

# AUGUST 2012 ANALYSIS QUALIFYING EXAM

KELLER VANDEBOGERT

## 1. PROBLEM 1

Let  $(X, \rho)$ ,  $(Y, \sigma)$  denote our metric spaces. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ ; pick  $K_{\epsilon/3}$  as in the problem statement. We have 3 cases:

**Case 1:**  $x, y \in K_{\epsilon/3}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on a compact set,  $f$  is uniformly continuous on this set already.

**Case 2:**  $x, y \notin K_{\epsilon/3}$ . Then, for all  $M$ ,  $\rho(x, y) < M$  implies  $\sigma(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon/3 < \epsilon$ .

**Case 3:**  $x \in K_{\epsilon/3}$ ,  $y \notin K_{\epsilon/3}$ . Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $K_{\epsilon/3}$ , we may find  $\delta_1$  such that for all  $a, b \in K_{\epsilon/3}$ ,  $\rho(a, b) < \delta_1 \implies \sigma(f(a), f(b)) < \epsilon/3$ . Let  $\rho(x, y) < \delta_1/2$ .

Then, in particular,  $\rho(y, K_{\epsilon/3}) > 0$  by compactness, and we may find  $z \in \partial K_{\epsilon/3}$  such that  $\rho(y, z) < \epsilon/3$ . Then, note that

$$\rho(x, z) \leq \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) < \delta_1$$

Now, by continuity of  $f$ , there exists  $\delta_2$  such that for all  $\ell$  such that  $\rho(\ell, z) < \delta_2$ ,  $\sigma(f(\ell), f(z)) < \epsilon/3$ . As  $z \in \partial K_{\epsilon/3}$ , there exists  $\ell \notin K_{\epsilon/3}$  such that  $\rho(\ell, z) < \delta_2$ . Then, putting this all together,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f(x), f(y)) &\leq \sigma(f(x), f(z)) + \sigma(f(z), f(\ell)) + \sigma(f(\ell), f(y)) \\ &< \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Whence we deduce that  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

## 2. PROBLEM 2

Suppose that  $\|\chi_{E_n} - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . In particular, by Chebyshev's inequality,  $\chi_{E_n} \rightarrow f$  in measure, so that we may choose a subsequence  $\chi_{E_{n_k}}$  such that

$$\chi_{E_{n_k}} \rightarrow f \text{ a.e}$$

Now, for any given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\chi_{E_{n_k}}(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ , so that in order for such a subsequence to converge, it must become eventually constant for almost every  $x$ . That is,  $f(x) \in \{0, 1\}$  for almost every  $x$ . Now, set  $E := \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \bigcap_{n \geq m} \{x \mid \chi_{E_{n_k}}(x) = 1\}$ . This is certainly measurable as the union and intersection of measurable sets. By construction, if  $x \in E$ , then  $\chi_{E_{n_k}}(x) = 1$  for all  $k$  sufficiently large, whence  $\chi_E = f$  almost everywhere, as contended.

## 3. PROBLEM 3

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) \right| dx &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)| dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|f(u)|}{n^{\alpha+1}} du \quad (u = nx) \\ &\leq \|f\|_1 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ; we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \right| dx \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} < \infty$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}}$  converges since  $\alpha > 0$ . This then tells us that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  is integrable, hence finite almost everywhere. That is,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  is convergent almost everywhere.

## 4. PROBLEM 4

(a). Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that

$$f_n(x) = \int_0^x f'_n(t) dt$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^x f'_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^x |f'_n(t)| dt \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |f'_n(t)| dt < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , this series is bounded, hence convergent for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

(b). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We may find  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) < \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(x) + \epsilon/4$$

Similarly, by absolute continuity of each  $f_n$ , we may find  $\delta_n$  such that for all intervals  $\{(a_k, b_k)\}$  with  $\sum_k b_k - a_k < \delta_n$ ,

$$\sum_k |f_n(b_k) - f_n(a_k)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2N}$$

Then, choose  $\delta := \min_n \{\delta_n\}$ . Then, for any set of open intervals with  $\sum_k b_k - a_k < \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |f_n(b_k) - f_n(a_k)| &< \sum_k \sum_{n=1}^N |f_n(b_k) - f_n(a_k)| + \epsilon/2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_k |f_n(b_k) - f_n(a_k)| + \epsilon/2 \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\epsilon}{2N} + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

So that  $f$  is absolutely continuous.

