Comment: Your course grade before the final exam is in red on your test. Your course grade cannot be lower than what is indicated there if you do or don't take the final exam provided you show up to the last two weeks of classes. For emergency situations, you may also get permission from your instructor to miss a class during these last two weeks.

Test	Grades:	100
		100
		100
		98
		98
		95
		95
		93
		93
		92

Theorem 2.1.1. (The Schönemann-Eisenstein Criterion) Let $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ where n is a positive integer. Suppose there exists a prime p such that $p \nmid a_n$, $p|a_j$ for all j < n, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$. Then f(x) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Examples.

Theorem 2.1.1 $\Longrightarrow 2x^6 + 6x^4 + 6$ is irreducible over $\mathbb Q$ (but not over $\mathbb Z$)

Theorem 2.1.1 $\implies 3x^8 + 14x + 10$ is irrreducible over \mathbb{Z}

Theorem 2.1.1. (The Schönemann-Eisenstein Criterion) Let $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ where n is a positive integer. Suppose there exists a prime p such that $p \nmid a_n$, $p|a_j$ for all j < n, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$. Then f(x) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

Examples.

Theorem 2.1.1 $\Longrightarrow 2x^6 + 6x^4 + 6$ is irreducible over $\mathbb Q$ (but not over $\mathbb Z$)

Theorem 2.1.1 $\implies 3x^8 + 14x + 10$ is irrreducible over \mathbb{Z}

Theorem 2.1.1 $\implies x^2 + x + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}

Theorem 2.1.1. (The Schönemann-Eisenstein Criterion) Let $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ where n is a positive integer. Suppose there exists a prime p such that $p \nmid a_n$, $p|a_j$ for all j < n, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$. Then f(x) is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

A polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is in *Eisenstein form* (with respect to the prime p) if there is a prime p such that $p \nmid a_n, p | a_j$ for j < n, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

An *Eisenstein polynomial* is an $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ for which there is an integer a and a prime p such that f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p. In this case, we say f(x) is *Eisenstein with respect to the prime* p.

A polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is in *Eisenstein form* (with respect to the prime p) if there is a prime p such that $p \nmid a_n$, $p|a_j$ for j < n, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

An *Eisenstein polynomial* is an $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ for which there is an integer a and a prime p such that f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p. In this case, we say f(x) is *Eisenstein with respect to the prime* p.

Examples.

 x^2+x+1 is Eisenstein with respect to the prime 3 x^6+2x^5+2x+9 is an Eisenstein polynomial

$$(x+3)^6 + 2(x+3)^5 + 2(x+3) + 9$$

= $x^6 + 20x^5 + 165x^4 + 720x^3 + 1755x^2 + 2270x + 1230$

How do we know if a given polynomial is Eisenstein?

$$f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j\in \mathbb{C}[x],\quad g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j\in \mathbb{C}[x] \ n\geq 1,\quad r\geq 1,\quad a_n b_r
eq 0$$

The resultant of f(x) and g(x), denoted R(f,g), can be defined in terms of an $(n+r)\times (n+r)$ determinant called the Sylvester determinant of f(x) and g(x).

$$f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j\in \mathbb{C}[x],\quad g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j\in \mathbb{C}[x] \ n\geq 1,\quad r\geq 1,\quad a_n b_r
eq 0$$

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x], \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x] \ &n \geq 1, \quad r \geq 1, \quad a_n b_r
eq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$f(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x - 1$$
 and $g(x) = 3x^2 + 10x + 2$

$$R(f,g) = egin{bmatrix} a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \dots & a_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & a_n & a_{n-1} & \dots & a_1 & a_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & a_n & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & b_r & b_{r-2} & \dots & b_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & b_r & b_{r-1} & \dots & b_1 & b_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & b_r & \dots & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 & \dots & 0 \ dots & d$$

$$f(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x - 1$$
 and $g(x) = 3x^2 + 10x + 2$

$$f(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x - 1$$
 and $g(x) = 3x^2 + 10x + 2$

$$R(f,g) = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R(f,g) = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 21 & 13 & -5 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 21 & 13 & -5 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 21(-38) - 13(-19) + (-5)(-38)$$

$$=19(-42+13+10)$$

$$R(f,g) = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 21 & 13 & -5 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 21 & 13 & -5 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 21(-38) - 13(-19) + (-5)(-38)$$

$$= 19(-42+13+10) = -19^2$$

$$R(f,g) = egin{bmatrix} a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \dots & a_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & a_n & a_{n-1} & \dots & a_1 & a_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & a_n & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \dots & 0 \ \vdots & dots \ b_r & b_{r-1} & b_{r-2} & \dots & b_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & b_r & b_{r-1} & \dots & b_1 & b_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \ 0 & 0 & b_r & \dots & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots \ x^{n+r-1} & x^{n+r-3} & x^1 \ x^{n+r-2} & x^{n+r-2} & x^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g).$$

$$(*) f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g).$$

$$w(x)=u(x)g(x)+v(x)h(x), \quad w(x) ext{ monic,} \quad \deg w ext{ minimal}$$
 $u(x)\in F[x], \quad v(x)\in F[x]$

Is |R(f,g)| the minimal positive integer for which (*) holds?

