

DOWKER SPACES REVISITED

LEWIS D. LUDWIG , PETER NYIKOS, AND JOHN E. PORTER

ABSTRACT. In 1951, Dowker proved that a space X is countably paracompact and normal if and only if $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is normal. A normal space X is called a Dowker space if $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is not normal. The main thrust of this article is to extend this work with regards α -normality and β -normality. Characterizations are given for when the product of a space X and $(\omega + 1)$ is α -normal or β -normal. A new definition, α -countably paracompact, illustrates what can be said if the product of X with a compact metric space is β -normal. Several examples demonstrate that the product of a Dowker space and a compact metric space may or may not be α -normal or β -normal. A collectionwise Hausdorff Moore space constructed by M. Wage is shown to be α -normal but not β -normal.

1. INTRODUCTION

A topological space X is called β -normal (α -normal) if for each pair of closed disjoint subsets $A, B \subset X$ there are open sets $U, V \subset X$ such that $\overline{A \cap U} = A$, $\overline{B \cap V} = B$ and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ ($U \cap V = \emptyset$, respectively). This notion was introduced by Arhangel'skii and Ludwig in 1999 [1] and others have worked on the topic ([2], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11]). In 1951, Dowker proved that a space X is countably paracompact and normal if and only if $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is normal [4]. A normal space X is called a *Dowker space* if $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is not normal, where \mathbb{I} is the unit interval with the usual topology. The main thrust of this article is to extend this work with regards α -normality and β -normality.

Section 2 is devoted to extending Dowker's characterization of countably paracompact normal spaces to α -normal and β -normal spaces. The two main results of the section, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.9, characterize when $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is α -normal and when this product is β -normal. Corollaries 2.10 and 2.11 show what happens if in the forward supposition of Dowker's theorem, normality is replaced with α -normality and β -normality (respectively). A new definition, α -countably paracompact, is introduced in this section and Corollary 2.7 shows that if $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is β -normal, then X is β -normal and α -countably paracompact. The converse is an open question.

In Section 3, examples of Dowker spaces whose product with the unit interval are α -normal and β -normal (respectively) are given. Curiously, this section also exhibits Dowker spaces whose product with the unit interval are *not* α -normal and β -normal (respectively).

In Section 4 a collectionwise Hausdorff Moore space constructed by M. Wage is shown to be α -normal but not β -normal. The article concludes with a list of open

Date: November 1, 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54D15.

Key words and phrases. α -normal, β -normal, products, Dowker, Moore spaces, α -countably paracompact.

questions in Section 5. Throughout the paper, unless otherwise stated, a “space” is a T_1 , regular, topological space. The ordinals ω , ω_1 are used to denote the first two infinite cardinals. Readers may refer to Engelking [5] for undefined terms.

2. EXTENDING DOWKER’S RESULT TO α -NORMALITY AND β -NORMALITY

To start, we restate Dowker’s characterization of countably paracompact normal spaces as a fact for later reference purposes.

Fact 2.1. *A topological space X is countably paracompact and normal if and only if $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is normal.*

In light of Dowker’s characterization, it is natural to ask what would happen if one weakened the supposition that $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is normal to α -normal. We begin with a characterization of α -normal spaces. The proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.2. *A topological space X is α -normal if and only if for every pair H and K of disjoint closed subsets of X there exists an open set U of X such that $\overline{H \cap U} = H$ and $\overline{U} \cap K$ is nowhere dense in K .*

It should be noted, that in the standard proof of Fact 2.1, the reverse direction only uses the existence of a non-trivial convergent sequence in the space \mathbb{I} [5]. So we can actually say $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is normal if and only if X is normal and countably paracompact. We now have the following.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a T_1 space. The product $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is α -normal if and only if*

(1) *X is α -normal, and*

(2) *if $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a family of closed sets and $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$, and E is a closed subset of X disjoint from F , then there is a family $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$ of open sets such that $W_n \cap F_n$ is dense in F_n and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$ is nowhere dense in E .*

Proof. Let $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of closed sets and $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$, and suppose E is a closed subset of X disjoint from F . Then $A = (\bigcup\{F_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}) \cup (F \times \{\omega\})$ and $B = E \times \{\omega\}$ are disjoint closed subsets of $X \times (\omega + 1)$. Since $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is α -normal, there is an open subset W of $X \times (\omega + 1)$ such that $\overline{W \cap A} = A$ and \overline{W} is nowhere dense in B .

