

Solutions - HW #4

p. 55 #16.

Claim: If $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy, so is $\{a_n b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Thinking: Our goal is to use $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon' \quad \forall n, m \geq N_1$
& $|b_n - b_m| < \varepsilon'' \quad \forall n, m \geq N_2$
to show that $|a_n b_n - a_m b_m| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } |a_n b_n - a_m b_m| &= |a_n b_n - a_n b_m + a_n b_m - a_m b_m| \\ &\leq |a_n b_n - a_n b_m| + |a_n b_m - a_m b_m| \\ &= |a_n| |b_n - b_m| + |a_n - a_m| |b_m| \\ &< M' \varepsilon'' + M'' \varepsilon' \quad \text{if } \varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2M'} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \text{if } \varepsilon' = \frac{\varepsilon}{2M''}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $|a_n| \leq M'$
 $|b_n| \leq M''$

Note: You can also assume $\varepsilon'' = \varepsilon'$.

Then it will be most natural to use $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'' = \frac{\varepsilon}{M' + M''}$.

In either case, you would need $n, m \geq N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$.

Proof: ~~Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be Cauchy sequences.~~

Because $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy, it is bounded, say $|a_n| \leq M' \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{J}$.

Likewise, $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, so $|b_n| \leq M'' \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{J}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given.

Because $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy, $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{J}$ s.t. $|a_n - a_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M' + M''} \quad \forall n, m \geq N_1$.

and because $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy, $\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{J}$ s.t. $|b_n - b_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M' + M''} \quad \forall n, m \geq N_2$.

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. For all $n, m \geq N$:

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n b_n - a_m b_m| &= |a_n b_n - a_n b_m + a_n b_m - a_m b_m| \\ &\leq |a_n| |b_n - b_m| + |a_n - a_m| |b_m| < M' \frac{\varepsilon}{M' + M''} + M'' \frac{\varepsilon}{M' + M''} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have shown that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{J} \text{ s.t. } |a_n b_n - a_n b_n| < \epsilon.$$

$\therefore \{a_n b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. $\square$

#18. The simplest example is probably $\{(-1)^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, but many others exist.

#19. The example in #21 is a set with countably many accumulation points:
 $\{2^n + \frac{1}{k} : k, n \in \mathbb{J}\}$. (Accum. pts are $\{2^n : n \in \mathbb{J}\}$.)

#26. Any example that has cancellation between the two sequences.
Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{-n + \frac{3}{2}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$; then $\{a_n b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{\frac{3}{2}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

#34. The even terms are the subsequence $\{a_{2k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{(-1)^{2k} (1 - \frac{1}{2k})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
 $= \{1 - \frac{1}{2k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

This converges to 1.

Similarly, the sequence of odd terms is $\{a_{2k-1}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{(-1)^{2k-1} (1 - \frac{1}{2k-1})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$
(which converges to -1). $= \{-(1 - \frac{1}{2k-1})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$

#40. Claim: $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is monotone decreasing.

Proof: By induction: $P(n): a_{n+1} \leq a_n$: $P(1): a_2 = \sqrt{6+a_1} = \sqrt{12} < 6 = a_1$.

Assume $P(n)$ is true: $a_{n+1} \leq a_n$. Then $P(n+1)$:

$$a_{n+2} = \sqrt{6+a_{n+1}} < \sqrt{6+a_n} = a_{n+1}.$$

By induction, $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in \mathbb{J}$. $\square$

3.
Claim: $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded.

Proof: Because this sequence is decreasing, an upper bound is $a_1 = 6$. A lower bound is 0 because $\sqrt{n} > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore $0 \leq a_n \leq 6 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Now, because $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded and monotonic, it converges.

Let the limit be L .

Then the subsequence $\{a_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ also converges to L .

Also, $\{\sqrt{6+a_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $\sqrt{6+L}$.

Then, since $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{6+a_n} \ (\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$, $L = \sqrt{6+L}$.

$$L^2 = 6+L$$

$$L^2 - L - 6 = 0$$

$$(L-3)(L+2) = 0 \implies L=3 \text{ or } L=-2.$$

only $L=3$ is within the bounds for this sequence
so the limit must be 3.

#36. Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers.

Claim: $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proof: There are 2 cases to consider, based on the number of values taken on by the sequence.

$$\text{Let } S = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{J}\}.$$

Case 1: S is an infinite set.

In this case S is a bounded infinite set of real numbers. By the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, S has an accumulation point; call it x_0 .

Then by Theorem 1.17 $\exists$ sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of numbers of S (other than x_0) that converges to x_0 .

Since each of these x_n is the value of a_m for some $m \in \mathbb{J}$, we see that this sequence is really a subsequence of $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Case 2: S is a finite set.

If S is finite, then there must be at least one of the values that occurs an infinite number of times in $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Choose the subsequence to be all the terms of the sequence that have this one value; this is a convergent subsequence of $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Because S has either a finite or infinite number of elements, these 2 cases cover all possibilities. $\square$