Lecture 4: Ljunggren's Approach to Specific Lacunary Results

Theorem (Ljunggren): Let n and m be integers with n > m > 0, and let $\varepsilon_j \in \{1, -1\}$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then the non-cyclotomic part of $x^n + \varepsilon_1 x^m + \varepsilon_2$ is irreducible or identically 1.

Proof:

- The non-reciprocal part of $f(x) = x^n + \varepsilon_1 x^m + \varepsilon_2$ is the same as the non-cyclotomic part of f(x) (consider $\varepsilon_2 f(x) \tilde{f}(x)$).
- Suppose $w(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $w(x)\widetilde{w}(x) = f(x)\widetilde{f}(x)$. The goal is to show $w(x) = \pm f(x)$ or $w(x) = \pm \widetilde{f}(x)$. This will imply the non-reciprocal (equivalently, non-cyclotomic) part of f(x) is irreducible or 1.
- We can suppose w(x) has positive leading coefficient and $m \le n m$ (the latter by using \tilde{f} instead of f if necessary).
- Observe that $w(0) \neq 0$ and w(x), $\widetilde{w}(x)$, f(x), and $\widetilde{f}(x)$ have the same degree, namely n.
- Since $||w||^2 = ||f||^2 = 3$, each coefficient of w(x) is either 1 or -1. Write $w(x) = x^n + \varepsilon_1' x^k + \varepsilon_2'$ where $\varepsilon_i' \in \{1, -1\}$.
- We can suppose $k \le n k$.
- Note that

$$f(x)\tilde{f}(x) = (x^n + \varepsilon_1 x^m + \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_2 x^n + \varepsilon_1 x^{n-m} + 1)$$

= $\varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1 x^m + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 x^{n-m} + 3x^n + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 x^{n+m} + \varepsilon_1 x^{2n-m} + \varepsilon_2 x^{2n}$

and

$$\begin{split} w(x)\widetilde{w}(x) &= (x^n + \varepsilon_1'x^k + \varepsilon_2')(\varepsilon_2'x^n + \varepsilon_1'x^{n-k} + 1) \\ &= \varepsilon_2' + \varepsilon_1'x^k + \varepsilon_1'\varepsilon_2'x^{n-k} + 3x^n + \varepsilon_1'\varepsilon_2'x^{n+k} + \varepsilon_1'x^{2n-k} + \varepsilon_2'x^{2n}. \end{split}$$

• Comparing the least two exponents above, $\varepsilon_2' = \varepsilon_2$, $\varepsilon_1' = \varepsilon_1$, and k = m. Thus, w(x) = f(x).

Theorem (F. & Solan): Let $f(x) = x^n + x^m + x^p + x^q + 1$ be a polynomial with n > m > p > q > 0. Then the non-reciprocal part of f(x) is either irreducible or 1.

Proof:

- Suppose $w(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $w(x)\widetilde{w}(x) = f(x)\widetilde{f}(x)$. The goal is to show $w(x) = \pm f(x)$ or $w(x) = \pm \widetilde{f}(x)$.
- In this case, we may further suppose w(x) is a 0,1-polynomial (and do so). Write $w(x)=x^n+x^{k_3}+x^{k_2}+x^{k_1}+1$ with $0 < k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < n$.
- By considering reciprocal polynomials if necessary, we consider $m+q \le n$ and $k_1+k_3 \le n$.

• The condition $w(x)\widetilde{w}(x) = f(x)\widetilde{f}(x)$ implies

$$x^{2n} + x^{2n-q} + x^{2n-p} + x^{2n-m} + x^{n+m}$$

$$+ x^{n+p} + x^{n+q} + x^{n+m-q} + x^{n+m-p} + x^{n+p-q} + 5x^n + \cdots$$

$$= x^{2n} + x^{2n-k_1} + x^{2n-k_2} + x^{2n-k_3} + x^{n+k_3} + x^{n+k_2}$$

$$+ x^{n+k_1} + x^{n+k_3-k_1} + x^{n+k_3-k_2} + x^{n+k_2-k_1} + 5x^n + \cdots$$

- Deduce $2n k_1 = 2n q$ so that $k_1 = q$.
- By adding exponents, deduce $14n + 2k_3 2k_1 = 14n + 2m 2q$ so $k_3 = m$.
- Substitute and compare exponents to obtain

$${2n-p, n+p, n+m-p, n+p-q} = {2n-k_2, n+k_2, n+k_3-k_2, n+k_2-k_1}.$$

- Comparing largest elements of these sets, deduce one of 2n p and n + p must equal one of $2n k_2$ and $n + k_2$.
- If $2n p = 2n k_2$ or $n + p = n + k_2$, $k_2 = p$ and w(x) = f(x).
- If $2n p = n + k_2$ or $n + p = 2n k_2$, then $k_2 = n p$. Substituting and comparing exponents, deduce

$${n+m-p, n+p-q} = {n+k_3-k_2, n+k_2-k_1} = {m+p, 2n-p-q}.$$

If n+m-p=m+p, then n=2p so that $k_2=n-p=p$ and w(x)=f(x). If n+m-p=2n-p-q, then n=m+q so that $k_3=m=n-q$, $k_1=q=n-m$, and $w(x)=\tilde{f}(x)$.