For each $n \in \omega$, define $W_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in W\}$. Clearly, $W_n \cap F_n$ is dense in F_n . Since \overline{W} is nowhere dense in B , $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$ is nowhere dense in E .

Conversely, let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of $X \times (\omega + 1)$. Consider the sets

- $A_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in A\}$,
- $A_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in A\}$,
- $B_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in B\}$, and
- $B_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in B\}$.

Since X is α -normal and A_ω and B_ω are disjoint closed subsets of X , there are disjoint open subsets U_A and U_B of X such that $cl_X(U_A \cap A_\omega) = A_\omega$ and $cl_X(U_B \cap B_\omega) = B_\omega$.

By (2) and the α -normality of X , there are open subsets U_n and V_n of X such that

- (a) $U_n \cap A_n$ is dense in A_n for each $n \in \omega$,
- (b) $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} U_k)$ is nowhere dense in B_ω ,
- (c) V_n is dense in B_n for each $n \in \omega$,
- (d) $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} V_k)$ is nowhere dense in A_ω , and
- (e) $U_n \cap V_n = \emptyset$ for each $n \in \omega$.

Let $H = A_\omega \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} V_k)$ and $K = B_\omega \setminus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} U_k)$. For each $d \in H \cap U_A$, there is an open subset O_d and $n_d \in \omega$ such that

- $O_d \subset U_A$, and
- $O_d \cap \bigcup_{k=n_d}^{\infty} V_k = \emptyset$.

Similarly, for each $d \in K \cap U_B$, there is an open subset O_d and $n_d \in \omega$ such that

- $O_d \subset U_B$, and
- $O_d \cap \bigcup_{k=n_d}^{\infty} U_k = \emptyset$.

Let

$$U = \bigcup \{U_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\} \cup \bigcup \{O_d \times [n_d, \omega] : d \in H \cap U_A\}, \text{ and}$$

$$V = \bigcup \{V_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\} \cup \bigcup \{O_d \times [n_d, \omega] : d \in K \cap U_B\}.$$

Note that U and V are disjoint subsets of $X \times (\omega + 1)$, U is dense in A , and V is dense in B . Hence $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is α -normal. □

The β -normal case is similar to the α -normal case, albeit more complicated. For convenience, we break up the theorem into two parts.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a T_1 space. If $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is β -normal, then:*

- (1) X is β -normal and
- (2) *if $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a family of closed sets and $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$, and E is a closed subset of X disjoint from F , then there is a family $\{W_n : n \in \omega\}$ of open sets such that $W_n \cap F_n$ is dense in F_n and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$ is disjoint from E .*

Proof. Clearly X is β -normal. Let $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of closed sets with

$F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} F_k)$, and E is a closed subset of X disjoint from F . Note that

$A = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (F_n \times \{n\}) \cup (F \times \{\omega\})$ and $B = E \times \{\omega\}$ are disjoint closed sets in $X \times Y$. Since $X \times Y$ is β -normal, there are open $U, V \subset X \times Y$ such that $A \cap U$ is dense in A , $B \cap V$ is dense in B , and $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$. The sets $W_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in U\}$ are open in X and $W_n \cap F_n$ is dense in F_n for each $n \in \omega$. Suppose $C = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} (cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k))$

is not disjoint from E . Let $z \in C \cap E$. Then every neighborhood of z meets infinitely many W_n and since $W_n \times \{n\} \subset U$, so $(z, \omega) \in \overline{U}$. This is impossible since $\overline{U} \cap B = \emptyset$. \square

At this point, it should be noted that Dowker had a useful characterization of countably paracompact.

Fact 2.5. *A topological space X is countably paracompact if and only if for every decreasing sequence $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of closed subsets of X satisfying $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$ there exists a sequence $\langle W_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open subsets of X such that $F_n \subset W_n$ for $n \in \omega$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{W_n} = \emptyset$.*

This characterization prompted one of the authors to define the concept of α -countably metacompact and α -countably paracompact spaces.

