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## Theorem (Spencer, 1975)

$$
R(3, k) \geq \frac{c k^{2}}{\log k} .
$$

Proof: Consider $G(n, p)$. Two bad events:

- For $S \in\binom{[n]}{3}$, let $A_{S}$ be the event of $\left.G\right|_{S}$ is a triangle; $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{S}\right)=p^{3}$.
- For $T \in\binom{[n]}{k}$, let $B_{T}$ be the event that $T$ is an independent set of $G ; \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{t}\right)=(1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}}$.
■ Dependence graph: $d_{S S} \leq 3 n, d_{S T} \leq 3\binom{n}{k-2}$, $d_{T S} \leq\binom{ k}{2} n$, and $d_{T T} \leq\binom{ k}{2}\binom{n}{k-2}$.
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## Proof

By LLL, we only require

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{3} & \leq x(1-x)^{3 n}(1-y)^{3\binom{n}{k-2}} \\
(1-p)^{\binom{k}{2}} & \leq y(1-x)^{\binom{k}{2} n}(1-y)^{\binom{k}{2}\binom{n}{k-2} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can choose $p=c_{1} n^{-1 / 2}, k=c_{2} n^{1 / 2} \log n, x=c_{3} n^{-3 / 2}$, and $y=c_{4} /\binom{n}{k}$.
This gives $R(3, k)>c_{5} k^{2} / \log ^{2} k$.

## $R(4, k)$

Best bounds for $R(r, k)$ (for fixed $r$ and $k$ large),

$$
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## $R(4, k)$

Best bounds for $R(r, k)$ (for fixed $r$ and $k$ large),

$$
c\left(\frac{k}{\log k}\right)^{(r+1) / 2}<R(r, k)<(1+o(1)) \frac{k^{r-1}}{\log ^{r-2} k}
$$

Erdős conjecture \$250: Prove

$$
R(4, k)>c^{\prime} \frac{k^{3}}{\log ^{c} k}
$$

for some constants $c^{\prime}, c>0$.
The best lower bound is using LLL; $R(4, k)>c^{\prime} \frac{k^{2.5}}{\log ^{2.5} k}$.
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## Theorem [Alon and Linial (1989) If

$e(\Delta \delta+1)(1-1 / k)^{\delta}<1$, then $D$ contains a (directed, simple) cycle of length $0 \bmod k$.
Proof: First we can assume every out-degree is $\delta$ by deleting some edges if necessary. Consider $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{k}$. Bad event $A_{v}$ : no $u \in \Gamma^{+}(v)$ with $f(u)=f(v)+1$.

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{v}\right)=(1-1 / k)^{\delta} .
$$

Each event depends on at most $\delta \Delta$ others. Apply LLL.
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If the conjecture is true, then it is tight.

$$
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## Directed graphs

- $G=(V, E)$ : a directed graph.

■ $G$ is $d$-regular if $d^{+}(v)=d^{-}(v)=d$ for any vertex $v$.

- Linear directed forest: disjoint union of directed paths.
- Dilinear arboricity dla $(G)$ : the minimum number of linear directed forests, whose union is $E(G)$.

The Linear Arboricity Conjecture for directed graph (Nakayama, Peroche [1981]): For every $d$-regular directed $\operatorname{graph} G, \operatorname{dla}(G)=d+1$.

DLA conjecture for $d$ implies LA conjecture for $2 d$.

## A proposition

Proposition: Let $H=(V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree $d$, and let $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}$ be a partition of $V$. If $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2 e d$, then there is an independent set of vertices $W$ that contains a vertex from each $V_{i}$.

