Besov spaces are usually defined by moduli of smoothness (see [DL, Me, Pee]): $f \in B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right), s>0,0<p, q \leqslant \infty$, if $f \in L_{p}^{\text {loc }}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$
|f|_{B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)}:=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(t^{-s} \omega_{k}(f, t)_{p}\right)^{q} \frac{d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty,
$$

where the $L_{q}$-norm is replaced by the sup-norm if $q=\infty ; \omega_{k}(f, t)_{p}$ is the $k$ th modulus of smoothness of $f$ in $L_{p}(\mathbb{R}), k>s+1$. We fix $k:=[s]+2$. Note that in the above definition $f$ is not necessarily in $L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$; however, $\Delta_{h}^{k} f \in L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. The Besov spaces on $[0,1]$ are defined similarly. For the sake of simplicity we consider in the present paper only Besov spaces on $\mathbb{R}$ which are embedded (modulo polynomials of degree $<k$ ) in $L_{1+\eta}$ for some $\eta>0$. Besov spaces like these are needed in nonlinear approximation (see Section 7.2).

The following characterization of the Besov spaces holds (see [De, FJW, $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Me}]$ ). Let $\mathscr{A}=\left\{\psi_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ be an orthonormal wavelet basis (like the old basis from Section 2) such that the mother wavelet $\psi$ is compactly supported; $\psi \in B_{q}^{\tau}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ for some $\tau>s ; \psi$ has $k$ vanishing moments with $k$ as above, and $s>(1 / p-1)_{+}$. Then $B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is embedded in $L_{1+\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\eta>0$ modulo polynomials of degree $<k$. If $f \in B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, then there exists a polynomial $P$ of degree $<k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f-P=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} c_{I} \psi_{I} \quad \text { in } L_{1+\eta} \quad \text { with } c_{I}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \psi_{I}(t) d t \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|_{B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \approx\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{m}}\left(|I|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\left|c_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the usual modification when $q=\infty$. In describing the convergence of the series from (5.13) and the series that will occur later in this section, we should specify the ordering. We do not do this because all our function
series are unconditionally convergent $(\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ are unconditional bases for $L_{p}, 1<p<\infty$ ) and all our series of scalars are absolutely convergent.

In the following theorem we show that the new systems are unconditional bases for Besov spaces.

Theorem 5.2. Let $0<p, q \leqslant \infty$ and $s>(1 / p-1)_{+}$. If $k>2 s+2$ and $M>k+1$ then, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, the new system $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})($ see Section 2$)$ satisfies the following.

For every $f \in B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ there exists a polynomial $P$ of degree $<k$ such that

$$
f-P=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} d_{I} \theta_{I} \quad \text { in } L_{1+\eta}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text { for some } \eta>0,
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|_{B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \approx\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}\left(|I|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\left|d_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $\ell_{q}$-norm replaced by the sup-norm if $q=\infty$.
Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ (from the construction of $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ) be so small that Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.1, and Corollary 3.2 apply. We first select the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ so that the following inequalities hold: $3 / 2 \leqslant \alpha<k+1 / 2$, $1<\beta \leqslant M, 2 \alpha \geqslant \beta, \alpha>s+1 / 2, \beta>s+1$, and $\alpha-\beta-s-1 / 2+1 / p>0$. Here is one possible selection of $\alpha$ and $\beta: \beta:=s+1+\delta$ and $\alpha:=2 s+3 / 2+2 \delta$ with $\delta>0$ small enough.

Let $f \in B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$. Then there exists a polynomial $P$ of degree $<k$ such that $f-P \in L_{1+\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\eta>0$ and (5.13) and (5.14) hold with $\psi_{I}$ from $\mathscr{A}$. By Theorem 5.1, $\mathscr{B}$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{1+\eta}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $f-P$ can be represented uniquely in the form

$$
f-P=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} d_{I} \theta_{I} \quad \text { in } L_{1+\eta}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

where $d_{I}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \tilde{\theta}_{I}(t) d t$ with $\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ the dual of $\mathscr{B}$ from Corollary 3.2. By using the same corollary, we have

$$
\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\|_{L_{p}} \leqslant C|I|^{-1 / 2+1 / p}, \quad 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty .
$$

Hence, using Hölder's inequality, we find

$$
\left|d_{I}\right| \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{1+\eta}}\left\|\tilde{\theta}_{I}\right\|_{L_{1+1 / \eta}} \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{1+\eta}}|I|^{-1 / 2+\eta /(1+\eta)}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D} .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left|c_{I}\right| \leqslant\|f\|_{L_{1+\eta}}|I|^{-1 / 2+\eta /(1+\eta)}, \quad I \in \mathscr{D} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(c_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{O}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-\eta /(1+\eta)} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(d_{I}\right)_{I \in \mathscr{O}} \in \ell_{\infty}^{1 / 2-\eta /(1+\eta)} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 3.1, Lemma 3.1, and Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{J}=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} a(I, J) d_{I} \quad \text { and } \quad d_{J}=\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}} b(I, J) c_{I}, \quad J \in \mathscr{D}, \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both series converge absolutely because of (5.16) and the fact that $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ are both bounded operators from $\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}$ onto $\ell_{\infty}^{\lambda}$ for $|\lambda| \leqslant 1 / 2$. The properties of $a(I, J)$ and $b(I, J)$ are quite similar (see Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1). For this reason, we shall prove only that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|_{B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leqslant C\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(|I|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\left|d_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<p, q<\infty$. The inverse estimate can be proved exactly in the same way.

Using (5.14), (5.17), and Lemma 3.1, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f|_{B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)}^{q} \leqslant & \left.C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(|J|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}}|a(I, J)|\left|d_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p}\right) \\
\leqslant & C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum _ { J \in \mathscr { O } _ { m } } \left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O},|I| \geqslant|J|}|J|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left|d_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& +C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum _ { J \in \mathscr { O } _ { m } } \left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }},|I|<|J|}|J|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\times\left(\frac{|I|}{|J|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}\left|d_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
= & : \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in applying Lemma 3.1 , we used that $\alpha<k+1 / 2$ and $\beta \leqslant M$.

