

DISCRETE OPTIMIZATION: PROBLEM SET 2

Problem 1. Let A be the adjacency matrix of a graph G with vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. (Recall that the trace of a matrix is the sum of the diagonal elements of the matrix.)

- (1) What does the i, j entry of A^2 count? (explain)
- (2) What does the i, j entry of A^3 count? (explain)
- (3) What does $\text{trace}(A^2)$ count? (explain)
- (4) What does $\text{trace}(A^3)$ count? (explain)

Problem 2. A *dominating set* in a graph G is a set of vertices D such that every vertex of G is either in D , or is a neighbor of a vertex in D . Finding a small dominating set has important applications in networks, such as monitoring or controlling with lowest cost.

- (1) Write matrix inequalities (involving the adjacency matrix and any other convenient matrices) that are satisfied exactly when a $\{0, 1\}$ vector \mathbf{x} indexed by the vertices of G represents a dominating set.
- (2) Using the above, write an optimization problem that describes a minimal dominating set.

Problem 3. In a directed graph (without edge weights), prove that the minimum length of an $s - t$ path is the same as the minimum number of pairwise disjoint $s - t$ cuts. (Recall that an $s - t$ cut is the set of all edges that point out of some set $U \subset V$, with $s \in U$ and $t \notin U$.)

Problem 4. Prove that the function f described in Dijkstra's algorithm gives the actual distances from s to each vertex v . (Hint: Once a vertex is taken out of U , the function never changes. So it's enough to show that $f(u)$ holds the right value for the vertex u selected...)

Problem 5. Show that the edge weights being non-negative is important for Dijkstra's algorithm. That is, come up with and explain an example where the algorithm *doesn't* find the shortest paths when the edge weights are allowed to be negative.

Problem 6. Make a clear drawing of the graph described in the hiring problem (in particular with the edges labelled, and illustrate Dijkstra's algorithm step-by-step on the graph, to find the optimal solution. A description of the problem follows.

It costs \$800 to train each employee. It costs \$1200 to let each employee go. It costs \$1600 a month to keep each extra employee over what is needed.

Month	Extra Employees Needed
1	$b_1 = 8$
2	$b_2 = 10$
3	$b_3 = 7$
4	$b_4 = 8$

A graph that describes this situation is

$$V = \{(i, x) | 1 \leq i \leq 4, b_i \leq x \leq 10\} \cup \{(0, 0), (5, 0)\}$$

$$A = \{((i, x), (i + 1, y)) \in V \times V | 0 \leq i \leq 4\}$$

Where the weight of edge $((i, x), (i + 1, y))$ is the cost to train or let go of the number of employees described ($8(y - x)$ when $y \geq x$, and $12(x - y)$ when $x > y$) plus the cost of keeping extra employees for the new month ($16(y - b_{i+1})$).