

Seminar Notes (09/23/05): *The factorization of $x^2 + x$ revisited, Part II*
(joint work with M. A. Bennett and O. Trifonov)

Notation: $x_0 = (D\mathcal{M}_2^c)^{\frac{M+1}{1-\lambda}}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \frac{\log D}{c \log \mathcal{M}_2} + 1, \quad c > d \geq 1 \ (c, d \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad t \in (0, 1)$

$$M = \max \left\{ \frac{\log(\kappa_1)}{d \mathcal{D} \log \Omega_3}, \frac{\log(\mathcal{M}_2^c \kappa_2^{-1})}{\epsilon d \mathcal{D} t \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)}, \frac{\lambda \log(\kappa_2 D)}{\epsilon d \mathcal{D} (1-t) \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)}, \frac{m_0}{\mathcal{D}} \right\}$$

$$\Omega_3 = \frac{p^{k_0(s-1)} L_1(s)}{ab^s Q(s, z_0)}, \quad \Omega_4 = \frac{\mathcal{M}_1^s L_1(s)}{(ap^{k_0})^{s-1} D_0^2 E(s, z_0)}, \quad \kappa_1 = \max_{\delta \in \{0, 1\}} \frac{2 D C_{1,\delta}}{(ap^{k_0})^\delta}, \quad \kappa_2 = \min_{\delta \in \{0, 1\}} \frac{(ap^{k_0})^{1-\delta}}{2 D_0^{1-2\delta} C_{2,\delta}}$$

The undefined notation: The expressions $Q(s, z), E(s, z), C_{1,\delta}, C_{2,\delta}$ come from Lemma 3 below (bounds on $|Q_n(z)|$ and $|E_n(z)|$, themselves defined in the proof). Also, $L_1(s)$ comes from a bound on $\mathcal{G}(c, d, n)$; specifically, $\mathcal{G}(c, d, n) \geq L_1(s)^{dm}$ for $m > m_0$.

Theorem 1. Let p and q be distinct primes. Suppose that there exist positive integers a, b, k_0, l_0 and D_0 such that

$$ap^{k_0} - bq^{l_0} = D_0,$$

and write

$$z_0 = D_0/(ap^{k_0}), \quad \mathcal{M}_1 = \min\{p^{k_0}, q^{l_0}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_2 = \max\{p^{k_0}, q^{l_0}\}.$$

Assume further that there exists a rational number s satisfying $1 < s < 1/z_0$, $\Omega_3 > 1$ and $\Omega_4 > 1$. Set $\lambda = \log(\Omega_4)/\log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)$. Let D be a positive integer, and fix $\epsilon > 0$. Define x_0 as above. If $x \geq x_0$ is an integer and

$$x^2 + Dx = p^k q^l y$$

with k, l , and y nonnegative integers, then $y \geq x^{\lambda-\epsilon}$.

Definition (or Lemma): For positive integers A, B and C , define

$$P_{A,B,C}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^C \binom{A+B+C+1}{r} \binom{A+C-r}{A} (-z)^r, \quad Q_{A,B,C}(z) = (-1)^C \sum_{r=0}^A \binom{A+C-r}{C} \binom{B+r}{r} z^r$$

and

$$E_{A,B,C}(z) = \sum_{r=0}^B \binom{A+r}{r} \binom{A+B+C+1}{A+C+r+1} (-z)^r.$$

Lemma 1. The polynomials above satisfy

$$P_{A,B,C}(z) - (1-z)^{B+C+1} Q_{A,B,C}(z) = z^{A+C+1} E_{A,B,C}(z).$$

Lemma 2. There is a non-zero integer $D = D(A, B)$ for which

$$P_{A,B,A}(z) Q_{A+1,B-1,A+1}(z) - Q_{A,B,A}(z) P_{A+1,B-1,A+1}(z) = D z^{2A+1}.$$