We denote $h_{I}:=|I|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\left|d_{I}\right|$ and $\gamma:=s+1 / 2-1 / p$.
Case I: $p \geqslant 1$. We first estimate $\sigma_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{P}_{m}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{Q},|I| \geqslant|J|}\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha-\gamma}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{P}_{m}}\left(\sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma)} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma)}\left(\sum_{J \in \mathscr{P}_{m}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right]^{q} \\
& =C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma)} S_{m, n}\right]^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Minkowski's inequality ( $p \geqslant 1$ ). Since $n \leqslant m$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m, n}^{p} & =\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}, J \subset \Delta}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p} \\
& \leqslant C 2^{m-n} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that

$$
1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad J \subset \Delta, \quad \Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{n} .
$$

We now define $i$ by the identity $I=: \Delta+i|\Delta|$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m, n} & \leqslant C 2^{(m-n) / p}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|i|)^{-\beta} h_{\Delta+i|\Delta|}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant C 2^{(m-n) / p} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|i|)^{-\beta}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{\Delta+i|\Delta|}^{p}\right)^{1 / p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we applied Minkowski's inequality. Therefore, since $\beta>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{m, n} \leqslant C 2^{(m-n) / p}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{n}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use this to estimate $\sigma_{1}$. Let $q \geqslant 1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & \leqslant C\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right]^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{-v(\alpha-\gamma-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{m-v}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right]^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{-v(\alpha-\gamma-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{\mathscr { P }}_{m-v}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used again Minkowski's inequality $(q \geqslant 1)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} \leqslant C\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q}, \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used that $\alpha-\gamma-1 / p=\alpha-s-1 / 2>0$.
If $q<1$, then we use (5.19) and the $q$-triangle inequality $\left(\left(\sum\left|y_{j}\right|\right)^{q} \leqslant\right.$ $\sum\left|y_{j}\right|^{q}$ ) and change the order of summation to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma) q} S_{m, n}^{q} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma-1 / p) q}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \geqslant n} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma-1 / p) q}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{\Delta}^{p}\right)^{q / p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\alpha-\gamma-1 / p=\alpha-s-1 / 2>0$. From this and (5.20) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} \leqslant C\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(|I|^{-s-1 / 2+1 / p}\left|d_{I}\right|\right)^{p}\right)^{q / p}\right)^{1 / q}, \quad 0<q<\infty . \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We similarly estimate $\sigma_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & =C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{D},|||<|J|}\left(\frac{|I|}{|J|}\right)^{\alpha+\gamma}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(\sum_{n>m} 2^{-(n-m)(\alpha+\gamma)} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right]^{q / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n>m} 2^{-(n-m)(\alpha+\gamma)}\left(\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}\right]^{q} \\
& =: C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n>m} 2^{-(n-m)(\alpha+\gamma)} S_{m, n}\right]^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Minkowski's inequality ( $p \geqslant 1$ ) as before. Now, we have $n>m$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m, n}^{p} & =\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m}} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}, I \subset \Delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} h_{I}\right)^{p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left[\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{n}, I \subset \Delta} h_{I}\right)\right]^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that

$$
1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad I \subset \Delta, \quad \Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{m} .
$$

We now define $j$ by the identity $J=: \Delta+j|\Delta|$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{m, n} & \leqslant C\left(\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|j|)^{-\beta}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}, I \subset J-j|J|} h_{I}\right)\right]^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|j|)^{-\beta}\left(\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{n}, I \subset J-j|J|} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}(1+|j|)^{-\beta}\left(\sum_{J \in \mathscr{O}_{m}}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{P}_{n}, I \subset J-j|J|} h_{I}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant C 2^{(n-m)(1-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{n}} h_{I}^{p}\right)^{1 / p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used Minkowski's and Hölder's (for the last estimate) inequalities. Therefore,

$$
S_{m, n} \leqslant C 2^{(n-m)(1-1 / p)}\left(\sum_{I \in \mathscr{O}_{n}} h_{I}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

We use this to estimate $\sigma_{2}$ similarly to the way we used (5.19) to estimate $\sigma_{1}$. We get the same upper bound for $\sigma_{2}$ as that for $\sigma_{1}$ from (5.21). We leave the details to the reader. Thus (5.18) holds if $p \geqslant 1$. Case I is completed.

Case II: $p<1$. We first estimate $\sigma_{1}$. We use the $p$-triangle inequality and change the order of summation to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D},|I| \geqslant|J|}\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{(\alpha-\gamma) p}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta p} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{m}} \sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma) p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta p} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma-\beta) p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{n}} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta p} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n \leqslant m} 2^{-(m-n)(\alpha-\gamma-\beta) p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{n}} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that

$$
1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|} \geqslant \frac{|J|}{|I|}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right), \quad|I| \geqslant|J|,
$$

and

$$
\sum_{J \in \mathscr{P}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta p} \leqslant C \sum_{j_{1} \mathbb{Z}}(1+|j|)^{-\beta p} \leqslant C, \quad \beta p>\beta /(s+1)>1 .
$$

We further estimate $\sigma_{1}$ by using Minkowski's inequality if $q / p \geqslant 1$ and the $q / p$-triangle inequality if $q / p<1$. Using that $\alpha-\gamma-\beta=\alpha-\beta-s-1 / 2+$ $1 / p>0$, we obtain that in both cases $\sigma_{1}$ satisfies (5.21).

Finally, we estimate $\sigma_{2}$. We have as above

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & =C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D},|I|<|J|}\left(\frac{|I| \mid}{|J|}\right)^{(\alpha+\gamma) p}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta p} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}} \sum_{n>m} 2^{-(n-m)(\alpha+\gamma) p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{n}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta p} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n>m} 2^{-(n-m)(\alpha+\gamma) p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{n}} h_{I}^{p} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta p}\right]^{q / p} \\
& \leqslant C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[\sum_{n>m} 2^{-(n-m)(\alpha+\gamma) p} \sum_{I \in \mathscr{D}_{n}} h_{I}^{p}\right]^{q / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We complete the estimate of $\sigma_{2}$ as above and get the upper bound from (5.21). Theorem 5.2 is proved.

