

 **Part I. Basic Arithmetic**

 **Part II. Primality Testing**

Part III. Factoring Integers

Problem. Given a composite integer $n > 1$, find some non-trivial factorization of n , that is $n = uv$ where each of u and v is an integer > 1 .

Note: One can be pretty confident about whether a large integer n is composite without knowing a nontrivial factorization.

Expectation. A random number n will have around $\log \log n$ prime factors.

Theorem. *If $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n , then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\omega(n) - \log \log x)^2 \ll x \log \log x.$$

Corollary. *For almost all n , we have*

$$(*) \quad |\omega(n) - \log \log n| \leq (\log \log n)^{2/3}.$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\omega(n) - \log \log x)^2 \ll x \log \log x$$

Corollary. *For almost all n , we have*

$$(*) \quad |\omega(n) - \log \log n| \leq (\log \log n)^{2/3}.$$

Explanation of Corollary:

- Assume there are εx different $n \leq x$ for which $(*)$ does not hold, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is fixed and x is large.
- All but $\leq \sqrt{x}$ of these are $> \sqrt{x}$.
- For such n , we have

$$|\log \log n - \log \log x| < 1$$

$$\implies |\omega(n) - \log \log x| \geq (1/2)(\log \log x)^{2/3}.$$

- This contradicts the theorem.

Expectation 2. “Most” numbers n have a prime factor $> \sqrt{n}$.

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + A + O(1/\log x)$$

Why does the sum of the reciprocals of the primes diverge and where is this coming from (roughly)?

What does this have to do with Expectation 2?

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x \\ p|n}} 1$$

$$\log 2 = 0.69314718 \dots$$

Expectation 2. “Most” numbers n have a prime factor $> \sqrt{n}$.

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + A + O(1/\log x)$$

Why does the sum of the reciprocals of the primes diverge and where is this coming from (roughly)?

What does this have to do with Expectation 2?

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x \\ p|n}} 1 = \sum_{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ p|n}} 1 \geq (\log 2)x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)$$

$$\log 2 = 0.69314718 \dots$$

Comment: A random number n will have small prime factors, so it is reasonable to first do a quick “sieve” to determine if this is the case.

How many integers $n \leq x$ do not have a prime factor $\leq z$?

On the order of $\frac{x}{\log z}$.

Pollard's $p - 1$ Factoring Algorithm

For $k \leq \min\{10^7, n - 1\}$, check if $\gcd(2^{k!} - 1 \bmod n, n) > 1$.

Idea: If n has some prime factor p that is not too large and $p - 1$ has fairly small prime factors, then probably $p - 1$ divides some $k!$ above and hence the gcd. Further, it is likely that all primes dividing n will not simultaneously divide the first occurrence of such a k .

```
> n:=31415926535897932384626433832795028841971693993751:
> check:=0:
  for k from 1 to 25 while check=0 do
    m := 2^(k!)-1 mod n:
    thegcd := gcd(m,n):
    if thegcd > 1 then lprint(thegcd): check:=1: fi:
  od:
1657
```

```
> n:=31415926535897932384626433832795028841971693993751:
> check:=0:
  for k from 1 to 25 while check=0 do
    m := 2^(k!)-1 mod n:
    thegcd := gcd(m,n):
    if thegcd > 1 then lprint(thegcd): check:=1: fi:
  od:
```

1657

```
> n:=31415926535897932384626433832795028841971693993751:
```

```
> check:=0:
```

```
for k from 1 to 25 while check=0 do
```

```
  m := 2^(k!)-1 mod n:
```

```
  thegcd := gcd(m,n):
```

```
  if thegcd > 1 then lprint(thegcd): check:=1: fi:
```

```
od:
```

```
1657
```

```
> n:=n/1657;
```

```
  n := 18959521144174974281609193622688611250435542543
```

```
> check:=0:
```

```
for k from 1 to 8000 while check=0 do
```

```
  m := 2^(k!)-1 mod n:
```

```
  thegcd := gcd(m,n):
```

```
  if thegcd > 1 then lprint(thegcd): check:=1: fi:
```

```
od:
```

```
2767321
```

```
> n:=31415926535897932384626433832795028841971693993751:
```

```
> check:=0:
```

```
for k from 1 to 25 while check=0 do
```

```
  m := 2^(k!)-1 mod n:
```

```
  thegcd := gcd(m,n):
```

```
  if thegcd > 1 then lprint(thegcd): check:=1: fi:
```

```
od:
```

```
1657
```

```
> n:=n/1657;
```

```
      n := 18959521144174974281609193622688611250435542543
```

```
> check:=0:
```

```
for k from 1 to 8000 while check=0 do
```

```
  m := 2^(k!)-1 mod n:
```

```
  thegcd := gcd(m,n):
```

```
  if thegcd > 1 then lprint(thegcd): check:=1: fi:
```

```
od:
```

```
2767321
```

```
> ifactor(2767320)
```

```
(2)3 (3)2 (5) (7687)
```

Pollard's ρ -Algorithm

This method typically finds a prime factor p of n in about \sqrt{p} steps (so $O(n^{1/4})$ steps), and small prime factors of n will usually be found first.

A couple of relevant asides:

The birthday problem and a card trick.

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{>} \text{product} \left(\frac{k}{365.}, k = (365 - 8) .. 365 \right) \\ 0.9053761649 \end{array} \right]$$

$$> \text{product} \left(\frac{k}{365.}, k = (365 - 8) .. 365 \right)$$

0.9053761649

$$> \text{product} \left(\frac{k}{365.}, k = (365 - 22) .. 365 \right)$$

0.4927027640

$$> \text{product} \left(\frac{k}{366.}, k = (366 - 22) .. 366 \right)$$

0.4936769876

$$> \text{product} \left(\frac{k}{365.}, k = (365 - 21) .. 365 \right)$$

0.5243046907

Pollard's ρ -Algorithm

This method typically finds a prime factor p of n in about \sqrt{p} steps (so $O(n^{1/4})$ steps), and small prime factors of n will usually be found first.

A couple of relevant asides:

The birthday problem and a card trick.

And what if birthdays are not random?

Pollard's ρ -Algorithm

More Background: Suppose we roll a fair die with “ n faces” k times. If $k \geq 2\sqrt{n} + 2$, then with probability $> 1/2$ two of the numbers rolled will be the same.

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{n-j}{n} \right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} \right)^{\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{1}{e}$$

Pollard's ρ -Algorithm

Idea with a hiccup:

- Take $f(x) = x^2 + 1$, and define $f^{(1)}(x) = f(x)$ and $f^{(j+1)}(x) = f(f^{(j)}(x))$ for $j \geq 1$.
- Compute $a_j = f^{(j)}(1) \bmod n$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ where $k \approx \sqrt[4]{n}$ (or less).
- Compute $\gcd(a_i - a_j, n)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ to get a likely factorization of n .

Why does this likely lead to a factorization of n ?

What's the hiccup?