Lemma 3. If $n = dm - \delta$ for $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$|Q_n(z)| < C_{1,\delta} (Q(s, z))^{dm} \quad \text{and} \quad |E_n(z)| < C_{2,\delta} (E(s, z))^{dm}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Part II):

- Recall that with $(x+D)/D_1 = p^{k_0 cm} y_1''$ and $x/D_1 = q^{l_0 cm} y_2''$, we reduced the problem to considering

$$D_2 = p^{k_0 cm} y_1'' - q^{l_0 cm} y_2'', \quad (1)$$

where $D_2 = D/D_1$, $D_1 = \gcd(x, x+D)$, $y \geq \min\{p^{-\alpha_1} y_1'', q^{-\beta_1} y_2''\}$, $0 \leq \alpha_1 < k_0 c$ and $0 \leq \beta_1 < l_0 c$.

- Take $n = dm - \delta$ where $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $A = C = n$ and $B = cm - n - 1$. Let $P_n(z)$, $Q_n(z)$, and $E_n(z)$ denote the polynomials in the definition above, and set $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(c, d, n)$ to be the gcd of the coefficients of $Q_n(z)$. Lemma 1 implies then that $P_n(z)/\mathcal{G}$, $Q_n(z)/\mathcal{G}$, and $E_n(z)/\mathcal{G}$ have integer coefficients.

- Use $z = z_0$ in Lemma 1 to deduce

$$(ap^{k_0})^{cm} P - (bq^{\ell_0})^{cm} Q = E \quad (2)$$

where P , Q and E are integers defined by

$$P = (ap^{k_0})^n P_n(z_0)/\mathcal{G}, \quad Q = (ap^{k_0})^n Q_n(z_0)/\mathcal{G}, \quad \text{and} \quad E = (ap^{k_0})^{cm-n-1} D_0^{2n+1} E_n(z_0)/\mathcal{G}.$$

- Multiplying (1) by $b^{cm} Q$ and (2) by y_2'' , we deduce that

$$p^{k_0 cm} |b^{cm} Q y_1'' - a^{cm} P y_2''| \leq b^{cm} D |Q| + |E| y_2''.$$

- Lemma 2 implies that the expression $b^{cm} Q y_1'' - a^{cm} P y_2''$ is nonzero for at least one of $n = dm$ and $n = dm - 1$. Fix δ accordingly. Then $p^{k_0 cm} \leq b^{cm} D |Q| + |E| y_2''$. The idea is to show that $|Q|$ and $|E|$ are not too large and deduce a lower bound on y_2'' .

- We show later (at the end of these notes) that if $y < x^\lambda$, then

$$dm > \max \left\{ \frac{\log(\kappa_1)}{\log \Omega_3}, \frac{\log(\mathcal{M}_2^c \kappa_2^{-1})}{\epsilon t \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)}, \frac{\lambda \log(\kappa_2 D)}{\epsilon(1-t) \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)}, dm_0 \right\}. \quad (3)$$

- Using the definition of Q and the lower bound on \mathcal{G} , we have

$$|Q| < \frac{(ap^{k_0})^{dm-\delta} C_{1,\delta} Q(s, z_0)^{dm}}{L_1(s)^{dm}} \leq \frac{\kappa_1}{2D} \left(\frac{ap^{k_0} Q(s, z_0)}{L_1(s)} \right)^{dm}.$$

- From (3), $\kappa_1 < \Omega_3^{dm}$. The definition of Ω_3 and $p^{k_0 cm} \leq b^{cm} D |Q| + |E| y_2''$ imply $y_2'' > p^{k_0 cm}/(2|E|)$.
- Note that $|E| < ((ap^{k_0})^{(c-d)m+\delta-1} D_0^{2dm+1-2\delta} C_{2,\delta} E(s, z_0)^{dm})/L_1(s)^{dm}$ implies

$$y_2'' > \kappa_2 \left(\frac{p^{k_0} L_1(s)}{a^{s-1} D_0^2 E(s, z_0)} \right)^{dm} = \kappa_2 \Omega_4^{dm} \left(\frac{p^{k_0}}{\mathcal{M}_1} \right)^{cm} \geq \kappa_2 \Omega_4^{dm}.$$

- From (1), $y_1'' \geq (q^{l_0}/p^{k_0})^{cm} y_2'' > \kappa_2 \Omega_4^{dm} (q^{l_0}/\mathcal{M}_1)^{cm} \geq \kappa_2 \Omega_4^{dm}$.
- Since $y \geq \min\{p^{-\alpha_1} y_1'', q^{-\beta_1} y_2''\} \geq \mathcal{M}_2^{-c} \min\{y_1'', y_2''\}$, we deduce $\log y \geq -\log(\mathcal{M}_2^c) + \min\{\log y_1'', \log y_2''\}$.
- From $x = D_1 q^{l_0 cm} y_2'' \leq D_1 p^{k_0 cm} y_1''$ and $D_1 \leq D$, we have $\log x \leq \log D + dm \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s) + \min\{\log y_1'', \log y_2''\}$.
- If u and v are positive numbers, then the function $(w-u)/(w+v)$ is increasing. It follows that

$$\frac{\log y}{\log x} \geq \frac{-\log(\mathcal{M}_2^c) + \min\{\log y_1'', \log y_2''\}}{\log D + dm \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s) + \min\{\log y_1'', \log y_2''\}} > \frac{-\log(\mathcal{M}_2^c) + \log(\kappa_2 \Omega_4^{dm})}{\log D + dm \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s) + \log(\kappa_2 \Omega_4^{dm})}.$$

- Hence, $y \geq x^\theta$ where $\theta = \frac{\log(\Omega_4) - \log(\mathcal{M}_2^c \kappa_2^{-1})}{\log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4) + \log(\kappa_2 D)/(dm)}$.
- With a little effort, one checks that $\theta > \lambda - \epsilon$ follows from (3). Indeed, this is how M was chosen.

- If $y < x^\lambda$, then $\min\{p^{-\alpha_1}y_1'', q^{-\beta_1}y_2''\} < x^\lambda$ so that either

$$x = D_1 q^{l_0 cm} y_2'' = D_1 q^{l_0 cm} q^{\beta_1} q^{-\beta_1} y_2'' < D q^{l_0 cm} q^{l_0 c} x^\lambda \implies q^{l_0 cm} > x^{1-\lambda} / (D q^{l_0 c})$$

or, similarly from $x + D = D_1 p^{k_0 cm} y_1''$, we have $p^{k_0 cm} > x^{1-\lambda} / (D p^{k_0 c})$. Therefore,

$$m > \min \left\{ \frac{(1-\lambda) \log x - \log(D q^{l_0 c})}{\log(q^{l_0 c})}, \frac{(1-\lambda) \log x - \log(D p^{k_0 c})}{\log(p^{k_0 c})} \right\}.$$

The condition $x \geq x_0$ implies

$$(1-\lambda) \log x \geq (M+1) \log(D \mathcal{M}_2^c) \geq M \log(D \mathcal{M}_2^c) + \max \{ \log(D p^{k_0 c}), \log(D q^{l_0 c}) \}.$$

Hence, $m > M \log(D \mathcal{M}_2^c) / \max \{ \log(q^{l_0 c}), \log(p^{k_0 c}) \} = M \log(D \mathcal{M}_2^c) / \log(\mathcal{M}_2^c) = M \mathcal{D}$. It follows that

$$dm > \max \left\{ \frac{\log(\kappa_1)}{\log \Omega_3}, \frac{\log(\mathcal{M}_2^c \kappa_2^{-1})}{\epsilon t \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)}, \frac{\lambda \log(\kappa_2 D)}{\epsilon (1-t) \log(\mathcal{M}_2^s \Omega_4)}, dm_0 \right\}. \quad (4)$$