# **REAL ANALYSIS HOMEWORK 2**

#### KELLER VANDEBOGERT

## 1. Problem 1

Enumerate the elements of A as  $\{a_1, a_2, ...\}$ . This is possible since A is countably infinite. Now, define  $f : \mathbb{N} \to A \cup \{a\}$  by f(1) = a and  $f(n) = a_{n-1}$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Then, f is a bijection since it has left and right inverse defined by  $f^{-1}(a) = 1$  and  $f^{-1}(a_n) = n + 1$ , so that  $A \cup \{a\}$  is countable by definition.

### 2. Problem 2

Since A and B are equipotent, there exists a bijection  $f : A \to B$ . Define  $g : A \times C \to B \times C$  such that  $(a, c) \mapsto (f(a), c)$ . g is bijective since we have left and right inverse  $g^{-1}$  sending  $(b, c) \mapsto (f^{-1}(b), c)$ (note  $f^{-1}$  exists and is well defined since f is bijective). Then, by definition,  $A \times C$  and  $B \times C$  are equipotent.

### 3. Problem 3

Enumerate the rational points in (0,1) as  $\{r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots\}$  (this is possible since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable). Define  $f : (0,1) \to [0,1]$  by first sending  $r_0 \mapsto 0$  and  $r_1 \mapsto 1$ . Then, define  $f(r_n) = r_{n-2}$  for  $n \ge 2$  and  $f|_{(0,1)\setminus\mathbb{Q}} \equiv \text{id.}$  This is bijective since we have right and left inverse  $f^{-1}$ defined by  $f(0) = r_0$ ,  $f^{-1}(1) = r_1$ ,  $f^{-1}(r_n) = r_{n+2}$  and f(q) = q for irrational q.

Date: September 3, 2017.

#### KELLER VANDEBOGERT

#### 4. Problem 4

Suppose there exists a bijection  $f : \mathbb{N} \to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then, consider the set  $A := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : k \notin f(k)\}$ . This is clearly a subset of the integers, so that  $A \in 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Since f is a bijection there corresponds an integer n such that f(n) = A. We now have two cases: either  $n \in f(n)$  or  $n \notin f(n)$ .

Suppose first that  $n \in f(n)$ . Then, by definition,  $n \notin f(n)$ , so this case is impossible.

Suppose now that  $n \notin f(n)$ . Then, again by definition of  $A, n \in A \implies n \in f(n)$ , which is again a contradiction. Thus, A has no preimage so that f cannot possibly be surjective, contradicting bijectivity. This tells us that  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  has cardinality strictly "larger" than  $\mathbb{N}$ , and is hence uncountable.

### 5. Problem 5

Let  $O_i$  be a finite collection of open sets and consider  $\bigcap_i O_i$ . If  $\bigcap_i O_i = \emptyset$ , then their intersection is trivially open, so assume  $\bigcap_i O_i \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $x \in \bigcap_i O_i$  and let  $\delta_i$  be the finite set of positive real numbers such that  $B_{\delta_i}(x) \subset O_i^{-1}$ 

Then, set  $\delta = \min_i \{\delta_i\}$ . This  $\delta$  exists and is > 0 since the set of  $\delta_i$  is a finite set with each  $\delta_i > 0$ . Then, by construction,  $B_{\delta}(x) \subset B_{\delta_i}(x) \subset O_i$  for all i, so that  $B_{\delta}(x) \subset \bigcap_i O_i$ . By definition, we have that  $\bigcap_i O_i$  is open as well.

Now, let  $\{O_i\}_{i\in I}$  be an arbitrary collection of open sets and let  $x \in \bigcup_{i\in I} O_i$  (we are again assuming this is nonempty, since the other case is trivial). Then, for some  $j \in I$ ,  $x \in O_j$ , and by openness there

 $<sup>{}^{1}</sup>B_{\delta}(x)$  will denote the open ball of radius  $\delta$  centered at x.

corresponds  $\delta_j$  such that  $B_{\delta_j}(x) \subset O_j \subset \bigcup_i O_i$ . Then, again by definition,  $\bigcup_i O_i$  is open.