(c). By splitting into positive and negative parts, we may assume  $f'_n \geq 0$

0. Then, using that fact that  $f$  is absolutely continuous by part (b),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f'(1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'_n(x) dx &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f'(x) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'_n(x) dx \\ &= \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} f(1) - \sum_{n=1}^N f'_n(1) \\ &= f(1) - f(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

## 5. PROBLEM 5

If  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ , then  $\mu(B \setminus A) = 0$ . Since  $E \setminus A \subset B \setminus A$  and Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a complete measure, we deduce that  $E \setminus A$  is measurable and has measure 0. Then,

$$E = (E \setminus A) \cup A$$

is the union of measurable sets, hence measurable, and

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E \setminus A) + \mu(A) = \mu(A)$$

## 6. PROBLEM 6

(a). Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, employing Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{[-1,1]}f\|_1 &\leq \|\chi_{[-1,1]}\|_2 \|f\chi_{[-1,1]}\|_2 \\ &= \sqrt{2} \|f\chi_{[-1,1]}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

b). Using part (a), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_1 &\leq \|f\chi_{[-1,1]}\|_1 + \|f\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]}\|_1 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \|f\|_2 + \|f\chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [-1,1]}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Let us now consider the rightmost term. We see:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1,1]}\|_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1,1]} f d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1,1]} \frac{g(x)}{x} d\mu \\
 &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[-1,1]} \frac{1}{x^2} dx \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_2 \quad (\text{Hölder's}) \\
 &= \sqrt{2} \|g\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with the above, we find:

$$\|f\|_1 \leq \sqrt{2} (\|f\|_2 + \|g\|_2)$$

as asserted.

## 7. PROBLEM 7

Since  $|p_n| \rightarrow \infty$ , this sequence cannot have any accumulation point. Consider now  $\frac{1}{f}$ . This is bounded and holomorphic away from  $\{p_n\}$ , so that by the Riemann extension theorem we may choose an extension  $\tilde{f}$  such that  $\frac{1}{\tilde{f}}$  extends  $\frac{1}{f}$ .

Then, this extension is bounded and entire, hence constant by Liouville's theorem. This then gives that  $\frac{1}{f}$  is constant, so that  $f$  is constant as well, as desired.

## 8. PROBLEM 8

Recall that by the Cauchy-Hadamard theorem,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 1/R$ , where  $R$  denotes the radius of convergence. We then want to solve

$$\cos(\pi z) = 0 \implies z = (2k+1)/2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Then, the closest singularities to the point  $z = 1$  are located at both  $-1/2$  and  $1/2$ . Both of these have distance

$$|i - 1/2| = \sqrt{1 + 1/4} = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$$

so the radius of convergence is  $\sqrt{5}/2$ . Taking the reciprocal,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$$

## 9. PROBLEM 9

(a). True. Make a change of variable such that  $I + a = [-\pi, \pi]$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I f(x) dx &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x - a) dx \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Where, by periodicity,  $f(x)$  and  $f(x - a)$  attain the same values on the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , whence have the same integral over  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

(b). True. By maximum modulus principle,

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \geq \max_{z \in B_1(0)} |P(z)|$$

Note that  $P(0) = 1$ , so that

$$1 \leq \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

as contended.

(c). False. Suppose  $f(1/n) = 1/n^3$ . Since  $\{1/n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has an accumulation point, the identity principle implies that  $f(z) = z^3$  everywhere. However, this then means that  $f(-1/n) = -1/n^3$ , a contradiction.

(d). False. Set  $f_n = \frac{\chi_{[0,n]}}{n}$ . Then, it is easy to see that

$$\|f_n\|_2 = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

However,

$$\|f_n\|_1 = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(e). False. Consider  $f_n := \frac{\chi_{[-n,n]}}{2n}$ ,  $f = 0$ . Then,

$$|f_n - f| < \frac{1}{2n} < \frac{1}{n}$$

for all  $x$ . However,

$$\|f_n\|_1 = 1 > 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .