

## JANUARY 2008 ANALYSIS QUALIFYING EXAM

KELLER VANDEBOGERT

### 1. PROBLEM 1

Note that  $k^k > k!$  for all  $k > 0$ , so that by the comparison test

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} = e - 1$$

In which case  $s_n$  is convergent, hence Cauchy.

### 2. PROBLEM 2

Let  $x_0 \in X$  and define  $x_n$  inductively by  $x_n = \Omega(x_{n-1})$ . Then, we can show that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy as for  $m > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_n, x_m) &\leq \rho(x_m, x_{m-1}) + \cdots + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_n) \\ &\leq (\lambda^{m-1} + \lambda^{m-2} + \cdots + \lambda^n) \rho(x_1, x_0) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^n - \lambda^m}{1 - \lambda} \rho(x_1, x_0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

By completeness of  $X$ , we deduce that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ . Now, consider  $\Omega(x)$ ; we want to show that  $x$  must be a fixed point:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\Omega(x), x) &\leq \rho(x, x_{n+1}) + \rho(x_{n+1}, \Omega(x)) \\ &\leq \rho(x, x_{n+1}) + \lambda \rho(x_n, x) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  on the right, this must tend to 0, in which case

$$\rho(x, \Omega(x)) = 0$$

---

*Date:* December 26, 2017.

That is,  $\Omega(x) = x$ . Lastly, it remains to show uniqueness. Suppose then that  $x$  and  $y$  are two fixed points of  $\Omega$ ; then:

$$\rho(x, y) = \rho(\Omega(x), \Omega(y)) \leq \lambda \rho(x, y)$$

Since  $\lambda < 1$ , we must have  $\rho(x, y) = 0$ , so that  $x = y$ .

### 3. PROBLEM 3

Yes, this is uniform. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ; since  $\frac{x^n}{e^x} \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $\frac{x^n}{e^x} < \epsilon$  for all  $x > M$ . As  $\frac{x^n}{(2n)!} < \frac{x^m}{(2m)!}$  for all  $n > m$ , we see that for all  $m > n$ ,  $x > M$ ,

$$\frac{x^m}{(2m)!} < \frac{x^n}{(2n)!} < \frac{\epsilon}{(2n)!} < \epsilon$$

Similarly, when  $x < M$ , we have that  $\frac{x^n}{(2n)!} < \frac{M^n}{(2n)!} \rightarrow 0$ , so that we may find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n > N$  and  $x < M$ ,

$$\frac{x^n e^{-x}}{(2n)!} \leq \frac{x^n}{(2n)!} < \epsilon$$

So that, choosing  $n > N$ ,

$$\frac{x^n e^{-x}}{(2n)!} < \epsilon$$

whence  $\frac{x^n e^{-x}}{(2n)!} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $[0, \infty)$ .

### 4. PROBLEM 4

Note that  $\gamma$  only encloses the pole of order 1 at the point  $z = -1$ .

Computing residues,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}\left(\frac{1}{1+z^3}, -1\right) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -1} \frac{1+z}{1+z^3} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -1} \frac{1}{1-z+z^2} = \frac{1}{3} \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's residue theorem, we see

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{1+z^3} dz = \frac{2\pi i}{3}$$

## 5. PROBLEM 5

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x)^2 dx &= \int_a^b f(x)^{2/3} \cdot f(x)^{1/3} dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_a^b f(x) dx \right)^{2/3} \left( \int_a^b f(x)^4 dx \right)^{2/3} \end{aligned}$$

Taking square roots of the above, we see

$$\|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_1^{1/3} \cdot \|f\|_4^{2/3}$$

As contended.

## 6. PROBLEM 6

Note first that since  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all  $n$ , letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $|f| \leq |g|$  as well. By Fatou's lemma, we see

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_E 2^p - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n - f|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_E 2^p g - \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu \right) \\ &= \int_X 2^p g - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f_n - f|^p d\mu \\ &\implies \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E |f_n - f|^p d\mu \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

and, by the triangle inequality we see  $\|f_n\|_p \rightarrow \|f\|_p$ , as desired.

## 7. PROBLEM 7

Consider

$$f(x) := \int_0^x \chi_A(x) dx$$

This function is absolutely continuous by absolute continuity of integration, and, in particular, by the intermediate value property of

continuous functions, there exists  $y \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f(y) = b$ . That is,  $\mu(A \cap [0, y]) = b$ .

Obviously  $A \cap [0, y]$  is measurable; it remains to see that there exists a closed set  $B \subset A$  with  $\mu(B) = A$ . Choose  $\epsilon = a - b$ . By definition of Lebesgue measure we may find a closed set  $F$  with  $A \cap [0, y] \subset F \subset A$  such that  $\mu(A \setminus F) \leq \epsilon$ . However, this implies

$$\mu(A \setminus (A \cap [0, y])) = a - b \leq \mu(A \setminus F) \leq a - b$$

In which case  $\mu(A \setminus F) = a - b$ , so that  $\mu(F) = b$ , as desired.

### 8. PROBLEM 8

Note that  $f_n \chi_E \leq \sup_n f_n \chi_E \leq \sup_n f_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\sup_n f_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by assumption. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem,

$$\int_E f_n d\mu = \int f_n \chi_E d\mu \rightarrow \int f \chi_E d\mu = \int_E f d\mu$$

as desired.

### 9. PROBLEM 9

Since  $[a, b]$  is compact,  $m := \inf_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)| > 0$ . As  $f$  has bounded total variation, we know

$$\sup_{P \text{ partition}} \sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \infty$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{P \text{ partition}} \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \frac{1}{f(b_k)} - \frac{1}{f(a_k)} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{m^2} \sup_{P \text{ partition}} \sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

So that  $1/f$  also has bounded variation.

## 10. PROBLEM 10

By absolute continuity,

$$|f(b) - f(a)| = \left| \int_a^b f'(t)dt \right|$$

so we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(b) - f(a)| &= \left| \int_a^b f'(t)dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^b |f'(t)|dt \\ &\leq \|f'\|_p |b - a|^{1-1/p} \quad (\text{Hölder's}) \end{aligned}$$

So that  $C = \|f'\|_p$ .