## 6. APPROXIMATION BY LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF SHIFTS AND DILATES OF A SINGLE FUNCTION

In this section we provide the approximation result we need for the construction of bases from shifts and dilates of a single function.

Let the function $\psi$ satisfy the following properties:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\psi \in C^{N}(Q), & \text { with } Q \text { an interval so that } 0 \in Q \text { and } \\
& |Q| \geqslant A \quad(Q \text { can be } \mathbb{R}), \\
\left\|\psi^{(j)}\right\|_{C(Q)} \leqslant C, & j=0,1, \ldots, N, \\
\operatorname{Supp} \psi \subset[-A, A] \cap Q, & \\
\int_{Q} t^{v} \psi(t) d t=0, & v=0,1, \ldots, k-1, \tag{6.4}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=1 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N \geqslant k+1, k \geqslant 1$, and $A \geqslant 1$. The mother wavelet $\psi$ of Daubechies compactly supported and sufficiently smooth wavelets satisfies (6.1)-(6.5).

We are interested in approximating such functions $\psi$ by linear combinations of shifts and dilates of a single smooth and rapidly decaying function. Our general setting is the following: Let $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of function with the properties

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{n} & \in C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}),  \tag{6.6}\\
\left|\Phi_{n}^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant \frac{C n^{a j+1}}{1+(n|t|)^{M}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k+1, \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_{n}(t) d t=1, \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M>k$ and $k, M, a$, and $C$ are independent of $n$ and $t$.
We now let $\Theta_{K}$ denote the set of all functions $\theta$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} \Phi_{n}\left(t+b_{j}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad m n \leqslant K . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6.1. Let $\psi$ satisfy (6.1)-(6.5) and let $\Phi_{n}$ satisfy (6.6)-(6.8). Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a function $\theta \in \Theta_{K}$ with $K$ depending on $\varepsilon$ and the parameters $M, k, A$, and $C$ from (6.1)-(6.8), such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{(1+|t|)^{M}}, & t \in Q, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k,  \tag{6.10}\\
\int_{Q} t^{v} \theta(t) d t=0, & v=0,1, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\varepsilon \leqslant\|\theta\|_{L_{2}(Q)} \leqslant 1+\varepsilon . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we shall assume that $A=1$ and $Q=\mathbb{R}$. We first prove that there exists a $\theta \in \Theta_{K}$ which satisfies (6.10). We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t-y) \Phi_{n}(y) d y=\int_{-1}^{1} \psi(u) \Phi_{n}(t-u) d y . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6.8), we have

$$
\psi^{(j)}(t)-\lambda^{(j)}(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\psi^{(j)}(t)-\psi^{(j)}(t-y)\right) \Phi_{n}(y) d y, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k .
$$

If $|t| \leqslant 2$, then we find, using (6.7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\lambda^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\psi^{(j)}(t-y)\right|\left|\Phi_{n}(y)\right| d y \\
& \leqslant\left\|\psi^{(j+1)}\right\|_{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|y|\left|\Phi_{n}(y)\right| d y \leqslant C \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n y}{1+(n y)^{M}} d y \leqslant C n^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|t|>2$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\lambda^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\psi^{(j)}(t-y)\right|\left|\Phi_{n}(y)\right| d y \\
& =\int_{-1}^{1}\left|\psi^{(j)}(u)\right|\left|\Phi_{n}(t-u)\right| d y \\
& \leqslant C \int_{-1}^{1}\left|\Phi_{n}(t-u)\right| d y \\
& \leqslant \frac{C n}{1+(n|t-1|)^{M}} \leqslant \frac{C n^{-M+1}}{(1+|t|)^{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\lambda^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{(1+|x|)^{M}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now discretize the second integral in the definition of $\lambda$ from (6.13). To this end we use a very simple quadrature formula. Let

$$
\theta_{1}(t):=\frac{2}{m} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \psi\left(u_{\mu}\right) \Phi_{n}\left(t-u_{\mu}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad u_{\mu}:=-1+\frac{2 \mu}{m} .
$$

Note that $\theta_{1} \in \Theta_{m n}$. We have, for $j=0,1, \ldots, k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)\right| & =\left|\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \int_{u_{\mu-1}}^{u_{\mu}}\left[\psi(u) \Phi_{n}^{(j)}(t-u)-\psi\left(u_{\mu}\right) \Phi_{n}^{(j)}\left(t-u_{\mu}\right)\right] d u\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{2}{m} \int_{-1}^{1}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left[\psi(u) \Phi_{n}^{(j)}(t-u)\right]\right| d u,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the obvious inequality

$$
\int_{a}^{b}|f(u)-f(b)| d u \leqslant(b-a) \int_{a}^{b}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| d u, \quad u \in[a, b] .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|\lambda^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{C}{m}\left(\left\|\Phi_{n}^{(j)}(t-\cdot)\right\|_{C[-1,1]}+\left\|\Phi_{n}^{(j+1)}(t-\cdot)\right\|_{C[-1,1]}\right) .
$$

From this and (6.7), it follows that

$$
\left|\lambda^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{C n^{a(k+1)+1}}{m}, \quad|t| \leqslant 2,
$$

and

$$
\left|\lambda^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{C n^{k+1}}{m\left(1+(n|t-1|)^{M}\right)} \leqslant \frac{C n^{-M+a(k+1)+1}}{m(1+|t|)^{M}}, \quad|t|>2 .
$$

This and (6.14) yield that, for sufficiently large $m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{2 \varepsilon}{(1+|t|)^{M}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we arrange the needed vanishing moments of $\theta$. Let $\eta:=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\psi-\theta_{1}\right)$. We have, by (6.15),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta(t)| \leqslant \frac{2}{(1+|t|)^{M}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
w(t):= \begin{cases}\exp \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}-1}\right), & |t|<1 \\ 0, & |t| \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Note that $w \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and Supp $w=[-1,1]$. We now orthogonalize the powers of $t: 1, t, t^{2}, \ldots$ with respect to the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle:=\int_{-1}^{1} f(t) g(t) w(t) d t .
$$

