

Correction to “Complete normality and metrization theory of manifolds”

Peter Nyikos

The claim in this article [1] that the combination of SSA + PFA<sup>+</sup> is shown in [2, p. 660] to be consistent, modulo large cardinals, is incorrect. Moreover, Paul Larson has shown that the SSA is even incompatible with MA( $\omega_1$ ), and it is not known whether the weaker Axiom S is compatible with the PFA.

Fortunately, the topological results in [1] are all consistent. In fact, the PFA is already enough to imply every statement derived from the combination of SSA + PFA<sup>+</sup> in the article, and it can also be shown that the large cardinal strength of PFA is not needed. The key to these new discoveries is the following ZFC theorem of [3]:

**2.3. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a space which is either hereditarily normal (abbreviated  $T_5$ ) or hereditarily strongly cwH, for which there are a continuous  $\pi : X \rightarrow \omega_1$  and a stationary subset  $S$  of  $\omega_1$  such that the fiber  $\pi^{-1}\{\sigma\}$  is countably compact for all  $\sigma \in S$ . Then  $X$  cannot contain an infinite family of disjoint closed countably compact subspaces with uncountable  $\pi$ -images.*

This can be combined with the results of [1] in the following way.

1. In [1, Lemma 2.5] MA( $\omega_1$ ) is used to show that if  $M$  is a hereditarily cwH nonmetrizable manifold, then  $M$  is of Type I. That is,  $M$  is the union of a strictly ascending  $\omega_1$ -sequence of open subspaces  $M_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \omega_1$ ) such that  $M_\alpha$  has Lindelöf closure contained in all  $M_\beta$  such that  $\beta > \alpha$ .

2. In [1, Lemma 2.6] it is shown how  $M_\alpha$  can be chosen so that  $M_\alpha = \bigcup\{M_\xi : \xi < \alpha\}$  whenever  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, and so that each point of  $B_\alpha = \overline{M_\alpha} \setminus M_\alpha$  is contained in a compact, connected, infinite subset  $K_\alpha$  of  $B_\alpha$  so long as  $\dim(X) > 1$ . [Actually, compactness of  $K_\alpha$  is not needed for the new proof.]

3. The following is implicit in the proof of Lemma 2.7 in [1]:

**Lemma A.** *If  $CC_{22}$  holds and  $M$  is a locally compact space in which every countable subset has Lindelöf closure, and  $S$  is a stationary subset of  $\omega_1$  and  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$  is a subset of  $M$ , then there is a stationary subset  $E$  of  $S$  such that either:*

- (1)  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in E\}$  is a closed discrete subspace of  $M$ , or
- (2) every countable subset of  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in E\}$  has compact closure in  $M$ .

This is used in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.7], along with the axiom (which follows from the PFA, see [4, Corollary 6.6]) that every 1st countable perfect preimage of  $\omega_1$  contains a copy of  $\omega_1$ . These axioms are used there to produce a copy  $W$  of  $\omega_1$  in any hereditarily cwH nonmetrizable Type I manifold  $M$ . For any such copy  $W = \{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  the following set is a club:  $C_W = \{\alpha : p_\alpha \in B_\alpha\}$ . Again using  $CC_{22}$ , a stationary subset  $S_1$  of  $C_W$  is produced along with points  $\{q_\alpha : \alpha \in S_1\}$ , such that such that  $F_1 = cl\{q_\alpha : \alpha \in S_1\}$  is disjoint from  $W$  and countably compact and hence closed in  $M$ , and such that both  $p_\alpha$  and  $q_\alpha$  are contained in a connected subset  $K_\alpha$  of  $B_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in S_1$ .

4. Also in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.7], assuming also the normality of  $M$ , a continuous real-valued function  $f$  from  $M$  to  $[0, 1]$  is constructed which is 0 on  $W$  and 1 on  $F_1$ . Since  $K_\alpha$  is connected and meets both  $W$  and  $F_1$  whenever  $\alpha \in S_1$ , this function  $f$  takes on all intermediate values on  $K_\alpha$ .

