## Axioms for a Finite Projective Plane of Order n

- Axiom P1. There exist at least 4 distinct points no 3 of which are collinear.
- Axiom P2. There exists at least 1 line with exactly n + 1 points on it.
- Axiom P3. Given any 2 distinct points, there exists exactly one line passing through the 2 points.
- Axiom P4. Given any two distinct lines, there exists at least one point where the lines intersect.

**Theorem.** There is no projective plane of order n = 1.

*Proof.* Assume there is a projective plane of order 1. Let  $\ell$  be a line with exactly 2 points on it; such a line exists by Axiom P2. Call the points A and B. We consider two cases depending on whether A and B are 2 of the 4 points we know exist from Axiom P1. First, suppose that they are. Then there are 2 other points P and Q not on  $\ell$  such that no 3 of A, B, P, and Q are collinear. By Axiom P3, there exists a line  $\ell'$  passing through P and Q. Since A, B, P, and Q are not collinear,  $\ell \neq \ell'$ . By Axiom P4, there is a point R on both  $\ell$ and  $\ell'$ . Since no 3 of A, B, P, and Q are collinear, we easily deduce that R is not A or B. But then A, B, and R are 3 points on  $\ell$ , contrary to the fact that  $\ell$  has only 2 points on it.

Now, suppose A and B are not 2 of the 4 points we know exist from Axiom P1. Then from Axiom P1, there exist 3 points, say P, Q, and R, not on  $\ell$  which are noncollinear. Hence, by Axiom P3, there are 3 distinct lines  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ , and  $\ell_3$  passing through P and Q, Pand R, and Q and R, respectively. Since P, Q, and R are not on  $\ell$ , none of these lines can be  $\ell$ . By Axiom P4, each of these lines intersects  $\ell$ . Axiom P3 implies that these intersection points must be unique. Hence, we get a contradiction again to the fact that  $\ell$  has only 2 points on it.

## **Theorem (Dual of Axiom P1).** There exist at least 4 distinct lines, no 3 of which are concurrent.

*Proof.* By Axiom P1, there exist 4 distinct points no 3 of which are collinear. Call them A, B, C, and D. By Axiom P3, there exist lines  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  passing through A and B, B and C, C and D, and A and D, respectively. Since no 3 of A, B, C, and D are collinear, each of  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  passes through exactly 2 of the points A, B, C, and D and the lines  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  are distinct. We will complete the proof by showing that no 3 of these 4 lines are concurrent.

Assume 3 (or more) of the lines  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  intersect at a common point P. Then the above implies that  $P \neq A$ ,  $P \neq B$ ,  $P \neq C$ , and  $P \neq D$ . Observe that given any 3 of the 4 lines  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$ , from among those 3 lines, there must be at least 2 which have one of A, B, C, or D in common. Thus, by considering the 3 (or more) lines among  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  which intersect at P, we can find at least 2 lines which intersect at P and at some other point (A, B, C, or D). This contradicts Axiom P3, so our assumption that  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  intersect at a common point P must be incorrect. Therefore, no 3 of the 4 lines  $\ell_1$ ,  $\ell_2$ ,  $\ell_3$ , and  $\ell_4$  are concurrent.