Definition 2.6. A topological space is said to be α -countably paracompact (resp., α -countably metacompact) if for every decreasing sequence $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of closed subsets of X satisfying $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$ there exists a sequence $\langle W_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of open subsets of X such that $W_n \cap F_n$ is dense in F_n for $n \in \omega$ and $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{W_n} = \emptyset$ (resp., $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n = \emptyset$).

With this new definition and Lemma 2.4, we have the following corollary that exhibits what can be said of a space X if $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is β -normal. In this direction, we can extend the result to the product of X and a compact metric space as all that is needed is a distinct convergent sequence and its limit point. The proofs are left to the reader.

Corollary 2.7. *If $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is β -normal, then X is β -normal and α -countably paracompact.*

Corollary 2.8. *If Y is an infinite compact metric space, and $X \times Y$ is β -normal, then X is β -normal and α -countably paracompact.*

With Lemma 2.4 in hand, we are now ready for the main β -normal result of this section.

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be a T_1 space. The product $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is β -normal if and only if the following three conditions are met:*

- (1) X is β -normal,
- (2) condition (2) of Lemma 2.4 is satisfied, and
- (3) for every decreasing sequence $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of closed subsets of X satisfying $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} F_n = \emptyset$, there is a family $\{V_n : n \in \omega\}$ of open sets such that $F_n \subset V_n$ and $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} cl_X(V_n)$ is nowhere dense in the relative topology of F_0 .

Proof. Let C be a closed subset of $X \times (\omega + 1)$ and U an open set of $X \times (\omega + 1)$ containing C . It suffices to find an open set G such that $G \cap C$ is dense in C and $\overline{G} \subset U$. Consider the following sets:

- $C_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in C\}$
- $C_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in C\}$
- $U_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \in U\}$
- $U_\omega = \{x \in X : (x, \omega) \in U\}$

Note that each C_n and C_ω are closed subsets of X , and each U_n and U_ω are open subsets of X . Also, $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} C_k)$ is a closed subset of C_ω . By condition (2) of Lemma 2.4 and β -normality of X , we can find open sets W_n such that

- (a) $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} W_k)$ is a subset of U_ω ,
- (b) $W_n \cap C_n$ is dense in C_n , and
- (c) $cl_X(W_n) \subset U_n$.

Let $G_0 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (W_n \times \{n\})$. Note that $\overline{G_0} \cap (X \times \{\omega\})$ is a subset of $X \times (\omega + 1)$, while $E = X \setminus U_\omega$ is a subset of X . Hence $\overline{G_0} \subset U$.

By the β -normality¹ of X , we can find an open set $V \subset X$ such that $V \cap C_\omega$ is dense in C_ω and $cl_X(V) \subset U_\omega$. Let $E_k = X \setminus U_k$ and let $F_n = cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k) \cap C_\omega$. Then $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ is a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$ and by condition (3) of Theorem 2.9 we can find open sets $V_n \supset F_n$ such that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n}$ meets F_0 in a nowhere dense set.

Now $C_\omega \setminus V_n$ is a closed set disjoint from $cl_X(\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k)$. So $W_n = (V \setminus \overline{V_n}) \times [n, \omega]$ is an open set whose closure is disjoint from E_k for all k and so, by definition of V and E_k , the closure of W_n is a subset of U . Let $G_1 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} W_n$. Then $G_1 \cap C_\omega = C_\omega \setminus \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{V_n}$ is dense in C_ω , and the closure of G_1 is easily seen to be a subset of U . Thus $G = G_0 \cup G_1$ is the desired open set.

Conversely, let $Y = X \times (\omega + 1)$ and suppose Y is It remains to verify condition (3) of Theorem 2.9.

