## Math 554, Final Exam, Summer 2005 Solution

Write your answers as legibly as you can on the blank sheets of paper provided. Use only **one side** of each sheet. Be sure to number your pages. Put your solution to problem 1 first, and then your solution to number 2, etc; although, by using enough paper, you can do the problems in any order that suits you.

If I know your e-mail address, I will e-mail your grade to you. If I don't already know your e-mail address and you want me to know it, then **send me an e-mail**. Otherwise, get your grade from VIP.

There are 13 problems. Problems 1 through 9 are worth 8 points each. Problems 10 through 13 are worth 7 points each. The exam is worth a total of 100 points.

I will post the solutions on my website shortly after the class is finished.

1. Let  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function which is defined on a subset E of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\lim_{x \to p} f(x) = L$ . Use complete sentences. (Be sure to tell me what kind of a thing p is, and what kind of a thing L is.)

Let  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function which is defined on a subset E of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Assume that p is a limit point of E and L is a real number. We say that  $\lim_{x \to p} f(x) = L$  if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $|x - p| < \delta$ ,  $x \neq p$ , and  $x \in E$ , then  $|f(x) - L| \leq \varepsilon$ .

## 2. STATE either version of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

(version 1.) Every bounded infinite set of real numbers has a limit point in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(version 2.) Every bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

# 3. PROVE either version of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

## Proof of version 1.

Let S be a bounded infinite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let I be a finite closed interval which contains S. Cut I in half. At least one of the resulting two closed subintervals of I contains infinitely many elements of S. Call this interval  $I_1$ . Continue in this manner to build the closed interval  $I_n$ , for each natural number n, with the length of  $I_n$  equal to  $1/2^n$  times the length of I and  $I_n$  contains infinitely many elements of S. The nested interval property of  $\mathbb{R}$  tells us that the intersection  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  is non-empty. Let p be an element of  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . We will show that p is a limit point of S. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists n large enough that the length of  $I_n$ is less than  $\varepsilon$ . We know that  $p \in I_n$ . It follows that  $I_n \subseteq N_{\varepsilon}(p)$ . Furthermore, there is at least one element q of S with  $q \neq p$  and  $q \in N_{\varepsilon}(p)$ ; since  $I_n \cap S$  is infinite.

#### Proof of version 2.

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. There are two cases to consider depending upon the cardinality of the set  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**Case 1:**  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite. In this case, it is clear that some subsequence of  $\{a_n\}$  is constant.

**Case 2:**  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is infinite. We apply version 1 of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem. The set  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has a limit point p. Pick  $n_1$  with  $|a_{n_1} - p| < 1$ . Pick  $n_2 > n_1$  with  $|a_{n_1} - p| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Every open neighborhood of p contains infinitely many elements of  $\{a_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We continue in this manner to pick  $n_k > n_{k-1}$ with  $|a_{n_k} - p| < \frac{1}{k}$ . We see that the subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ converges to p.

#### 4. Define *Cauchy sequence*. Use complete sentences.

The sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0$  such that whenever  $n, m > n_0$ , then  $|a_n - a_m| < \varepsilon$ .

#### 5. **PROVE that every Cauchy sequence converges.**

Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence. It is easy to see that  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded. Indeed, there exists  $n_0$  with  $|a_n - a_{n_0}| < 1$  for all  $n > n_0$ . In this case,  $|a_n| \leq M = \max\{|a_{n_0}| + 1, |a_1|, \ldots, |a_{n_0-1}|\}$  for all n. Version 2 of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem guarantees the existence of a convergent subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{a_n\}$ . Let a be the limit of the subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$ . We will prove that the entire sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges to a. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed, but arbitrary. The subsequence  $\{a_{n_k}\}$  converges to a; so, there exists  $k_0$  such that, whenever  $k \geq k_0$ , then  $|a_{n_k} - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . The sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence; so, there exists  $n_1$  such that, whenever  $n, m \geq n_1$ , then  $|a_n - a_m| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Pick  $n_0 \geq \max\{n_1, n_{k_0}\}$ . If  $n > n_0$ , then we may choose k with  $k > k_0$  and  $n_k > n_0$ . We now have:

$$|a_n - a| \le |a_n - a_{n_k}| + |a_{n_k} - a| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

# 6. Let I be an interval and $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function which is differentiable at the point p of I. PROVE that f is continuous at p.