Thus, we obtain a sequence of polynomials $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots$ so that $\left\langle p_{v}, p_{\mu}\right\rangle$ $=\delta_{v \mu}$ and $p_{v}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{v} a_{v j} t^{j}$ with $a_{v v}>0$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{v} a_{v j}^{2} \leqslant C(k)\left\|p_{v}\right\|_{L_{2}[-1 / 2,1 / 2]}^{2} \leqslant C(k) \int_{-1}^{1} p_{v}^{2}(t) w(t) d t \leqslant C(k) . \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. There exist numbers $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\eta(t)-\left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{\mu} p_{\mu}(t)\right) w(t)\right] t^{v} d t=0, \quad v=1,2, \ldots, k-1, \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{\mu}\right| \leqslant C(k, M), \quad \mu=1,2, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Evidently, (6.18) is equivalent to the following system in $\alpha_{0}$, $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu=0}^{v} \alpha_{\mu}\left\langle p_{\mu}, t^{v}\right\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(x) t^{v} d t, \quad v=0,1, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ of this system is triangular and the entries along the main diagonal are

$$
\left\langle p_{v}, t^{v}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{a_{v v}}\left\langle p_{v}, p_{v}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{a_{v v}}, \quad v=0,1, \ldots, k-1,
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})=\prod_{v=0}^{k-1} a_{v v}^{-1} .
$$

Therefore, using (6.17), we find

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A}) \geqslant C(k)>0 .
$$

It follows, by (6.17), that $\left|\left\langle p_{\mu}, t^{\nu}\right\rangle\right| \leqslant C(k)$. On the other hand, by (6.16), we get $\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t) t^{v} d t\right| \leqslant C(k, M)$. Therefore, system (6.18) has a unique solution and (6.19) holds. Lemma 6.1 is proved.

We have, using (6.17),

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Supp } p_{v} w & =[-1,1] \quad \text { and } \\
\left\|\frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left[p_{v}(t) w(t)\right]\right\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} & \leqslant C(k), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k . \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by the first part of the proof of the theorem applied to $p_{v} w$ instead of $\psi$, it follows that for any $\delta>0$ there exist functions $g_{v} \in \Theta_{N}, N=N(\delta)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left[p_{v}(t) w(t)\right]-g_{v}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant & \frac{\delta}{(1+|t|)^{M}}, \\
& j=0,1, \ldots, k ; \quad v=0,1, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{6.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the system in $\alpha_{0}^{*}, \alpha_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[\eta(t)-\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{\mu}^{*} g_{\mu}(t)\right] t^{v} d t=0, \quad v=1,2, \ldots, k-1, \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{\mu}^{*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\mu}(t) t^{v} d t=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t) t^{v} d t, \quad v=1,2, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have, using (6.22) and that $M>k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\mu}(t) t^{\nu} d t-\int_{-1}^{1} p_{\mu}(t) w(t) t^{v} d t\right| & \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|g_{\mu}(t)-p_{\mu}(t) w(t)\right||t|^{\nu} d t \\
& \leqslant \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}}(1+|t|)^{-M+k-1} d t \leqslant C \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the coefficients of system (6.24) tend to the coefficients of system (6.18) as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. From this and Lemma 6.1, it follows that, for sufficiently small $\delta$ (depending only on $k$ and $M$ ), system (6.24) ((6.23), respectively) has a unique solution $\left\{\alpha_{0}^{*}, \alpha_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}^{*}\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{\mu}^{*}\right| \leqslant C(k, M), \quad \mu=0,1, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\theta_{2}:=\sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{\mu}^{*} g_{\mu}
$$

and let

$$
\theta:=\theta_{1}+\varepsilon \theta_{2} \in \Theta_{m n+k N} .
$$

We use (6.23) and the fact that $\psi$ has $k$ vanishing moments to obtain, for $v=1,2, \ldots, k-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \theta(t) t^{v} d t & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\psi(t)-\theta_{1}(t)-\varepsilon \theta_{2}(t)\right) t^{v} d t \\
& =-\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\eta(t)-\theta_{2}(t)\right) t^{v} d t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (6.11) holds. Also, we use (6.21) and (6.22) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{\mu}^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant\left|\frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left[p_{v}(t) w(t)\right]-g_{v}^{(j)}(t)\right|+\left|\frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left[p_{v}(t) w(t)\right]\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{\delta}{(1+|t|)^{M}}+\frac{C}{(1+|t|)^{M}} \leqslant \frac{C}{(1+|t|)^{M}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and (6.25), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\theta_{2}^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1}\left|\alpha_{\mu}^{*}\right|\left|g_{\mu}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant C(k, M) \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1}\left|g_{\mu}^{(j)}(t)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{(1+|t|)^{M}}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, using also (6.15), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta^{(j)}(t)\right| & \leqslant\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)-\varepsilon \theta_{2}^{(j)}(t)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|\psi^{(j)}(t)-\theta_{1}^{(j)}(t)\right|+\varepsilon\left|\theta_{2}^{(j)}(t)\right| \leqslant \frac{C \varepsilon}{(1+|t|)^{M}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\theta$ satisfies (6.10) with $\varepsilon$ replaced by $C \varepsilon$ ( $C$ independent of $\varepsilon$ ). So, if we replace the original $\varepsilon$ by $\varepsilon / C$, then (6.10) will hold.

We derive (6.12) from (6.5) and (6.10) by replacing again the initial $\varepsilon$ by $C \varepsilon$ with $C$ small enough. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1. 【

Remark 6.1. (a) Clearly, the assumption Supp $\psi \subset[-A, A] \cap Q$ (see (6.3)) of Theorem 6.1 can be relaxed. It can be replaced by the property

$$
|\psi(t)| \leqslant \frac{C}{(1+|t|)^{S}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},
$$

with $S$ large enough.
(b) Our method of proving Theorem 6.1 is crude. The point is that we do not need a sophisticated approximation method since we do not have to tightly relate $\varepsilon$ and $K$. The structure of the approximation is important.

