



## Report: Survey Results

Dear Instructor Vandermolen,

This email contains results for Finite Mathematics:

The global indicators are listed first, followed by the individual average values, consisting of the following scales:

- INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)
- INSTRUCTOR OVERALL
- STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)
- COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)
- OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)
- MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

# Robert Vandermolen

Finite Mathematics (MATH170-007-SPRING-2016)  
 No. of responses = 20  
 No. of enrolled = 38  
 % returned = 52.63



## Overall indicators

### Global Index

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)



av.=4.74  
dev.=0.6

3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL



av.=4.77  
dev.=0.5

4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)



av.=4.69  
dev.=0.7

5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)



av.=4.76  
dev.=0.58

6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)



av.=4.7  
dev.=0.64




av.=4.76  
dev.=0.56

## Survey Results

### Legend

Question text



n=No. of responses  
 av.=Mean  
 dev.=Std. Dev.  
 ab.=Abstention

## 1. DEMOGRAPHICS

1.1) Classification:

Freshman	<input type="text" value="40"/>	40%	n=20
Sophomore	<input type="text" value="20"/>	20%	
Junior	<input type="text" value="15"/>	15%	
Senior	<input type="text" value="20"/>	20%	
Other	<input type="text" value="5"/>	5%	

1.2) Cumulative GPR?



1.3) Is this course required?




1.4) Anticipated grade in this course?




2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)


2.1) The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.




2.2) The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.




2.3) The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.




2.4) The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.




2.5) The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.




2.6) The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.




2.7) Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider the instructor's availability via established office hours, appointments, and other opportunities for face-to-face interaction as well as via telephone, e-mail, fax and other means)



2.8) If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your learning experience in the course.




2.9) displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.




n=17  
av.=4.88  
dev.=0.33

2.10) motivates me to learn the subject.




n=18  
av.=4.83  
dev.=0.38

2.11) gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.




n=17  
av.=4.71  
dev.=0.59

2.12) is concerned that students learn the material.




n=18  
av.=4.78  
dev.=0.43

2.13) treats students with respect.




n=19  
av.=4.68  
dev.=0.75

2.14) grades fairly.



n=19  
av.=4.79  
dev.=0.42


2.15) well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.



n=17  
av.=4.76  
dev.=0.44

### 3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL


3.1) OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:



n=16  
av.=4.69  
dev.=0.7


### 4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

4.1) Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills).




n=18  
av.=4.78  
dev.=0.43

4.2) Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations.




n=18  
av.=4.83  
dev.=0.38

4.3) Improving my oral and written communication skills.




n=18  
av.=4.61  
dev.=0.98

4.4) Learning to apply course materials to improve decision making, rational thinking and problem solving.



n=18  
av.=4.78  
dev.=0.55


4.5) OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as:



n=14  
av.=4.79  
dev.=0.58


### 5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)

5.1) Exams assess and reflect course material accurately.




n=18  
av.=4.67  
dev.=0.69

5.2) Specified objectives for this course were achieved.




n=18  
av.=4.78  
dev.=0.55

5.3) Text was clear, readable and useful.




n=19  
av.=4.47  
dev.=0.9

5.4) The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.



n=19  
av.=4.79  
dev.=0.54


5.5) The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.



n=19  
av.=4.79  
dev.=0.54

### 6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)


6.1) OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as:



n=17  
av.=4.76  
dev.=0.56


### 7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

7.1) Related to my other courses, the material covered was:



n=17  
av.=3.65  
dev.=1.37

7.2) Related to my other courses, the workload was:



n=18  
av.=3.83  
dev.=1.25

### 8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS

- 8.1) Miscellaneous #1      The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.
- 8.2) Miscellaneous #2      The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.
- 8.3) Miscellaneous #3      The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.
- 8.4) Miscellaneous #4      The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.
- 8.5) Miscellaneous #5      The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

# Profile

Subunit: **Math TA**  
 Name of the instructor: **Robert Vandermolén**  
 Name of the course: **Finite Mathematics**  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