The point p is a limit point of I; so it suffices to show that  $\lim_{x\to p} f(x) = f(p)$ . The hypothesis tells us that  $\lim_{x\to p} \frac{f(x)-f(p)}{x-p}$  exists and is equal to f'(p). It is clear that  $\lim_{x\to p} x - p$  exists and is equal to 0. We proved that the limit of a product is the product of the limits provided the individual limits exist and are finite. We conclude that

$$\lim_{x \to p} f(x) - f(p) = \lim_{x \to p} \frac{f(x) - f(p)}{x - p} \cdot \lim_{x \to p} x - p = f'(p) \cdot 0 = 0.$$

It follows that  $\lim_{x \to p} f(x) = f(p)$ , and f is continuous at p.

7. Let f be a continuous function from the closed interval [a, b] to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed. Prove that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that: whenever x and y are in [a, b] with  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ . (Notice that you are supposed to prove that one  $\delta$  works for every x and y.)

The function f is continuous on [a, b], so for each point  $p \in [a, b]$  there exists "a  $\delta$  depending on p", call it  $\delta_p > 0$ , such that whenever

(3) 
$$x \in [a,b] \text{ with } |x-p| < \delta_p \implies |f(x) - f(p)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N_{\frac{\delta_p}{2}}(p) \mid p \in [a, b]\}$ . We see that  $\mathcal{N}$  is an open cover of the compact set [a, b]. Thus, there exists a finite set of points  $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell$  in [a, b] so that  $\{N_{\frac{\delta_{p_1}}{2}}(p_1), \ldots, N_{\frac{\delta_{p_\ell}}{2}}(p_\ell)\}$  covers [a, b]. Let  $\delta = \min\{\frac{\delta_{p_1}}{2}, \ldots, \frac{\delta_{p_\ell}}{2}\}$ . The number  $\delta$ is the minimum of a FINITE set of POSITIVE numbers, so  $\delta > 0$ . I claim that this one  $\delta$  works for ALL x and y. Suppose, x, y are in [a, b] with  $|x - y| < \delta$ . The set  $\{N_{\frac{\delta_{p_1}}{2}}(p_1), \ldots, N_{\frac{\delta_{p_\ell}}{2}}(p_\ell)\}$  covers [a, b], so there is an index i, with  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ with  $|x - p_i| < \frac{\delta_{p_i}}{2}$ . It follows that

$$|y - p_i| = |y - x + x - p_i| \le |y - x| + |x - p_i| \le \delta + \frac{\delta_{p_i}}{2} \le \frac{\delta_{p_i}}{2} + \frac{\delta_{p_i}}{2} = \delta_{p_i}.$$

Use (3) twice at  $p = p_i$  to see

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |f(x) - f(p_i) + f(p_i) - f(y)| \le |f(x) - f(p_i)| + |f(p_i) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

# 8. Give an example of a bounded infinite closed set that does not contain any intervals. Explain thoroughly.

Let  $K = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$ . We see that K is bounded below by 0 and above by 1. We see that the complement of K, which is

$$(-\infty,0) \cup \left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \cup (1,\infty),$$

is a union of open intervals. Every open interval is an open set. The union of open sets is an open set. Thus, the complement of K is an open set; hence, K is a closed set. The set K is obviously infinite. The set K contains no intervals.

9. Let A be an index set. For each index a in A, let  $F_a$  be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Is the union  $\bigcup_{a \in A} F_a$  always closed? If yes, prove the claim. If no, give a counterexample.

NO. Let  $A = \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n = [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$ . We see that each  $F_n$  is closed, but the union  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = (0, 1]$ , which is not closed. (Zero is a limit point of the union, but 0 is not in the union.)

10. Let f and g be functions from the subset E of  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let p be a limit point of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{x \to p} f(x)$  exists and equals A. Suppose, also, that  $\lim_{x \to p} g(x)$  exists and equals B. Prove  $\lim_{x \to p} f(x)g(x)$  exists and equals AB.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary, but fixed. We see that

$$|f(x)g(x) - AB| = |f(x)g(x) - Ag(x) + Ag(x) - AB| \le |f(x) - A||g(x)| + |A||g(x) - B|.$$

• We are told that  $\lim_{x \to p} g(x) = B$ ; hence, there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that, whenever  $x \in E$ ,  $x \neq p$ , and  $|x - p| < \delta_1$ , then |g(x) - B| < 1. For such x, we have

$$|g(x)| = |g(x) - B + B| \le |g(x) - B| + |B| < |B| + 1.$$

In other words,

(1) 
$$x \in E, \ x \neq p, \ |x-p| < \delta_1 \implies |g(x)| < |B|+1$$

• We are told that  $\lim_{x \to n} g(x) = B$ ; hence, there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

(2) 
$$x \in E, \ x \neq p, \ |x-p| < \delta_2 \implies |g(x) - B| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|A|+1)}$$