No

$$(*) f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g).$$

Lemma 2.2.2. Let f(x) and g(x) be two non-constant polynomials in the field F where $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $F = \mathbb{F}_p$. If R(f,g) = 0 in F, then f(x) and g(x) have an irreducible factor in common in F[x]. If further $\deg g < \deg f$, then f(x) is reducible over F.

$$f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g).$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} (*) & f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g). \\ c_1 & c_2 & a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \dots & a_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_n & a_{n-1} & \dots & a_1 & a_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_n & \dots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_r & b_{r-1} & b_{r-2} & \dots & b_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b_r & b_{r-1} & \dots & b_1 & b_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_r & \dots & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x^{n+r-1} & x^{n+r-3} & x^1 \end{array} \right\} r \text{ rows}$$

$$(*) \qquad f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g).$$

$$c_{1} \qquad c_{2} \qquad a_{n} \quad a_{n-1} \quad a_{n-2} \quad \dots \quad a_{0} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad a_{n} \quad a_{n-1} \quad \dots \quad a_{1} \quad a_{0} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad a_{n} \quad \dots \quad a_{2} \quad a_{1} \quad a_{0} \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ b_{r} \quad b_{r-1} \quad b_{r-2} \quad \dots \quad b_{0} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad b_{r} \quad b_{r-1} \quad \dots \quad b_{1} \quad b_{0} \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad b_{r} \quad \dots \quad b_{2} \quad b_{1} \quad b_{0} \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \ddots \quad \vdots \\ x^{n+r-1} \qquad v(x) = c_{r+1}x^{r-1} + c_{r+2}x^{r-2} + \dots + c_{r+n}$$

$$(*) f(x)u(x) + g(x)v(x) = R(f,g).$$

Lemma 2.2.2. Let f(x) and g(x) be two non-constant polynomials in the field F where $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $F = \mathbb{F}_p$. If R(f,g) = 0 in F, then f(x) and g(x) have an irreducible factor in common in F[x]. If further $\deg g < \deg f$, then f(x) is reducible over F.

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x], \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x] \ &n \geq 1, \quad r \geq 1, \quad a_n b_r
eq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x], \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x] \ n \geq 1, \quad r \geq 1, \quad a_n b_r
eq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Comment: If $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are the roots of f(x), then $R(f,g) = a_n^r g(\alpha_1) \cdots g(\alpha_n)$.

$$f(x)=\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j\in \mathbb{C}[x],\quad g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j\in \mathbb{C}[x] \ n\geq 1,\quad r\geq 1,\quad a_n b_r
eq 0$$

Comment: If $lpha_1,\ldots,lpha_n$ are the roots of f(x), then $R(f,g)=a_n^r\,g(lpha_1)\cdots g(lpha_n).$

$$egin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x], \quad g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x] \ n \geq 1, \quad r \geq 1, \quad a_n b_r
eq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Comment: If $lpha_1,\ldots,lpha_n$ are the roots of f(x), then $R(f,g)=a_n^r\,g(lpha_1)\cdots g(lpha_n).$

Lemma 2.2.1. Let f(x) and g(x) be two non-constant polynomials in the field F where $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $F = \mathbb{F}_p$. If R(f,g) = 0 in F, then f(x) and g(x) have an irreducible factor in common in F[x]. If further $\deg g < \deg f$, then f(x) is reducible over F.

A polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is in *Eisenstein form* (with respect to the prime p) if there is a prime p such that $p \nmid a_n$, $p|a_j$ for j < n, and $p^2 \nmid a_0$.

An *Eisenstein polynomial* is an $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ for which there is an integer a and a prime p such that f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p. In this case, we say f(x) is *Eisenstein with respect to the prime* p.

Steps:

• Calculate R(f, f').

Steps:

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let f(x) and g(x) be two non-constant polynomials in the field F where $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $F = \mathbb{F}_p$. If R(f,g) = 0 in F, then f(x) and g(x) have an irreducible factor in common in F[x]. If further $\deg g < \deg f$, then f(x) is reducible over F.

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.
 - ightharpoonup Factor R(f, f').