## 2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)

2.1) The instructor clearly stated the instructional objectives of the course.		n=19 av.=4.84 md=5.00 dev.=0.37
2.2) The instructor clearly stated the method by which your final grade would be determined.		n=17 av.=4.82 md=5.00 dev.=0.39
2.3) The instructor clearly explained any special requirements of attendance which differ from the attendance policy of the University.		n=18 av.=4.89 md=5.00 dev.=0.32
2.4) The instructor clearly graded and returned the student's written work (e.g., examinations and papers) in a timely manner.		n=18 av.=4.83 md=5.00 dev.=0.38
2.5) The instructor met the class regularly and at the scheduled times.		n=19 av.=4.84 md=5.00 dev.=0.37
2.6) The instructor scheduled a reasonable number of office hours per week.		n=19 av.=4.68 md=5.00 dev.=0.95
2.7) Please indicate your satisfaction with the availability of the instructor outside the classroom. (In selecting your rating, consider		n=19 av.=4.74 md=5.00 dev.=0.65
2.8) If web sites, Blackboard, or other Internet resources were a part of this course, to what extent did they enhance or detract from your		n=16 av.=4.50 md=5.00 dev.=0.73
2.9) displays a clear understanding of the subject matter and course topics.		n=17 av.=4.88 md=5.00 dev.=0.33
2.10) motivates me to learn the subject.		n=18 av.=4.83 md=5.00 dev.=0.38
2.11) gives clear and helpful responses to student questions.		n=17 av.=4.71 md=5.00 dev.=0.59
2.12) is concerned that students learn the material.		n=18 av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.43
2.13) treats students with respect.		n=19 av.=4.68 md=5.00 dev.=0.75
2.14) grades fairly.		n=19 av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.42
2.15) well-utilized class time, either by lecture, group work, student presentations or other activities.		n=17 av.=4.76 md=5.00 dev.=0.44

## 3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

3.1) OVERALL, considering all aspects, I rate the overall performance of my instructor as:		n=16 av.=4.69 md=5.00 dev.=0.70
--	--	---------------------------------

## 4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

4.1) Gaining factual knowledge of this subject (terminology, methods, skills).		n=18 av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.43
4.2) Learning fundamental principles, theories or generalizations.		n=18 av.=4.83 md=5.00 dev.=0.38

4.3) Improving my oral and written communication skills.		n=18 av.=4.61 md=5.00 dev.=0.98
4.4) Learning to apply course materials to improve decision making, rational thinking and problem solving.		n=18 av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.55
4.5) OVERALL, I rate the progress I have made in this course as compared with others I have taken as:		n=14 av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.58

**5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)**

5.1) Exams assess and reflect course material accurately.		n=18 av.=4.67 md=5.00 dev.=0.69
5.2) Specified objectives for this course were achieved.		n=18 av.=4.78 md=5.00 dev.=0.55
5.3) Text was clear, readable and useful.		n=19 av.=4.47 md=5.00 dev.=0.90
5.4) The classroom atmosphere was conducive to free expression of ideas and questioning.		n=19 av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.54
5.5) The departmental prerequisite courses were adequate preparation for this course.		n=19 av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.54

**6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)**

6.1) OVERALL, considering all of the above aspects, I rate this course as:		n=17 av.=4.76 md=5.00 dev.=0.56
--	--	---------------------------------

**7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)**

7.1) Related to my other courses, the material covered was:		n=17 av.=3.65 md=4.00 dev.=1.37
7.2) Related to my other courses, the workload was:		n=18 av.=3.83 md=4.00 dev.=1.25

**8. MISCELLANEOUS INSTRUCTOR QUESTIONS**

8.1) Miscellaneous #1 (*)	
8.2) Miscellaneous #2 (*)	
8.3) Miscellaneous #3 (*)	
8.4) Miscellaneous #4 (*)	
8.5) Miscellaneous #5 (*)	

(\*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.



# Profile

Subunit:

Math TA


Name of the instructor:

Robert Vandermolen

Name of the course:  
(Name of the survey)

Finite Mathematics

2. INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION (Part I)



av.=4.77

dev.=0.50

3. INSTRUCTOR OVERALL

av.=4.69

dev.=0.70

4. STUDENT OUTCOME (PART II)

av.=4.76

dev.=0.58

5. COURSE EVALUATION (Part III)

av.=4.70

dev.=0.64

6. OVERALL COURSE EVALUATION (PART IV)

av.=4.76

dev.=0.56

## Comments Report

## 7. COURSE EVALUATION (PART V)

7.3) Please comment on what you feel are the positive and negative aspects of your instructor and his/her teaching outlook:

great teacher! Very knowledgeable about material & made  
a great, interactive learning environment.

Very engaging.

Awesome

great  
teacher

makes it fun, stern but fair

Great teacher. Very intelligent + motivates students to truly understand the material. He is passionate about math and teaching. He will make a fantastic professor one day. I recommend anyone taking anything he teaches.

↓  
Cool dude! Makes math fun!

Rob is a cool dude. He was very refreshing, and more teachers need to be more like him.

Interesting style of teaching

Rob was awesome. He makes lecture very entertaining & makes me want to come to class.

Rob was very good. Great teacher,  
 interactive, cares, calls students by name  
 B

+ great teaching, hard work to make sure  
 we understand, makes sure we  
 have fun & learn

7.4) Comment on what you feel are the positive and negative aspects of this course:

interesting subject

Sometimes unclear when  
 explaining.

Awesome

good real world use

Dry material but easy with a little bit of effort.

Rob's the man! Such a great teacher!

material  
 VERY different

Made the class enjoyable

Attempt to engage the whole class. is positive

Rob was great, course was very different

+ new way of thinking, not a lot of  
HOME WORK ; Fun & challenging

Math