Consider a decreasing sequence $\langle F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ of closed subsets of X satisfying $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$. Let $C = F_0 \times \{\omega\}$ and $E = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n \times \{n\}$. Then C and E are disjoint closed subsets of Y . By β -normality of Y , there is an open subset W of Y whose intersection with C is dense in C , and whose closure is a subset of $Y \setminus E$. Let $V_n = \{x \in X : (x, n) \notin \overline{W}\}$. Clearly, V_n is an open subset of X , and $F_n \subset V_n$. If $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n} \neq \emptyset$, let z be in the intersection. Every neighborhood of (z, ω) meets all but finitely many of the sets $\overline{V_n} \times \{n\}$. Each of these sets is a subset of the closure of $Y \setminus \overline{W}$ and so it misses W . Therefore, $(z, \omega) \notin W$, and so $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{V_n}$ meets F_0 in a nowhere dense subset of F_0 . \square

We now consider what happens if the supposition in Fact 2.1 is changed from X normal to X α -normal or β -normal. Notice that countably paracompact spaces satisfy condition (2) of Theorem 2.3 and conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.9. Hence, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.10. *Let X be α -normal and countably paracompact. Then $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is α -normal.*

Corollary 2.11. *Let X be β -normal and countably paracompact. Then $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is β -normal.*

¹Here we use the equivalent definition of β -normality: A space X is β -normal if for each closed $A \subseteq X$ and for every open $U \subseteq X$ that contains A , there exists an open $V \subseteq X$ such that $\overline{V \cap A} = A \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq U$.

3. MOTIVATING EXAMPLES

After Dowker characterized countably paracompact normal spaces (Fact 2.1), he asked whether every normal space is countably paracompact or not. That is, does there exist a normal space X such that $X \times \mathbb{I}$ is not normal (i.e., a Dowker space). For several decades, the Dowker problem has fueled a great deal of research. In 1971, M.E. Rudin constructed a Dowker space [13]. In light of α -normality and β -normality, it is natural to ask whether the product of a Dowker space and the unit interval can be α -normal or β -normal.

Example 3.1. The product of a normal space and a compact metric space can be α -normal without being normal.

Proof. Consider a hereditarily separable Dowker space X and a compact metric space Y . Hereditarily separable Dowker spaces have been constructed under a variety of axioms independent of ZFC (see [12], [14], [15], and [16]). Since these spaces are hereditarily separable and Y is second countable, $X \times Y$ is hereditarily separable. A hereditarily separable regular space is α -normal [1]. \square

We will see that the properties of the Dowker space dictate the outcome of the product. In Example 3.1, the product of a *hereditarily separable* Dowker space with a compact metric space resulted in an α -normal product space. If this condition is dropped, as the next example demonstrates, the product may fail to be α -normal.

Example 3.2. (ZFC) The product of a normal space and a compact metric space need not be α -normal.

Proof. Recall that a topological space X is called a *P-space* if the intersection of countably many open sets is open. If X is a Dowker *P-space* and is *extremally disconnected*, that is if the closure of an open set is open, then X fails condition (2) of Theorem 2.3. Dow and van Mill [3] have constructed such a space in ZFC. \square

Remark 3.3. Note that in extremally disconnected spaces, α -countably paracompactness is equivalent to countable paracompactness. Thus, the normal space in Example 3.2 is not α -countably paracompact.

Although β -normality seems a much stronger condition than α -normality, it is not enough to determine the Dowker situation as the next example illustrate.

Example 3.4. (V=L) A normal space whose product with a compact metric space is β -normal but not normal.

Proof. P. Nyikos [12] constructed a scattered hereditarily strongly collectionwise (scwH) Hausdorff Dowker space X under the axiom $\mathbf{V=L}$. Recently, it was shown that $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is scattered and hereditarily scwH, and therefore $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is (hereditarily) β -normal by Nyikos and Porter's Theorem 2.8 [11]. \square

4. MOORE SPACE RESULTS

In light of Theorem 2.9, one may consider how close α -normal and β -normal are in the presence of condition (2) of Theorem 2.3 and conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.9. The next example gives some insight on this.

Example 4.1. A first countable Tychonov space that is α -normal, collectionwise Hausdorff, and α -countably paracompact but not β -normal.

Proof. In [17], Wage produced an example of a collectionwise Hausdorff first countable Tychonoff space that is not normal. We state the following lemma used by Wage.