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.
 - ightharpoonup Factor R(f, f').
 - For each prime p dividing R(f, f') and each $a \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$, check if f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect p.

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.
 - ightharpoonup Factor R(f, f').
 - For each prime p dividing R(f, f') and each $a \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$, check if f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect p.
 - \succ If it is for some such p, then f(x) is an Eisenstein polyomial (with respect to p).

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.
 - ightharpoonup Factor R(f, f').
 - For each prime p dividing R(f, f') and each $a \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$, check if f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect p.
 - \succ If it is for some such p, then f(x) is an Eisenstein polyomial (with respect to p).
 - \succ If it is not for every such p, then f(x) is not an Eisenstein polynomial.

Comment: If for some integer a we have that f(x + a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p, then $f(x) \equiv a_n(x-a)^n \pmod{p}$.

Idea for most of Algorithm. Show that if there is a prime p such that

$$f(x) \equiv g(x)^2 h(x) \pmod p, \quad ext{where } \deg g \geq 1,$$
 then $p|R(f,f').$

Is the matrix below nonsingular?

$$egin{pmatrix} 119 & 532 & 289 \ 873 & 112 & 567 \ 222 & 633 & 650 \end{pmatrix}$$

Comment: If for some integer a we have that f(x + a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p, then $f(x) \equiv a_n(x-a)^n \pmod{p}$.

Idea for most of Algorithm. Show that if there is a prime p such that

 $f(x) \equiv g(x)^2 h(x) \pmod p, \quad ext{where } \deg g \geq 1,$ then p|R(f,f').

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.
 - ightharpoonup Factor R(f, f').
 - For each prime p dividing R(f, f') and each $a \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$, check if f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect p.
 - \succ If it is for some such p, then f(x) is an Eisenstein polyomial (with respect to p).
 - \succ If it is not for every such p, then f(x) is not an Eisenstein polynomial.

Comment: If for some integer a we have that f(x + a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p, then $f(x) \equiv a_n(x-a)^n \pmod{p}$.

Idea for most of Algorithm. Show that if there is a prime p such that

 $f(x) \equiv g(x)^2 h(x) \pmod p, \quad ext{where } \deg g \geq 1,$ then p|R(f,f').

Idea for last part of Algorithm. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies f(x+b) is in Eisenstein form with respect to some prime p, then f(x+a) is also for all $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$.

$$f(x+b) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j' x^j$$
 Eisenstein form with respect to p

Comment: If for some integer a we have that f(x + a) is in Eisenstein form with respect to the prime p, then $f(x) \equiv a_n(x-a)^n \pmod{p}$.

Idea for most of Algorithm. Show that if there is a prime p such that

 $f(x) \equiv g(x)^2 h(x) \pmod p, \quad ext{where } \deg g \geq 1,$ then p|R(f,f').

Idea for last part of Algorithm. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies f(x+b) is in Eisenstein form with respect to some prime p, then f(x+a) is also for all $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$.

$$f(x+b) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j' x^j$$
 Eisenstein form with respect to p

$$\implies f(kp+b) \equiv kpa_1' + a_0' \equiv a_0' \pmod{p^2}$$

- Calculate R(f, f').
 - \rightarrow If R(f, f') = 0, then f(x) is not Eisenstein with respect to any prime.
 - \rightarrow If $R(f, f') \neq 0$, then proceed as follows.
 - ightharpoonup Factor R(f, f').
 - For each prime p dividing R(f, f') and each $a \in \{0, 1, ..., p-1\}$, check if f(x+a) is in Eisenstein form with respect p.
 - \succ If it is for some such p, then f(x) is an Eisenstein polyomial (with respect to p).
 - \succ If it is not for every such p, then f(x) is not an Eisenstein polynomial.

$$f(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x - 1$$
 and $g(x) = 3x^2 + 10x + 2$

$$R(f,g) = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \ 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 21 & 13 & -5 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 21 & 13 & -5 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 3 & 10 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 21(-38) - 13(-19) + (-5)(-38)$$

$$=19(-42+13+10)=-19^2$$

$$f(x) = x^3 + 5x^2 + 2x - 1$$
 and $g(x) = 3x^2 + 10x + 2$

```
> f := x -> x^3 + 5*x^2 + 2*x - 1;

f:=x \rightarrow x^3 + 5 x^2 + 2 x - 1

> sort(expand(f(x+11)));

x^3 + 38 x^2 + 475 x + 1957

> ifactor(475); ifactor(1957);

(5)^2 (19)

(19) (103)
```

Note: The prime p = 19 is the only p that can "work". From $f(x) \equiv (x-11)^3 \pmod{19}$ and unique factorization in $\mathbb{F}_{19}[x]$, we get 11 is the only a that can "work".