

Notes for Working Seminar:
On k -free values of irreducible polynomials
05/18/99

Halberstam & Roth (1951): For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$, there is a k -free number in the interval $(x, x + x^{1/(2k)+\varepsilon}]$.

Nair (1976, 1979): He extended the approach to algebraic number fields.

Theorem (Nair, 1979): Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f(x)$ irreducible and $\gcd(f(m) : m \in \mathbb{Z}) = 1$. Let $n = \deg f$, and let k be an integer $\geq n + 1$. There is a constant c such that for x sufficiently large, there is an integer $m \in (x, x + cx^\theta]$, where $\theta = n/(2k - n + 1)$, such that $f(m)$ is k -free.

Theorem (Huxley & Nair for $n \geq 2$ in 1980, Trifonov for $n = 1$ in 1995): One can take $\theta = n/(2k - n + 2)$ above.

Theorem (Filaseta, 1993): One can take $\theta = n/(2k - n + r)$ above where $r \sim \sqrt{2n}$.

Comment: Similar results can be obtained for $k \leq n$ but not too small compared to n (see the next theorem).

Theorem (Nair, 1976): Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f(x)$ irreducible and $\gcd(f(m) : m \in \mathbb{Z}) = 1$. Let $n = \deg f$, and let k be an integer $\geq (\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{2})n$. Then there are infinitely many integers m such that $f(m)$ is k -free.

Comment: Previous results were obtained by Nagel (for $k \geq n$ in 1922) and Erdős (for $k \geq n - 1$ in 1953). Nagel's result contained an asymptotic formula for the number of such $m \leq x$ with $f(m)$ being k -free; Erdős' result did not. Later Hooley (1967) established asymptotics for $k \geq n - 1$. For small n , Hooley's result is the best known. Nair obtained his theorem above with asymptotics for the number of $m \leq x$ with $f(m)$ being k -free, improving on Hooley's result when n is sufficiently large.

Question 1: Can one use differences to prove Hooley's result?

Question 2: Can the Swinnerton-Dyer approach be extended to number fields and, if so, what does it imply about k -free values of polynomials?

Question 3: Is $m^4 + 1$ squarefree for infinitely many integers m ?

Notation: $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$
 $f(x)$ irreducible
 $\gcd(f(m) : m \in \mathbb{Z}) = 1$
 $n = \deg f$
 $k \geq 2$
 $f(\theta) = 0$
 R is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$

Basic Idea 1: Count $m \leq x$ such that $f(m)$ is not divisible by p^k where $p \leq \varepsilon \log x$. The number of such m is

$$\prod_{p \leq \varepsilon \log x} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(p^k)}{p^k}\right) x + o(x).$$

Basic Idea 2: Let $T = x\sqrt{\log x}$. Find an upper bound for the number of $m \leq x$ such that $f(m)$ is divisible by p^k

where $\varepsilon \log x < p \leq T$. Using that $\rho(p^k)$ is bounded for p large, the number of such m is

$$\ll \sum_{\varepsilon \log x < p \leq T} \left(\frac{x}{p^k} + 1 \right) \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{\log x}}.$$

Main Idea: Find an upper bound for $P(x)$, the number of $m \leq x$ such that $f(m)$ is divisible by p^k with $p > T$. Nair shows that there are E_1, \dots, E_r such that

$$P(x) \leq \max_{E \in \{E_1, \dots, E_r\}} \left| \left\{ u \in R : |u| > T^{1/n}, u^k v = E(m - \theta) \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [1, x], v \in R, \text{ and } u \text{ primary} \right\} \right|.$$

Here, u being “primary” means any two conjugates have the same order.

Comment: One should actually count pairs (u, v) above. The above is correct provided that we divide $[1, x]$ into subintervals of length $H \ll T^{k/n}$ and deal with the subintervals separately.

Notation: $I \subseteq [1, x]$

$$|I| \leq H$$

S is the set in the bound for $P(x)$ above restricted to $m \in I$

$$S(t) = \{u \in S : t^{1/n} < |u| \leq (2t)^{1/n}\}$$

$$y = m' - \theta \text{ for some } m' \in I$$

Classical Use of Differences: Observe that

$$\frac{E(m - \theta)}{u^k} = \frac{Ey}{u^k} + O\left(\frac{H}{|u|^k}\right).$$

Consider appropriate forms $P_s(u, \alpha)$ and $Q_s(u, \alpha)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[u, \alpha]$ of degree s such that

$$\frac{E(m_1 - \theta)}{u^k} P_s(u, \alpha) - \frac{E(m_2 - \theta)}{(u + \alpha)^k} Q_s(u, \alpha)$$

has small absolute value (in particular, < 1 so that $H/|u|^{k-s} < 1$ forcing us to restrict to $H \ll t^{(k-s)/n}$). Ideally, we would like to conclude that since the expression above is an algebraic integer with absolute value < 1 , it must be zero.

Difficulty: Algebraic integers (even from a fixed number field) can have arbitrarily small absolute value without being equal to 0.

Solution: Apply $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\theta)/\mathbb{Q})$ to the above (to obtain a conjugate of the expression). Using that u and $u + \alpha$ are primary, the conjugate obtained will still have small absolute value (in particular, < 1). But some conjugate of a non-zero algebraic integer MUST BE ≥ 1 . Hence, we can deduce the expression above is 0.

Comment: One then continues as in the classical Halberstam-Roth method.

Additional Difficulty: How does one count $u \in R$ with $|u| \asymp t^{1/n}$?

Solution: Write $u = u_1 \omega_1 + \dots + u_n \omega_n$ where $u_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ form an integral basis for R . For $u \in S(t)$, one has each $|u_j|$ is $\ll t^{1/n}$ (and some $|u_j| \gg t^{1/n}$). Consider the hypercube

$$\{(u_1, \dots, u_n) : u_j \in \mathbb{Z}, |u_j| \ll t^{1/n} \text{ for each } j\}.$$

Divide it into sub-cubes with edge length ℓ . One gets $\ll ((t^{1/n}/\ell) + 1)^n$ such sub-cubes. One picks ℓ so that there are $\ll 1$ different u that can lie in a sub-cube and $S(t)$ (via the Halberstam-Roth method).