

# COMPREHENSIVE EXAM: MATH 788F & 788K

**Definitions/Notation** (for the second part of the test):  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ;  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ ;  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  is the Schnirelmann density of  $\mathcal{A}$ ;  $\underline{d}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{A})$  are the lower and upper asymptotic densities of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively.

1. Let  $n$  and  $m$  be integers satisfying  $n > m > 0$ . Let

$$f(x) = x^n - 738x^m - 9000,$$

and observe that  $738 = 2 \times 3^2 \times 41$  and  $9000 = 2^3 \times 3^2 \times 5^3$ . Prove that  $f(x)$  is reducible if and only if  $n$  is even and  $m = n/2$ . In the case that  $n$  is even and  $m = n/2$ , find an explicit factorization of  $f(x)$  into irreducibles. (Hint: Even for the latter, it would help to make use of information obtained from Newton polygons of  $f(x)$  with respect to primes.)

2. Let  $g(x)$  be a non-zero polynomial with integer coefficients.
- (a) Prove that there is an integer  $K$  (depending on  $g(x)$ ) such that if  $k \geq K$ , then every root  $\alpha$  of  $xg(x) + k$  satisfies  $|\alpha| > 1$ .
  - (b) Prove that there is an integer  $P$  (depending on  $g(x)$ ) such that if  $p$  is a prime  $\geq P$ , then  $xg(x) + p$  is irreducible over the rationals.
  - (c) Prove that there is an integer  $P'$  (depending on  $g(x)$ ) such that if  $p$  is a prime  $\geq P'$ , then  $xg(x) + 2p$  is irreducible over the rationals.
  - (d) Fix integers  $a$  and  $d$  with  $d \neq 0$ . Prove that there is an integer  $N = N(a, d, g(x))$  such that if  $p$  is a prime  $\geq N$ , then  $(x - a)g(x) + dp$  is irreducible over the rationals.
3. (a) Let  $f_1(x)$ ,  $f_2(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be polynomials with complex coefficients. Prove that  $R(f_1f_2, g) = R(f_1, g)R(f_2, g)$ .
- (b) Let  $f(x)$ ,  $g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x)$  be polynomials with complex coefficients. Prove that  $R(f, g_1g_2) = R(f, g_1)R(f, g_2)$ .
- (c) Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be polynomials with complex coefficients and of degrees  $n$  and  $r$ , respectively. Prove that  $R(f, g) = (-1)^{nr} R(g, f)$ .
- (d) Let  $f(x)$ ,  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  be polynomials with complex coefficients with  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ . Prove that  $R(f, f') = R(g, h)R(h, g)R(g, g')R(h, h')$ .
- (e) Let  $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$  where  $g(x) = x^2 + ax + b$  and  $h(x) = x + c$  (with  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  complex numbers). Prove that  $R(f, f') = -(a^2 - 4b)R(g, h)^2$ .
- (f) Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers. Prove that if  $a^2 - 4b$  is a square modulo an odd prime  $p$ , then  $x^2 + ax + b$  is reducible modulo  $p$ .
- (g) Let  $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 2x + 1$ . Show that  $R(f, f') = -49$ .
- (h) Let  $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 2x + 1$ , and let  $p$  be a prime. Using the information just obtained, explain why  $f(x)$  cannot factor as a product of exactly two irreducible polynomials (one of degree 2 and one of degree 1) modulo  $p$ .

4. (a) For which primes  $p$  does the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_7(x)$  have a root modulo  $p$ ?  
 (b) For which primes  $p$  does  $\Phi_7(x)$  have an irreducible quadratic factor modulo  $p$ ?  
 (c) Let  $p$  be a prime. Suppose  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are integers satisfying

$$a+b+c \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad ab+ac+bc \equiv -2 \pmod{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad abc \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Prove that

$$\Phi_7(x) \equiv (x^2 + ax + 1)(x^2 + bx + 1)(x^2 + cx + 1) \pmod{p},$$

where the quadratic factors shown are not necessarily irreducible.

- (d) Let  $f(x) = x^3 - x^2 - 2x + 1$ . Recall the conclusion of part (h) of the previous problem. Deduce from the previous parts of this problem that if  $f(m)$  is divisible by a prime  $p$  different from 7, then  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}$ .  
 (e) Deduce from the above that there exist infinitely many primes  $\equiv -1 \pmod{7}$ .
5. (a) Let  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2k}, 2^{2k} + 1, \dots, 2^{2k+1} - 1\} = \{1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, \dots, 31, 64, \dots, 127, \dots\}$ .  
 Find  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  ;  $d(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  ;  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{A}) = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$ .  
 (b) Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of **positive** cubes, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a set of **positive** integers so that  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  contains all integers  $\geq 2$ . Let  $B(n) = \#\{b \in \mathcal{B} : b \leq n\}$ . Prove that  $B(n) \geq n^{2/3}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .
6. (a) State the Cauchy-Davenport-Chowla theorem.  
 (b) Prove that for every **odd** prime  $p$  and  $0 \leq m \leq p - 1$ , there are integers  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  such that  $x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 \equiv m \pmod{p}$ . Use the Cauchy-Davenport-Chowla Theorem, plus the fact that the set  $\{x^k \pmod{p} : 1 \leq x \leq p - 1\}$  has cardinality  $\frac{p-1}{(k, p-1)}$  for odd primes  $p$ . Note that some of the  $x_i$  may be divisible by  $p$ .
7. The main sieve result proved in class (Theorem 18) is the following:

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set of positive integers,  $\omega$  is a multiplicative function satisfying  $\omega(p) < p$  for primes  $p$ , and for some real numbers  $\kappa$  and  $A$ ,

$$(1) \quad \prod_{y_1 \leq p < y_2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right)^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{\log y_2}{\log y_1}\right)^{\kappa} \exp(A/\log y_1), \quad (2 \leq y_1 \leq y_2).$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of primes  $\leq X^{1/8}$ ,  $P = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p$  and  $r_d = |\mathcal{A}_d| - \frac{\omega(d)}{d}X$  with  $|r(d)| \leq \omega(d)$  for  $d|P$ . Then, for large  $X$ ,

$$S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}) \leq e^{e^{\kappa}} X \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right).$$

Use this theorem to prove that the number of primes  $p \leq x$  for which  $p + 6$  is prime, is  $O(x/\log^2 x)$ . Be sure to state what  $\omega(d)$  is, what  $\mathcal{P}$  is, and to prove (1).

8. Let  $\omega(n)$  be the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$  and let  $\Omega(n)$  be the number of prime factors counted with multiplicity. Let  $\tau_k(n)$  be the divisor function that counts the number of un-ordered  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$  with  $n = d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k$ . For  $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_r^{e_r}$ , we have

$$\tau_k(n) = \binom{e_1 + k - 1}{e_1} \cdots \binom{e_r + k - 1}{e_r}.$$

A theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan states that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\#\{n \leq x : |\omega(n) - \log \log x| > \varepsilon \log \log x \text{ or } |\Omega(n) - \log \log x| > \varepsilon \log \log x\} = o(x).$$

Use this result to prove that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\#\{n \leq x : k^{(1-\varepsilon) \log \log n} \leq \tau_k(n) \leq k^{(1+\varepsilon) \log \log n}\} = x - o(x);$$

i.e.,  $\tau_k(n) = k^{(1+o(1)) \log \log n}$  for most  $n$ . Hint: first prove that  $k \leq \binom{e+k-1}{e} \leq k^e$  for  $e \geq 1$ , and use this to show  $k^{\omega(n)} \leq \tau_k(n) \leq k^{\Omega(n)}$ .