## 7. CONSTRUCTION OF CONCRETE NEW BASES AND THEIR APPLICATION TO NONLINEAR APPROXIMATION

### 7.1. Bases Generated by a Single Function. Rational Bases.

We want to construct bases $\mathscr{B}=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)}$ on $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ or $\Omega=[0,1]$ with $\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}$ linear combinations of a fixed number of shifts and dilates of a single function $\Phi_{n}$, namely, $\theta_{I} \in \Theta_{K}$, where $\Theta_{K}$ is defined in (6.9). For this, we shall use the construction of bases from Section 2 and the results from Section 4-6.

Theorem 7.1. Let $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions satisfying (6.6)-(6.8) with $k \geqslant 2$ and $M>k+1$. Then, for $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega=[0,1]$, there exist $K>0$ and bases $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)}$ with $\theta_{I} \in \Theta_{K}$ such that the following properties hold:
(i) $\mathscr{B}([0,1])$ is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$ provided $k \geqslant 4$ and $M>5$.
(ii) $\mathscr{B}(\Omega)$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$.
(iii) $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is an unconditional basis for the Besov spaces $B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$, $0<p, q \leqslant \infty, \quad s>(1 / p-1)_{+}$, provided $k>2 s+2$ and $M>k+1$ (see Theorem 5.2).

Proof. This theorem follows immediately from Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.1, Theorem 5.2, and Theorem 6.1.

Next, we apply Theorem 7.1 with some concrete functions $\Phi_{n}$. We denote by $\mathbf{R}_{K}$ the set of all rational functions of degree $K$.

Corollary 7.1. For $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega=[0,1]$, there exist rational bases $\mathscr{B}_{r}(\Omega)=\left\{r_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega)}$ with $r_{I} \in \mathbf{R}_{K}, K$ fixed, such that the following properties hold:
(i) $\mathscr{B}_{r}([0,1])$ is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$.
(ii) $\mathscr{B}_{r}(\Omega)$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$.

Moreover, for any $0<p, q \leqslant \infty$ and $s>(1 / p-1)_{+}, \mathscr{B}_{r}(\mathbb{R})$ (depending only on s) can be constructed to be an unconditional basis for the Besov spaces $B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ as well.

Proof. Evidently, the rational functions $\Phi_{n}(t):=C(M) n\left(1+(n t)^{2}\right)^{-[M]}$ with $M>1$ and $C(M)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi_{n}(t) d t=1$ satisfy (6.6)-(6.8) with $a=2$ for any $k$. We fix $M>5$ and $k \geqslant 4$. By Theorem 7.1, there exist rational bases $\mathscr{B}_{r}(\Omega)=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}(\Omega)}$ with $\theta_{I} \in \Theta_{K}, K$ fixed, that satisfy properties (i)-(ii). In addition to this, if $k>2 s+2$ and $M>k+1$, then (again by Theorem 7.1) $\mathscr{B}_{r}(\mathbb{R})$ is an unconditional basis for the Besov spaces $B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ as well. Note that $\Phi_{n} \in \mathbf{R}_{2[M]}$ and hence $\Theta_{K} \subset \mathbf{R}_{2[M] K}$. This completes the proof of Corollary 7.1. 【

The Gaussian $\Phi_{1}(t):=\pi^{-1 / 2} e^{-t^{2}}$ and its dilates $\Phi_{n}(t):=\pi^{-1 / 2} n e^{-(n t)^{2}}$ are another interesting example of functions satisfying (6.6)-(6.8) ( $a=2$ ). We denote by $\mathbf{G}_{K}$ the set of all function $g$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} e^{-\left[n\left(t+b_{j}\right)\right]^{2}} \quad \text { with } \quad m n \leqslant K . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As above, Theorem 7.1 yields the following.

Corollary 7.2. For $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega=[0,1]$, there exist bases $\mathscr{B}_{g}(\Omega)=$ $\left\{g_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{O}(\Omega)}$ with $g_{I} \in \mathbf{G}_{K}, K$ fixed, such that the following properties hold:
(i) $\mathscr{B}_{g}([0,1])$ is a Schauder basis for $C[0,1]$.
(ii) $\mathscr{B}_{g}(\Omega)$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(\Omega), 1<p<\infty$.

Moreover, for any $0<p, q \leqslant \infty$ and $s>(1 / p-1)_{+}, \mathscr{B}_{g}(\mathbb{R})$ (depending only on s) can be constructed to be an unconditional basis for the Besov spaces $B_{q}^{s}\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ as well.

Another example of functions $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}$ satisfying (6.6)-(6.8) is $\Phi_{1}(t):=$ $(2 / \pi) e^{t}\left(1+e^{2 t}\right)^{-1}$ and its dilates $\Phi_{n}(t):=\Phi_{1}(n t)$.

Any reasonable smooth function $\Phi$ supported on a compact interval, say [ $-1,1]$, and its dilates $\Phi(n t)$ can also play the role of $\left\{\Phi_{n}\right\}$. Here are two examples of $\Phi$ s like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
C \exp \left(\frac{1}{t^{2}-1}\right), & |t|<1, \\
0, & |t| \geqslant 1,
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { and } \\
& \Phi(t):=\operatorname{up}(t):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i \xi t} \prod_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2^{-v \xi}}{2^{-v} \xi} d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

For more information about the $u p$-function, see [RR]. Results similar to the ones from Corollary 7.2 hold for the above selections of functions $\Phi_{n}$.

### 7.2. Application of Bases to Nonlinear Approximation

In this part, we show how our bases can be used in nonlinear approximation. We shall restrict our attention to the case $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$.
$n$-Term Approximation from a Basis. Let $\mathscr{B}=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}}$ be a sequence (basis) of functions from $L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\Sigma_{n}:=\Sigma_{n}(\mathscr{B})$ the set of all functions $S$ of the form

$$
S=\sum_{I \in \Lambda_{n}} a_{I} \theta_{I},
$$

where $\Lambda_{n} \subset \mathscr{D}$ and $\# \Lambda_{n} \leqslant n$. The best $n$-term approximation of $f$ from $\mathscr{B}$ in the $L_{p}$ norm is defined by

$$
\sigma_{n}(f, \mathscr{B})_{p}:=\inf _{S \in \Sigma_{n}}\|f-S\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} .
$$

Let $A_{q}^{v}:=A_{q}^{v}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}\right)$ be the approximation space of all functions $f$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f|_{A_{q}^{v}}:=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n^{v} \sigma_{n}(f, \mathscr{B})_{p}\right)^{q} \frac{1}{n}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $\ell_{q}$-norm replaced by the sup-norm if $q=\infty$ as usual and $\|f\|_{A_{q}^{v}}:=\|f\|_{L_{p}}+|f|_{A_{q}^{\gamma}}$. A basic problem of nonlinear approximation is to characterize the approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\gamma}$. The standard way of doing this is by first proving Jackson and Bernstein inequalities and then using interpolation spaces.