• We are told that  $\lim_{x \to p} f(x) = A$ ; hence, there exists  $\delta_3 > 0$  such that

(3) 
$$x \in E, \ x \neq p, \ |x-p| < \delta_3 \implies |f(x) - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|B|+1)}$$

Now, we take  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ . We also take an arbitrary  $x \in E$  with  $x \neq p$  and  $|x - p| < \delta$ . We see from (1) that |g(x)| < |B| + 1; from (2) that  $|g(x) - B| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|A|+1)}$ ; and from (3) that  $|f(x) - A| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|B|+1)}$ . Therefore,

$$|f(x)g(x) - AB| \le |f(x) - A||g(x)| + |A||g(x) - B|$$
  
$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2(|B|+1)}(|B|+1) + |A|\frac{\varepsilon}{2(|A|+1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

11. Let  $c_1$  be an arbitrary element of the open interval (0,1). For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $c_{n+1} = \frac{1}{5}(c_n^2+2)$ . Prove that the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is contractive.

First we show, by induction, that  $c_{n+1} \in (0,1)$  for all natural numbers n. We picked  $c_1$  to satisfy this hypothesis. If  $0 < c_n < 1$ , then  $0 < c_n^2 < 1$ ,  $2 < c_n^2 + 2 < 3$ ,  $\frac{2}{5} < \frac{1}{5}(c_n^2 + 2) < \frac{3}{5}$ ; and therefore,  $0 < c_{n+1} < 1$ .

We see that

$$|c_{n+1} - c_n| = \left|\frac{1}{5}(c_n^2 + 2) - \frac{1}{5}(c_{n-1}^2 + 2)\right| = \frac{1}{5}|c_n^2 - c_{n-1}^2| = \frac{1}{5}|c_n - c_{n-1}||c_n + c_{n-1}|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{5}|c_n - c_{n-1}|(|c_n| + |c_{n-1}|) < \frac{1}{5}|c_n - c_{n-1}|(1+1).$$

We used the triangle inequality together with our earlier observation that every member of the sequence has absolute value less than 1. The constant  $b = \frac{2}{5}$  is less than 1. We have shown that

$$|c_{n+1} - c_n| < \frac{2}{5}|c_n - c_{n-1}|;$$

therefore, the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  is contractive.

12. Consider the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with  $a_1 = 4$ , and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_{n+1} = \sqrt{2a_n + 3}$ . Prove that the sequence converges. Find the limit of the sequence.

We first prove, by induction, that the sequence is bounded below by 3. We see that  $3 < a_1$ . We assume  $3 < a_n$ . It follows that  $6 < 2a_n$ ,  $9 < 2a_n + 3$ , and  $3 < \sqrt{2a_n + 3} = a_{n+1}$ .

Now we prove that the sequence is monotone decreasing. I know  $3 < a_n$ . It follows that  $0 < (a_n - 3)(a_n + 1)$ , or  $0 < a_n^2 - 2a_n - 3$ . It now follows that  $2a_n + 3 < a_n^2$ . All of the numbers in the previous inequality are positive; so, we see that  $\sqrt{2a_n + 3} < a_n$ . In other words,  $a_{n+1} < a_n$ .

The sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is monotone decreasing and bounded. We proved that every monotone bounded sequence has a real number limit. It follows that the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges. Finally, now that we know that the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges to some limit L, we see that L must satisfy  $L = \sqrt{2L+3}$ ; thus,  $L^2 = 2L+3$ , or  $L^2 - 2L - 3 = 0$ , or (L-3)(L+1) = 0. So, L equals either 3 or -1. Every element of the sequence is positive; so,  $L \neq -1$ . We conclude that L = 3.

13. Let 
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 + x & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ x & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational.} \end{cases}$$
 Is  $f$  differentiable at 0? Prove your answer.

We calculate

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{x}.$$

We suspect that this limit might exist, and if so, we suspect that the limit is 1. Notice that if x is not zero and x is rational, then

$$\left|\frac{f(x)}{x} - 1\right| = \left|\frac{x^2 + x}{x} - 1\right| = \left|(x + 1) - 1\right| = |x|.$$

If x is irrational, then

$$\left|\frac{f(x)}{x} - 1\right| = \left|\frac{x}{x} - 1\right| = 0.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary, but fixed. Take  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Suppose  $|x - 0| < \delta$ . If x is irrational, then  $|\frac{f(x)}{x} - 1| = 0$ , and this is certainly less than  $\varepsilon$ . If x is not 0 and x is rational, then  $|\frac{f(x)}{x} - 1| = |x| < \delta = \varepsilon$ . We conclude that f is differentiable at 0, and f'(0) = 1.