In [1] it was shown that  $CC_{22}$  follows from  $\text{PFA}^+$ , but it can be derived just from the PFA, as explained in [3]. Also in [1],  $\text{PFA}^+$  was mis-stated. Correct statements can be found in [2] and [5].

Now comes the new proof of the main theorem of [1], with altered set-theoretic hypothesis:

**Main Theorem.** [PFA] *Every  $T_5$ , hereditarily cwH manifold of dimension greater than 1 is metrizable.*

From each  $K_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in S_1$ ) pick a point  $x_\alpha$  so that  $f(x_\alpha)$  is different from all  $f(x_\beta)$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$ . Use the fact that  $M$  is cwH and the Pressing-Down Lemma to eliminate alternative (1) of Lemma A as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 of [1]. Alternative (2) then gives a stationary subset  $S$  of  $S_1$  such that every countable subset of  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$  has compact closure in  $M$ . In particular, the closure  $X$  of  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$  in  $M$  is countably compact and so is  $X \cap B_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \omega_1$ .

*Claim.* The map  $\pi : X \rightarrow \omega_1$  which takes  $X \cap B_\alpha$  to  $\alpha$  is continuous.

Once the claim is proven, we get a contradiction to Theorem 2.3 above as follows. The image under  $f$  of  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$  is an uncountable subset of  $[0, 1]$ , hence it has  $\mathfrak{c}$ -many condensation points. For each condensation point  $p$  and each countable ordinal  $\alpha_0$ , there is a strictly ascending sequence of ordinals  $\langle \alpha_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  and points  $x_{\alpha_n} \in K_{\alpha_n}$  for  $n > 0$  such that  $|p - f(x_{\alpha_n})| < \frac{1}{n}$ .

Let  $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$ . Since  $X$  is countably compact, there is a point of  $X \cap B_\alpha$  which is sent to  $p$  by  $f$ . Thus the sets  $X \cap f^{-1}\{p\}$  are a family of  $\mathfrak{c}$ -many disjoint closed countably compact sets with uncountable  $\pi$ -range.

† *Proof of Claim.* If  $C$  is any closed subset of  $\omega_1$ , then  $Y_C = \bigcup\{B_\gamma : \gamma \in C\}$  is closed in  $M$  because  $M \setminus Y_C$  falls apart into the open sets  $M_\gamma \setminus \overline{M}_\delta$  where  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  are successive members of  $C$ . We then get a natural map  $\pi^* : Y_C \rightarrow \omega_1$  taking each  $B_\gamma$  to  $\gamma$ . This map is continuous because the preimage of each closed set is closed. If  $C$  is the closure of  $S$  in  $\omega_1$ , then the map  $\pi$  of the Claim is the restriction of  $\pi^*$  to  $X$ . †

The foregoing proof allows us to slightly weaken the hypotheses on  $M$  in the main theorem: it is enough for  $M$  to be normal and hereditarily strongly cwH. [Recall that a space is termed *strongly cwH* if every closed discrete subspace  $D$  expands to a discrete collection of open sets  $U_d$  such that  $U_d \cap D = \{d\}$  for all  $d \in D$ .] This is a weakening of hypotheses because every normal, cwH space is strongly cwH. It is an open problem whether normality can be dropped from this weakening. In [3] it is

proven that it can be dropped under PFA + Axiom F, but it is not known whether this combination of axioms is consistent, even modulo large cardinals.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Nyikos, “Complete normality and metrization theory of manifolds,” *Top. Appl.* 123 (1) (2002) 181–192.
- [2] S. Shelah, *Proper and Improper Forcing*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [3] P. Nyikos, “Applications of some strong set-theoretic axioms to locally compact  $T_5$  and hereditarily scwH spaces,” *Fund. Math.* (2003).
- [4] A. Dow, “Set theory in topology,” in: *Recent Progress in General Topology*, M. Hušek and J. van Mill, eds., Elsevier, 1992, 167–197.