Theorem 7.2. Let $\mathscr{B}=\left\{\theta_{I}\right\}_{I \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R})}$ be one of the new bases such that $\mathscr{B}$ is an unconditional basis for $L_{p}(\mathbb{R}), 1<p<\infty$, and for the Besov space $B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ with $s>0$ and $\frac{1}{\tau}=s+\frac{1}{p}$. Then the following inequalities hold:
(Jackson Inequality)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{n}(f, \mathscr{B})_{p} \leqslant C n^{-s}|f|_{B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)}, \\
& \quad f \in B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{p}(\mathbb{R}),
\end{aligned}
$$

(Bernstein Inequality)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|S|_{B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leqslant C n^{s}\|S\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}, \\
& S \in \Sigma_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 7.1. We make the assumption $f \in B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$ in Theorem 7.2 instead of simply $f \in B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ because $B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is embedded in $L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$ modulo polynomials of degree $<k$. Therefore, we have to eliminate the polynomial which may occur.

Proof. This theorem follows by Theorem 7.1. The proof can be carried out similarly to the proofs of Theorem 5, Corollary 1, and Theorem 6 from [De]. We leave the details to the reader.

The Jackson and Bernstein inequalities from Theorem 7.2 imply the following characterization of the approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\gamma}$ (see [DL] or [PP]):

TheOrem 7.3. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $s>0$. We have, for $0<\gamma<s$ and $0<q \leqslant \infty$,

$$
A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}\right)=\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R}), B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)_{\gamma / s, q}
$$

with equivalent norms, where $(X, Y)_{\theta, q}$ is the real interpolation space between $X$ and $Y$.

In the particular case of the rational and Gaussian bases from Section 7.1, we obtain the following.

COROLLARY 7.3. Let $1<p<\infty, s>0$, and $\frac{1}{\tau}=s+\frac{1}{p}$. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the rational basis $\mathscr{B}_{r}(\mathbb{R})$ from Corollary 7.1 or the Gaussian basis $\mathscr{B}_{g}(\mathbb{R})$ from Corollary 7.2. Then the inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathrm{J}) & \sigma_{n}(f, \mathscr{B})_{p} \leqslant C n^{-s}|f|_{B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)}, & f \in B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{p}(\mathbb{R}),  \tag{J}\\
\text { (B) } & |R|_{B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leqslant C n^{s}\|R\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}, & R \in \Sigma_{n}(\mathscr{B}),
\end{array}
$$

hold. Therefore, for $0<\gamma<s$ and $0<q \leqslant \infty$,

$$
A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}\right)=\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R}), B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)_{\gamma / s, q} .
$$

Approximation from Dictionaries. We now consider $n$-term approximation from dictionaries. Dictionaries are collections of functions larger than bases and are redundant. Consider the dictionary $\mathbf{D}$ of all shifts and dilates
of a single function $\Phi$. We want to consider $n$-term nonlinear approximation from such a dictionary $\mathbf{D}$. We denote by $\mathbf{D}_{n}$ the set of all functions

$$
S=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \Phi_{j}, \quad \Phi_{j} \in \mathbf{D} \quad\left(\Phi_{j}(t)=\Phi\left(a_{j} t+b_{j}\right)\right) .
$$

The best $n$-term approximation of $f$ from $\mathbf{D}$ in the norm of $L_{p}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by

$$
\sigma_{n}(f, \mathbf{D})_{p}:=\inf _{S \in \mathbf{D}_{n}}\|f-S\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})} .
$$

The approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\nu}\left(L_{p}, \mathbf{D}\right)$ are defined similarly to the approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}\right)$ (see (7.2)). We are interested in characterizing the approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathbf{D}\right)$.

A natural problem arises: Does a basis $\mathscr{B}$ consisting of functions from D such that the approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathbf{D}\right)$ and $A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}\right)$ are the same exist? If this happens to be true, then the problem of $n$-term approximation from $\mathbf{D}$ reduces to the easier problem of $n$-term approximation from $\mathscr{B}$. In this case, one can use the $n$-term approximation algorithm discussed in the Introduction. In particular, it is interesting if the bases from this paper could give the desirable bases for some dictionaries $\mathbf{D}$.

Two examples are in order.
(i) Let $\mathbf{R}$ be the dictionary of all shifts and dilates of $r(t):=\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-1}$. It is easily seen that the rational function $\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{-m}$ can be approximated in $L_{p}(1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty)$ with any precision by linear combinations of $m$ dilates of $r$. Indeed, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial a^{m-1}}\left(a+t^{2}\right)^{-1}=(-1)^{m-1}(m-1)!\left(a+t^{2}\right)^{-m}
$$

and hence the $(m-1)$ th differences of $\left(a+t^{2}\right)^{-1}$ in $a$ at 1 will give the approximation we need. Now, let $1<p<\infty, s>0$, and $\frac{1}{\tau}$ : $=s+\frac{1}{p}$. Corollary 7.3 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}(f, \mathbf{R})_{p} \leqslant \sigma_{n}\left(f, \mathscr{B}_{r}\right)_{p} \leqslant C n^{-s}|f|_{B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)}, \quad f \in B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right) \cap L_{p}(\mathbb{R}) . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate is equivalent to a result of Pekarskii for rational approximation on $[-1,1]$; see [Pek1]. In [Pek2], Pekarskii proved the Bernstein type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|R^{(s)}\right\|_{L_{\tau}[-1,1]} \leqslant C n^{s}\|R\|_{L_{p}[-1,1]}, \quad R \in \mathbf{R}_{n}, \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and used it to relate the rational and spline approximation. By a simple change of variables, these results can be extended on $\mathbb{R}$. Together with (7.3) and Corollary 7.3, they imply that the approximation spaces of $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{r}$ are the same, namely,