Lemma 4.2. *There exist subsets of the real line A and B such that $B \subset A$ and every countable subset of B is contained in a G_δ that does not meet $A - B$, yet every G_δ containing B does meet $A - B$.*

To create Wage's example, topologize A by letting the points of B have the usual neighborhoods and each point of $A - B$ be isolated. Let $X = A \times (\omega + 1) - B \times \{\omega\}$. Wage showed this space to be first countable, Tychonoff, non-normal, pseudo-normal, and collectionwise Hausdorff. A similar argument to the one Wage used to show that X is collectionwise Hausdorff will be used to show that X is α -normal.

Since the points of $(A - B) \times \omega$ are isolated and $B \times \omega$ is hereditary separable, it suffices to show that every countable subset of $B \times \omega$ is contained in an open set whose closure misses $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$. Let $C \subset B \times \omega$ be countable. Since every countable subset of B is contained in a G_δ that misses $A - B$, there exist open sets $U_n \subset A$ with $U_{n+1} \subset U_n$ such that $\{x \in B : (\exists n \in \omega)(x, n) \in C\} \subset \bigcap \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $\bigcap \{U_n : n \in \omega\} \cap (A - B) = \emptyset$. Note that $C \subset \bigcup \{U_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}$, and the closure of $\bigcup \{U_n \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}$ misses $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$. That is, X is α -normal.

To show that X is α -countably paracompact, let $\{F_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a sequence of decreasing closed sets such that $\bigcap F_n = \emptyset$. For each $n \in \omega$ let

- (i) $G_n = F_n \cap (B \times \omega)$,
- (ii) $H_n = F_n \cap (A - B) \times \{\omega\}$, and
- (iii) $I_n = F_n \cap (A - B) \times \omega$

Note that I_n is open in X . For each $(x, \omega) \in H_n$, let $U_{(x, \omega)} = \{(x, k) : k \geq n\}$. Note that $\overline{\bigcup \{U_{(x, \omega)} : (x, \omega) \in H_n\}} \cap (A - B) \times \{\omega\} = H_n$. Since $A \times \omega$ is paracompact open subset of X and $\{G_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a nested sequence of closed sets, we can find open sets V_n such that $G_n \subset V_n$ and $\bigcap \overline{V_n} \cap (A \times \omega) = \emptyset$. Since G_n is closed, we can find an open set O_n such that $\overline{G_n} \cap O_n = G_n$ and $\overline{O_n} \cap (A - B) \times \{\omega\} = \emptyset$ by the above arguments. Let $U_n = V_n \cap O_n$, and let

$$W_n = I_n \cup \left(\bigcup \{U_{(x, \omega)} : (x, \omega) \in H_n\} \right) \cup U_n.$$

Note that $\bigcap \overline{W_n} = \emptyset$, and X is α -countably paracompact.

To show that X is not β -normal, we show that the closed sets $B \times \omega$ and $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$ cannot be β -separated. Suppose U and V are open sets such that $(B \times \omega) \cap U$ is dense in $B \times \omega$ and $(A - B) \times \{\omega\} \cap V$ is dense in $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$. Since $(A - B) \times \{\omega\}$ is discrete, for every $x \in A - B$ there is an $n_x \in \omega$ such that $\{(x, n) : n \geq n_x\} \subset V$. We claim there exists $x' \in B$ and a sequence $\{x_k\}$ in $A - B$ and an $m \in \omega$ such that $x_k \rightarrow x'$ and $n_{x_k} = m$. Since $\overline{U} \supset B \times \omega$, \overline{U} must contain $\{(x', n) : n \in \omega\}$. This shows that $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} \neq \emptyset$.

To prove the claim, let $E_m = \{x \in A - B : n_x = m\}$. If the claim were not true, then for every $x \in B$ there is a neighborhood O_x of x such that $O_x \cap E_m = \emptyset$. Let $O_m = \bigcup_{x \in X} O_x$. Note that $O_m \cap E_m = \emptyset$, and $\bigcap_{m \in \omega} O_m$ is a G_δ set which contains B but misses $A - B$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Wage used this space to construct a collectionwise Hausdorff non-normal Moore space. This gives the following interesting result.

