## **6** The Transcendence of e and $\pi$

For this section and the next, we will make use of

$$I(t) = \int_0^t e^{t-u} f(u) \, du,$$

where t is a complex number and f(x) is a polynomial with complex coefficients to be specified later (depending on the application). Integration by parts gives

$$I(t) = e^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{(j)}(0) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{(j)}(t) = e^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{n} f^{(j)}(0) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} f^{(j)}(t),$$
(8)

where n is the degree of f(x). If  $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j$ , we set

$$\overline{f}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} |a_j| x^j.$$

Then

$$|I(t)| \le \left| \int_0^t |e^{t-u} f(u)| \, du \right| \le |t| \max\{|e^{t-u}|\} \max\{|f(u)|\} \le |t|e^{|t|}\overline{f}(|t|).$$

**Theorem 16.** *The number e is transcendental.* 

*Proof.* Assume *e* is a root of

$$g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_r x^r \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

where  $b_0 \neq 0$ . Let p be a prime > max{ $r, |b_0|$ }, and define

$$f(x) = x^{p-1}(x-1)^p(x-2)^p \cdots (x-r)^p.$$

Consider

$$J = b_0 I(0) + b_1 I(1) + \dots + b_r I(r).$$

Since g(e) = 0, the contribution of the first summand on the right-hand side of (8) to J is 0. Thus,

$$J = -\sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_k f^{(j)}(k),$$

where n = (r+1)p - 1. The definition of f(x) implies that many of the terms above are zero, and we can write

$$J = -\sum_{j=p-1}^{n} b_0 f^{(j)}(0) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{j=p}^{n} b_k f^{(j)}(k).$$

Each of the terms on the right is divisible by p! except for

$$f^{p-1}(0) = (p-1)!(-1)^{rp}(r!)^p,$$

where we have used that p > r. Thus, since  $p > |b_0|$  as well, we see that J is an integer which is divisible by (p-1)! but not by p. In other words, J is an integer with

$$|J| \ge (p-1)!$$

Since

$$\overline{f}(k) = k^{p-1}(k+1)^p(k+2)^p \cdots (k+r)^p \le (2r)^n \text{ for } 0 \le k \le r,$$

we deduce that

$$|J| \le \sum_{j=0}^{r} |b_j| |I(j)| \le \sum_{j=0}^{r} |b_j| j e^j \overline{f}(j) \le c \left( (2r)^{(r+1)} \right)^p,$$

where c is a constant independent of p. This gives a contradiction and establishes the theorem.  $\Box$ 

It helps to be aware of the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic numbers, then so are  $\alpha \pm \beta$ ,  $\alpha\beta$ , and  $\alpha/\beta$  (if  $\beta \neq 0$ ). If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are algebraic integers, then so are  $\alpha \pm \beta$  and  $\alpha\beta$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $\alpha$  is an algebraic number with minimum polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and if b is the leading coefficient of g(x), then  $b\alpha$  is an algebraic integer.

**Lemma 3.** If  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer and  $\alpha$  is rational, then  $\alpha$  is a rational integer.

In addition, it would be helpful to be familiar with the fundamental theorem of elementary symmetric functions.

**Theorem 17.** *The number*  $\pi$  *is transcendental.* 

*Proof.* Observe that if  $\pi$  were algebraic, then  $i\pi$  would be as well (which we can see by using Lemma 1 or by observing that if  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $f(\pi) = 0$ , then  $g(x) = f(ix)f(-ix) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $g(i\pi) = 0$ ). It suffices therefore to show that  $\theta = i\pi$  is transcendental. Assume otherwise. Let r be the degree of the minimal polynomial g(x) for  $\theta$ , and let  $\theta_1 = \theta, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_r$  denote the conjugates of  $\theta$ . Let b denote the leading coefficient of g(x). In particular, we will use momentarily that  $b\theta_j$  is an algebraic integer (see Lemma 2). Since  $e^{i\pi} = -1$ , we deduce that

$$(1+e^{\theta_1})(1+e^{\theta_2})\cdots(1+e^{\theta_r})=0.$$

Multiplying the above expression on the left out, we obtain a sum of  $2^r$  terms of the form  $e^{\phi}$  where  $\phi = \epsilon_1 \theta_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_r \theta_r$  with  $\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$  for all j. Let  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$  denote the non-zero expressions of this form so that (since the remaining  $2^r - n$  values of  $\phi$  are 0)

$$q + e^{\phi_1} + \dots + e^{\phi_n} = 0,$$

where  $q = 2^r - n$ . Let p be a large prime, and let

$$f(x) = b^{np} x^{p-1} (x - \phi_1)^p \cdots (x - \phi_n)^p.$$

By the fundamental theorem of elementary symmetric functions and Lemma 2 and Lemma 3,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ; to see this more clearly, consider  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{2^r}$  as the complete set of  $\phi$ 's as above (so the first *n* are still the non-zero ones) and use that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{2^{r}} (x - \phi_j) = x^{2^{r} - n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (x - \phi_j)$$

is symmetric in  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r$ . Define

$$J = I(\phi_1) + \dots + I(\phi_n).$$

From (8), we deduce that

$$J = -q \sum_{j=0}^{m} f^{(j)}(0) - \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f^{(j)}(\phi_k)$$

where m = (n + 1)p - 1. Observe that the sum over k is a symmetric polynomial in  $b\phi_1, \ldots, b\phi_n$ with integer coefficients and thus a symmetric polynomial with integer coefficients in the  $2^r$  numbers  $b\phi = b(\epsilon_1\theta_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_r\theta_r)$ . Hence, by the fundamental theorem of elementary symmetric functions, we obtain that this sum is a rational number. Observe that Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 imply that the sum is furthermore a rational integer. Since  $f^{(j)}(\phi_k) = 0$  for j < p, we deduce that the double sum in the expression for J above is a rational integer divisible by p!. Observe that  $f^{(j)}(0) = 0$  for  $j and <math>f^{(j)}(0)$  is divisible by p! for  $j \ge p$ . Also,

$$f^{(p-1)}(0) = b^{np}(-1)^{np}(p-1)!(\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n)^p.$$

From the fundamental theorem of elementary symmetric functions and Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we deduce that  $f^{(p-1)}(0)$  is a rational integer divisible by (p-1)!. Furthermore, if p is sufficiently large, then  $f^{(p-1)}(0)$  is not divisible by p. If p is also > q, we deduce that

$$|J| \ge (p-1)!$$

On the other hand, using the upper bound we obtained for |I(t)|, we have

$$|J| \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\phi_k| e^{|\phi_k|} \overline{f}(|\phi_k|) \le c_1 c_2^p$$

for some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . We get a contradiction, completing the proof.