$$
A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathbf{R}\right)=A_{q}^{\gamma}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}_{r}\right)=\left(L_{p}(\mathbb{R}), B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)\right)_{\gamma / s, q}
$$

with equivalent norms provided $1<p<\infty, 0<q \leqslant \infty$, and $0<\gamma<s$. Therefore, the order of the $n$-term approximation of a function $f$ in $L_{p}$ from $\mathbf{R}$ can be achieved by the $n$-term approximation of $f$ from the basis $\mathscr{B}_{r}$.
(ii) We consider now the same problem for the dictionary $\mathbf{G}$ of all shifts and dilates of the Gaussian. The problem is again whether there exists a basis $\mathscr{B}$ consisting of functions from $\mathbf{G}_{K}$ with $K$ fixed (see (7.1)) so that the approximation spaces $A_{q}^{\nu}\left(L_{p}, \mathbf{G}\right)$ and $A_{q}^{\nu}\left(L_{p}, \mathscr{B}\right)$ are the same. The problem would be solved, taking into account Corollary 7.3, if the Bernsteintype inequality (an open problem):

$$
|G|_{B_{\tau}^{s}\left(L_{\tau}(\mathbb{R})\right)} \leqslant C n^{s}\|G\|_{L_{p}(\mathbb{R})}
$$

holds, for any function $G$ of the form $G(t):=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} e^{-\left(b_{j} t+c_{j}\right)^{2}}, a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$, provided $1<p<\infty, s>0$, and $\frac{1}{\tau}=s+\frac{1}{p}$.

The same problem for other dictionaries $\mathbf{D}$ seems interesting also.

## APPENDIX

## A.1. Proof of Lemma 3.3

We need the following technical lemma for the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma A.1. Let $m$ be an integer, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, c>0, d>0$, and $\beta>1$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|}{c}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-b\right|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left(1+2^{m} \min \{c, d\}\right)\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{\max \{c, d\}}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

holds, where $C$ is a constant depending only on $\beta$.

Proof. We consider only the case when $\mathscr{D}_{m}=\mathscr{D}_{m}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $a \leqslant b$ and $c \leqslant d$. Let $\delta:=2^{-m}$. Let $\sigma$ denote the sum from (A.1). We split $\sigma$ up into two sums as follows:

$$
\sigma=\sum_{\substack{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m} \\\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|^{\prime} d}}+\sum_{\substack{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m} \\\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|^{*} d d}}=: \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} .
$$

To estimate $\sigma_{1}$ we use that

$$
\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|}{c}>\frac{d}{2 c}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|}{d}\right) \quad \text { when } \quad\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|>d .
$$

We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & \leqslant 2^{\beta}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{\beta} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{P}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|}{d}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-b\right|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \\
\leqslant & 2^{\beta}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{\beta}\left(\sum_{\substack{t_{\in \mathscr{O}_{m}} \\
t_{\Delta} \leqslant(a+b) / 2}}+\sum_{\substack{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m} \\
t_{\Delta}>(a+b) / 2}}\right) \\
\leqslant & 2^{\beta}\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{\beta}\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{2 d}\right)^{-\beta}\left(\sum_{\substack{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{m} \\
t_{\Delta} \leqslant(a+b) / 2}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|}{d}\right)^{-\beta}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{\substack{\Delta \mathscr{O}_{m} \\
t_{\Delta}>(a+b) / 2}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-b\right|}{d}\right)^{-\beta}\right) \\
\leqslant & C\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{\beta}\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{v \delta}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \\
\leqslant & C\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{\beta}\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{\delta t}{d}\right)^{-\beta} d t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1} \leqslant C\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{\beta}\left(1+\frac{d}{\delta}\right)\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{c}{\delta}\right)\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now estimate $\sigma_{2}$. We shall use that

$$
1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-b\right|}{d} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right) \quad \text { when } \quad\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right| \leqslant d .
$$

We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & \leqslant 2^{\beta}\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{m}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-a\right|}{c}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{v \delta}{c}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{\delta t}{c}\right)^{-\beta} d t\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{c}{\delta}\right)\left(1+\frac{|a-b|}{d}\right)^{-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This and (A.2) imply (A.1). Lemma A. 1 is proved.
Proof of Lemma 3.3. Evidently, it is sufficient to prove the lemma only when $\Omega=\mathbb{R}$. We fix $I, J \in \mathscr{D}$ so that $|I| \geqslant|J|$. Let $I \in \mathscr{D}_{v}$ and $J \in \mathscr{D}_{v+\mu}, \mu \geqslant 0$. Hence $|I|=2^{\mu}|J|$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\lambda(I, J)| & \leqslant \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}}\left|\lambda_{1}(I, \Delta)\right|\left|\lambda_{2}(\Delta, J)\right| \\
& =\sum_{|\Delta|<|J|}+\sum_{|J| \leqslant|\Delta| \leqslant|I|}+\sum_{|\Delta|>|I|} \\
& =: \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We first estimate $\sigma_{1}$. Using (3.18) and (3.19), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & \leqslant \sum_{|\Delta|<|J|}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|J|}\right)^{\alpha+\delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{|\Delta|<|J|}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|J|}\right)^{2 \alpha+\delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(2 \alpha+\delta)} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{v+\mu+j}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|J|}\right)^{-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma A. 1 to the last sum (over $\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{v+\mu+j}$ ) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{1} \leqslant & C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(2 \alpha+\delta-1)} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \tag{A.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To estimate $\sigma_{2}$ we again use (3.18) and (3.19) and find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & \leqslant \sum_{|J| \leqslant|\Delta| \leqslant|I|}\left(\frac{|\Delta|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{|J|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{\alpha+\delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} 2^{-j \delta} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{v+j}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply Lemma A. 1 to the last sum above to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{2} \leqslant C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} 2^{-j \delta} \leqslant C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we estimate $\sigma_{3}$. Using again (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3} & \leqslant \sum_{|\Delta|>|I|}\left(\frac{|I|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{|J|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{\alpha+\delta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(2 \alpha+\delta)} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{\mathscr { D }}_{\nu-j}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{\Delta}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{\Delta}-t_{J}\right|}{|\Delta|}\right)^{-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma A. 1 to the last sum above (over $\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{v-j}$ ), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3} & \leqslant C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(2 \alpha+\delta)}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{2^{-v+j}}\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(2 \alpha+\delta-\beta)} \\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{|J|}{|I|}\right)^{\alpha}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right)^{-\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $2 \alpha \geqslant \beta$ and

$$
1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{2^{-v+j}} \geqslant \frac{1}{2^{j}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{2^{-v}}\right)=\frac{1}{2^{j}}\left(1+\frac{\left|t_{I}-t_{J}\right|}{|I|}\right) .
$$