Example 4.3. There exists a collectionwise Hausdorff, non- β -normal, α -normal Moore space, X' .

Proof. Let X' be the set of all non-isolated points of X . The space

$$Y = X' \times \{\omega\} \cup (X - X') \times \omega$$

as a subspace of $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is a Moore space which is α -normal but not β -normal by the previous arguments. \square

5. QUESTIONS

The authors close the paper by listing some open questions that the authors were unable to answer.

Question 5.1. Is there a Dowker space whose product with a compact metric space is β -normal in ZFC?

Question 5.2. Is there a Dowker space whose product with a compact metric space is α -normal, but not β -normal?

Question 5.3. If X is β -normal and α -countably paracompact, is $X \times (\omega + 1)$ β -normal? α -normal?

Question 5.4. If X is α -normal and α -countably paracompact, is $X \times (\omega + 1)$ α -normal?

Question 5.5. If $X \times (\omega + 1)$ is α -normal, is X α -countably metacompact? α -countably paracompact?

Question 5.6. Are β -normal α -countably metacompact spaces α -countably paracompact?

Question 5.7. Is there a β -normal non-normal Moore space?

It follows from condition (3) of Theorem 2.9 that the product of a Dowker P -space with $\omega + 1$ is not β -normal. Recall that Rudin's Dowker [13] space is a P -space.

Question 5.8. Is Rudin's Dowker space α -countably paracompact?

Question 5.9. Is the product of Rudin's Dowker space with $\omega + 1$ α -normal?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii, L. Ludwig, *On α -normal and β -normal spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **42** (2001), no. 3, 507–519.
- [2] D. Burke, L. Ludwig *Hereditarily α -normal spaces and infinite products.*, Topology Proc. **25** (2000), Summer, 291–299.
- [3] A. Dow, J. van Mill, *An extremally disconnected Dowker space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **86** (1982), no. 4, 669–672.
- [4] C. H. Dowker, *On countably paracompact spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 219–224.
- [5] R. Engelking, *General topology*, second ed., Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] Y. Hiraya, N. Kemoto, *The hereditarily collectionwise Hausdorff property in products of ω_1* , Topology Proc. **29** (2005), no. 1, 167–173.
- [7] L. Kalantan, *Results about κ -normality*, Topology Appl., **125** (2002), no. 1, 47–62.
- [8] L. Ludwig, *Two generalizations of normality: α -normality and β -normality*, Ph.D. dissertation, Ohio University, 2001.
- [9] L. Ludwig and P. Szeptycki, *A consistent example of a β -normal, non-normal space*, Topology Proc., **25**, (2000), Spring, 251–254.

- [10] E. Murtinová, *A β -normal Tychonoff space which is not normal*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **43** (2002), no. 1, 159-164.
- [11] P. Nyikos, J. E. Porter *Hereditarily strongly cwH and separation axioms*, to appear in Topology Appl.
- [12] P. Nyikos, *Hereditarily normal, locally compact Dowker spaces*, Topology Proceedings **24** (1999) 261–276.
- [13] M. E. Rudin, *A normal space X for which $X \times I$ is not normal*, Fund. Math., **73**, 1971, no. 2, 179–186.
- [14] M. E. Rudin, *A separable Dowker space*, Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XVI (Convegno sulla Topologia Insiemistica e Generale, INDAM, Roma, Marzo, 1973), pp. 125–132. Academic Press, London, 1974.
- [15] M. E. Rudin, *Dowker Spaces*, Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1984), 761-780.
- [16] M. E. Rudin, *Countable point separating open covers for manifolds*, Houston J. Math. **15** (1989), no. 2, 255–266.
- [17] M. Wage, *A Collectionwise Hausdorff, Non-normal Moore Space*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1976) 632–634.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DENISON UNIVERSITY, GRANVILLE, OH 43023, LUDWIGL@DENISON.EDU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, COLUMBIA, SC 29208, NYIKOS@MATH.SC.EDU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY HALL 6C, MURRAY STATE UNIVERSITY, MURRAY, KY 42071, TED.PORTER@MURRAYSTATE.EDU