## A proposition

Proposition: Let $H=(V, E)$ be a graph with maximum degree $d$, and let $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r}$ be a partition of $V$. If $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 2 e d$, then there is an independent set of vertices $W$ that contains a vertex from each $V_{i}$.
Proof: WLOG, we assume

$$
\left|V_{1}\right|=\left|V_{2}\right|=\cdots=\left|V_{r}\right|=\lceil 2 e d\rceil=g .
$$

Pick from each $V_{i}$ a vertex randomly and independently. Let $W$ be the random set of the vertices picked. For each edge $f$, let $A_{f}$ be the event that both ends in $W$. The maximum degree in the dependence graph is at most $2 g d-1$. We have $e \cdot 2 g d \cdot \frac{1}{g^{2}}=\frac{2 e d}{g}<1$. Apply LLL.
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## With large girth

The directed girth of a digraph is the minimum length of a directed cycle in it.
Theorem Let $G=(U, F)$ be a $d$-regular digraph with directed girth $g \geq 8 e d$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dla}(G)=d+1
$$

Proof: Using Hall's matching theorem, we can partition $F$ into $d$ pairwise disjoint 1-regular spanning subgraphs $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{d}$ of $G$.
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## Continue

Each $F_{i}$ is a union of vertex disjoint directed cycles. Let $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ are the sets of edges of all cycles. Then

$$
F=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{r} .
$$

By the girth condition, $\left|V_{i}\right| \geq 8 e d$.
Apply the proposition to the line-graph $H$ of $G$. Note $H$ is $4 d$ - 2 -regular.
There exists an independent set $M_{1}$ of $H$. Now $M_{1}, F_{1} \backslash M_{1}, \ldots, F_{d} \backslash M_{1}$ forms $d+1$ linear directed forests.
$\square$

## General $d$-regular graphs

Theorem [Alon 1988] There is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that for every $d$-regular directed graph $G$

$$
\mathrm{dla}(G) \leq d+c d^{3 / 4} \log ^{1 / 2} d
$$

## General $d$-regular graphs

Theorem [Alon 1988] There is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that for every $d$-regular directed graph $G$

$$
\operatorname{dla}(G) \leq d+c d^{3 / 4} \log ^{1 / 2} d
$$

Corollary There is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that for every $d$-regular graph $G$

$$
\operatorname{dla}(G) \leq \frac{d}{2}+c d^{3 / 4} \log ^{1 / 2} d
$$

## General $d$-regular graphs

Theorem [Alon 1988] There is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that for every $d$-regular directed graph $G$

$$
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Corollary There is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that for every $d$-regular graph $G$

$$
\operatorname{dla}(G) \leq \frac{d}{2}+c d^{3 / 4} \log ^{1 / 2} d
$$

The error terms can be improved to $c d^{2 / 3} \log ^{1 / 3} d$.
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## Proof

Pick a prime $p$. Color each vertex randomly and uniformly into $p$ colors. I.e., consider a random map

$$
f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}
$$

Define for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$,

$$
E_{i}=\{(u, v) \in E: f(v)=f(u)+i\} .
$$

Let $G_{i}=\left(V, E_{i}\right)$ and

- $\Delta_{i}^{+}$: the maximum out-degree of $G_{i}$.
- $\Delta_{i}^{-}$: the maximum in-degree of $G_{i}$.
- $\Delta_{i}$ : the maximum of $\Delta_{i}^{+}$and $\Delta_{i}^{-}$.
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## Continue

There exists a $f$ satisfying

- All $G_{i}$ are almost regular: $\Delta_{i} \leq \frac{d}{p}+3 \sqrt{d / p} \sqrt{\log d}$.
- $G_{i}$ has large girth $\geq p$ for $i \neq 0$.
- All $G_{i}$ can be completed to a $\Delta_{i}$-regular directed graph without deceasing the girth.
$\mathrm{dla}(G) \leq 2 \Delta_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}\left(\Delta_{i}+1\right) \leq d+d / p+p+C \sqrt{d p \log d}$.
Now choose $p \sim d^{1 / 2}$.


## Chernoff Inequality

Suppose $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$, where $X_{i}$ are independent 0-1 random variables. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}(X<E(X)-\lambda) \leq e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 E(X)}} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}(X>E(X)+\lambda) \leq e^{-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2(E(X)+\lambda / 3)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

