

Math 580/780I Notes 10

Euler's Phi Function Revisited:

- Recall $\phi(n)$ is the number of positive integers $\leq n$ that are relatively prime to n .
- **Lemma 1.** For every prime p and every positive integer k , $\phi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$.
- **Proof.** The number of multiples of p which are $\leq p^k$ is p^{k-1} . The result follows.
- **Lemma 2.** For relatively prime positive integers m and n , $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.
- **Proof.** If $m = 1$ or $n = 1$, then the result is clear; so we suppose both $m > 1$ and $n > 1$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_{\phi(m)}$ denote the positive integers $\leq m$ which are relatively prime to m , and let $b_1, \dots, b_{\phi(n)}$ denote the positive integers $\leq n$ which are relatively prime to n . Suppose now that $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, mn\}$ and $(k, mn) = 1$. Define a and b by

$$k \equiv a \pmod{m}, \quad 0 \leq a < m, \quad k \equiv b \pmod{n}, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq b < n.$$

Since $k = a + tm$ for some integer t and since $(k, m) = 1$, we deduce that $(a, m) = 1$. Similarly, $(b, n) = 1$. Hence, there are $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \phi(m)\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \phi(n)\}$ such that

$$k \equiv a_i \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad k \equiv b_j \pmod{n}.$$

Since there are $\phi(m)\phi(n)$ choices of pairs (i, j) and k is uniquely determined by the above congruences (i.e., because of the Chinese Remainder Theorem), we get $\phi(mn) \leq \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

Now, fix a pair (i, j) with $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \phi(m)\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \phi(n)\}$, and consider the integer $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, mn\}$ (that exists by the Chinese Remainder Theorem) which satisfies $k \equiv a_i \pmod{m}$ and $k \equiv b_j \pmod{n}$. There exists an integer t such that $k = a_i + tm$ so that, since $(a_i, m) = 1$, we obtain $(k, m) = 1$. Also, $(k, n) = 1$. Hence, $(k, mn) = 1$. Therefore, since each pair (i, j) corresponds to a different k , $\phi(mn) \geq \phi(m)\phi(n)$. Combining the inequalities, we get $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$.

• **Theorem 15.** Suppose $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$, where e_1, \dots, e_r , and r are positive integers and p_1, \dots, p_r are distinct primes. Then

$$\phi(n) = \prod_{j=1}^r (p_j^{e_j} - p_j^{e_j-1}) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

• **Proof.** The first equality follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 (using $\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{e_1}) \cdots \phi(p_r^{e_r})$). To get the second equality, factor out $p_j^{e_j}$ for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ to get

$$\prod_{j=1}^r (p_j^{e_j} - p_j^{e_j-1}) = \prod_{j=1}^r p_j^{e_j} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_j}\right) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

- **Examples.** Use the theorem to show that $\phi(100) = 40$ and $\phi(140) = 48$.
- A “sieve” proof (or a proof using the inclusion-exclusion principle) of Theorem 15 can be given that doesn't make use of the lemmas. Observe that a positive integer m is not relatively

prime to n if and only if m is divisible by some p_j with $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. For distinct j_1, \dots, j_k in $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, the number of positive multiples of $p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_k}$ which are $\leq n$ is $n/(p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_k})$. The inclusion-exclusion principle implies that the number of positive integers $\leq n$ which are not divisible by p_1, \dots, p_{r-1} , or p_r is

$$n - \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{n}{p_j} + \sum_{j_1 < j_2 \leq r} \frac{n}{p_{j_1} p_{j_2}} - \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < j_3 \leq r} \frac{n}{p_{j_1} p_{j_2} p_{j_3}} + \cdots + (-1)^r \frac{n}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r} = n \prod_{j=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_j}\right).$$

The theorem follows.

• **Comments:** An open problem due to Carmichael is to determine whether or not there is a positive integer n such that if m is a positive integer different from n then $\phi(m) \neq \phi(n)$. If such an n exists, it is known that it must be $> 10^{1000}$. Some result in this direction can be obtained as follows. Observe that $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ since otherwise $\phi(n) = \phi(2n)$. Now, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ since otherwise $\phi(n) = \phi(n/2)$. Now, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ since otherwise $\phi(n) = \phi(3n/2)$; and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ since otherwise $\phi(n) = \phi(2n/3)$. This approach can be extended (apparently indefinitely as long as one is willing to consider branching off into different cases).

Homework:

(1) Calculate each of the following:

- (a) $\phi(98)$
- (b) $\phi(120)$
- (c) $\phi(180)$

(2) Note that $2010 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 67$. What is the value of $\phi(2010)$?

(3) What is the remainder when 2^{165} is divided by 165?

(4) Show that the remainder when 2^{2010} is divided by 825 is 199?

(5) There are two positive integers n such that $\phi(n) = 2010$. What are they?

(6) Explain why $\phi(1) = \phi(2) = 1$ is the only odd value of $\phi(n)$ as n varies over the positive integers.

(7) Find all positive integers $n \leq 50$ for which $\phi(n)$ is twice an odd number. Try to do this without computing all values of $\phi(n)$ for $n \leq 50$. (There should be 18.)

(8) Find all positive integers $n \leq 50$ for which $\phi(n)$ has no odd prime divisor. Try to do this without computing all values of $\phi(n)$ for $n \leq 50$. (There should be 19.)

Challenge Problem:

Prove that if n is a positive integer as in the comment above, then $n > 10^{30}$. (Hint: Eventually consider two cases depending on whether $13|n$ or $13 \nmid n$.)