The above estimates for $\sigma_{3}$, (A.3), and (A.4) imply (3.20) in the case when $|I| \geqslant|J|$. The proof of (3.20) when $|I|<|J|$ is quite similar and will be omitted. Lemma 3.3 is proved.

## A.2. Proof of Proposition 4.1

We shall prove only that conditions (i)-(iii) from Proposition 4.1 imply that $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis for $X$. To this end it is sufficient to prove that each $f \in X$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{v=1}^{\infty}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v} \quad \text { in } X . \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The uniqueness of this representation follows by (i) and (ii).
Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\left\{\theta_{v}\right\}_{v=1}^{\infty}$ is complete in $X$, there exists

$$
f_{N}=\sum_{v=1}^{N} a_{v} \theta_{v} \quad \text { such that } \quad\left\|f-f_{N}\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

We now select $m_{1}$ so that $2^{m_{1}} \geqslant N$. Let $n \geqslant 2^{m_{1}}$. Then there exists $m \geqslant m_{1}$ such that $n=2^{m}+i$ with $0 \leqslant i<2^{m}$. Since $f_{N} \in X_{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle f_{N}, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m}=f_{N} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we pointed out in Remark 4.1, it follows, by (i)-(iii), that $\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v, m}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$ $=\left\{\tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\}_{v=2^{m}+1}^{\infty}$. From this, it readily follows that, for each $g \in X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle g, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v}=\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle g, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use now (4.1), (A.6), and (A.7) to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f-\sum_{v=1}^{n}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v}\right\| \\
& \leqslant
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \left\|f-f_{N}\right\|+\left\|f_{N}-\sum_{v=1}^{n}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon+\left\|\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle f_{N}, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m}-\sum_{v=1}^{n}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v}\right\| \\
& =\varepsilon+\| \sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle f_{N}, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m}+\sum_{v=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m}+i}\left\langle f_{N}, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v} \\
& \\
& \quad-\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle f, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m}-\sum_{v=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m}+i}\left\langle f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v} \| \\
& = \\
& =\varepsilon+\left\|\sum_{v=1}^{2^{m}}\left\langle f_{N}-f, \tilde{\omega}_{v, m}\right\rangle \omega_{v, m}+\sum_{v=2^{m}+1}^{2^{m}+i}\left\langle f_{N}-f, \tilde{\theta}_{v}\right\rangle \theta_{v}\right\| \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon+K\left\|f-f_{N}\right\| \leqslant(K+1) \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (A.5) holds. Proposition 4.1 is proved.

## A.3. Proof of Lemma 5.1

We first prove (a). Without loss of generality we can assume that $t_{J}=0$. Let $\mu \leqslant \nu$. We denote

$$
\mathscr{E}_{J, 0}:=\left\{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}: 2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}\right| \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{E}_{J, j}:=\left\{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{m}: 2^{j-1}<2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}\right| \leqslant 2^{j}\right\}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots
$$

We have, for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}} & \left|h_{\Delta}\right|\left(1+2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}\right|\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \leqslant C 2^{-j \beta} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \\
& \leqslant C 2^{-j \beta} 2^{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}(x) d x \\
& \leqslant\left.\left. C 2^{-j \beta} 2^{\mu}\right|_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}} \Delta| | \bigcup_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}} \Delta\right|^{-1} \int_{U_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}}} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}(x) d x \\
& \leqslant C 2^{-j(\beta-1)} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{Q}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $j=0,1, \ldots$, we obtain, for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right|\left(1+2^{\mu}\left|t_{\Delta}\right|\right)^{-\beta} & \leqslant C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\beta-1)} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{E}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) \\
& \leqslant C\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\beta-1)}\right) M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) \\
& \leqslant C M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (5.10) is proved.
We now prove (5.11). Let us assume again that $t_{J}=0$. Let $\mu>v$. We now denote

$$
\mathscr{F}_{J, 0}:=\left\{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}: 2^{v}\left|t_{\Delta}\right| \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{F}_{J, j}:=\left\{\Delta \in \mathscr{D}_{\mu}: 2^{j-1}<2^{\nu}\left|t_{\Delta}\right| \leqslant 2^{j}\right\}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots .
$$

We find, for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right|\left(1+2^{v}\left|t_{\Delta}\right|\right)^{-\beta} \\
& \quad \leqslant C 2^{-j \beta} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \leqslant C 2^{-j \beta} 2^{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}(x) d x \\
& \quad \leqslant\left.\left. C 2^{-j \beta} 2^{\mu}\left|\bigcup_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}} \Delta\right|\right|_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}} \Delta\right|^{-1} \int_{U_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}}} \sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}(x) d x \\
& \quad \leqslant C 2^{-j(\beta-1)} 2^{\mu-v} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $j=0,1, \ldots$, we obtain, for $t \in J$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right|\left(1+2^{v}\left|t_{\Delta}\right|\right)^{-\beta} & \leqslant C 2^{\mu-v} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\beta-1)} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{F}_{J, j}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) \\
& \leqslant C 2^{\mu-v}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j(\beta-1)}\right) M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) \\
& \leqslant C 2^{\mu-v} M\left(\sum_{\Delta \in \mathscr{O}_{\mu}}\left|h_{\Delta}\right| \chi_{\Delta}\right)(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (5.11) is proved. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1.
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