



# Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics Lecture 12

Linyuan Lu

University of South Carolina

---

Mathematical Sciences Center at Tsinghua University  
November 16, 2011 – December 30, 2011



# Poisson Paradigm

Let  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of bad events. We will estimate  $\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i)$ .



# Poisson Paradigm

Let  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of bad events. We will estimate  $\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i)$ .

- If  $B_i$ 's are “mostly independent”, then one may expect

$$\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \approx \prod_{i \in I} \Pr(\bar{B}_i).$$



# Poisson Paradigm

Let  $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a set of bad events. We will estimate  $\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i)$ .

- If  $B_i$ 's are “mostly independent”, then one may expect

$$\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \approx \prod_{i \in I} \Pr(\bar{B}_i).$$

- Let  $X_i$  be the random indicator of the event  $B_i$  and  $X = \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ . If  $\Pr(B_i)$ 's are small and “mostly independent”, then one may expect  $X$  follows “Poisson-like distribution”. In particular,

$$\Pr(X = 0) \approx e^{-E(X)}.$$



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .
- $i \sim j$  if  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ .





# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .
- $i \sim j$  if  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ .
- $B_i$ : the event  $A_i \subset R$  for  $i \in I$ .



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .
- $i \sim j$  if  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ .
- $B_i$ : the event  $A_i \subset R$  for  $i \in I$ .
- $X_i$ : the indicator random variable for  $B_i$ .



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .
- $i \sim j$  if  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ .
- $B_i$ : the event  $A_i \subset R$  for  $i \in I$ .
- $X_i$ : the indicator random variable for  $B_i$ .
- $X := \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ ;  $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{i \in I} \Pr(B_i)$ .



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .
- $i \sim j$  if  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ .
- $B_i$ : the event  $A_i \subset R$  for  $i \in I$ .
- $X_i$ : the indicator random variable for  $B_i$ .
- $X := \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ ;  $\mu = \mathbf{E}(X) = \sum_{i \in I} \Pr(B_i)$ .
- $\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j)$ ; sum over all ordered pairs  $i \sim j$ .



# Setting

- $U$ : a finite universal set.
- $R$ : a random subset of  $U$  given by  $\Pr(r \in R) = p_r$ .
- $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ : a family of subsets of  $U$ .
- $i \sim j$  if  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ .
- $B_i$ : the event  $A_i \subset R$  for  $i \in I$ .
- $X_i$ : the indicator random variable for  $B_i$ .
- $X := \sum_{i \in I} X_i$ ;  $\mu = \mathbf{E}(X) = \sum_{i \in I} \Pr(B_i)$ .
- $\Delta = \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j)$ ; sum over all ordered pairs  $i \sim j$ .
- $M = \prod_{i \in I} \Pr(\bar{B}_i)$ .



# Janson inequality

**The Janson inequality:** Assume all  $\Pr(B_i) \leq \epsilon$ . Then

$$M \leq \Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \leq M e^{\frac{\Delta}{2(1-\epsilon)}},$$

and, further,

$$\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \leq e^{-\mu + \frac{\Delta}{2}}.$$



# Janson inequality

**The Janson inequality:** Assume all  $\Pr(B_i) \leq \epsilon$ . Then

$$M \leq \Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \leq M e^{\frac{\Delta}{2(1-\epsilon)}},$$

and, further,

$$\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \leq e^{-\mu + \frac{\Delta}{2}}.$$

**The Extended Janson inequality:** If further  $\Delta \geq \mu$ , then

$$\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \leq e^{\frac{-\mu^2}{2\Delta}}.$$



# Proof

**Proof given by Boppana and Spencer:** We will use the following correlation inequality.

- For all  $J \subset I$ ,  $i \notin J$ ,

$$\Pr(B_i \mid \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j) \leq \Pr(B_i).$$

- For  $J \subset I$ ,  $i, k \notin J$ ,

$$\Pr(B_i \mid B_k \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j) \leq \Pr(B_i \mid B_k).$$





# Proof

**Proof given by Boppana and Spencer:** We will use the following correlation inequality.

- For all  $J \subset I$ ,  $i \notin J$ ,

$$\Pr(B_i \mid \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j) \leq \Pr(B_i).$$

- For  $J \subset I$ ,  $i, k \notin J$ ,

$$\Pr(B_i \mid B_k \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j) \leq \Pr(B_i \mid B_k).$$

Order the index set  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

$$\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^m \Pr(\bar{B}_i \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) \geq \prod_{i=1}^m \Pr(\bar{B}_i).$$



# Continue

For a given  $i$  renumber, for convenience, so that  $i \sim j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and not for  $d+1 \leq j < i$ . Let  $A = B_i$ ,  $B = \bar{B}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}_d$ , and  $C = \bar{B}_{d+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}_{i-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(B_i \mid \wedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) &= \Pr(A \mid B \wedge C) \\ &\leq \Pr(A \wedge B \mid C) \\ &= \Pr(A \mid C) \Pr(B \mid A \wedge C).\end{aligned}$$

Note  $\Pr(A \mid C) = \Pr(A)$  and

$$\Pr(B \mid A \wedge C) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \mid B_i \wedge C) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \mid B_i).$$



# Continue

For a given  $i$  renumber, for convenience, so that  $i \sim j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and not for  $d+1 \leq j < i$ . Let  $A = B_i$ ,  $B = \bar{B}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}_d$ , and  $C = \bar{B}_{d+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}_{i-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(B_i \mid \wedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) &= \Pr(A \mid B \wedge C) \\ &\leq \Pr(A \wedge B \mid C) \\ &= \Pr(A \mid C) \Pr(B \mid A \wedge C).\end{aligned}$$

Note  $\Pr(A \mid C) = \Pr(A)$  and

$$\Pr(B \mid A \wedge C) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \mid B_i \wedge C) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \mid B_i).$$

$$\Pr(B_i \mid \wedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) \geq \Pr(B_i) - \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i).$$



# Continue

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\bar{B}_i \mid \wedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i) \\ &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i) \right) \\ &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) e^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i)}.\end{aligned}$$



# Continue

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\bar{B}_i \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i) \\ &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i) \right) \\ &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) e^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i)}.\end{aligned}$$

Plug it into  $\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^m \Pr(\bar{B}_i \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j)$ ; we get the first inequality. The second inequality use the following estimation.

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\bar{B}_i \mid \bigwedge_{1 \leq j < i} \bar{B}_j) &\leq \Pr(\bar{B}_i) + \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i) \\ &\leq e^{-\Pr(B_i) + \sum_{j=1}^d \Pr(B_j \wedge B_i)}.\end{aligned}$$



# Proof of second Theorem

From the Jansen inequality, we have

$$-\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \Pr(B_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j).$$



# Proof of second Theorem

From the Jansen inequality, we have

$$-\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \Pr(B_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j).$$

For any set  $S \subset I$ , the same inequality applied to  $\{B_i\}_{i \in S}$ :

$$-\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \sum_{i \in S} \Pr(B_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S, i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j).$$



# Proof of second Theorem

From the Jansen inequality, we have

$$-\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \sum_{i \in I} \Pr(B_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j).$$

For any set  $S \subset I$ , the same inequality applied to  $\{B_i\}_{i \in S}$ :

$$-\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \sum_{i \in S} \Pr(B_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S, i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j).$$

Now take  $S$  be a random subset of  $I$  given by  $\Pr(i \in S) = p$ , and take the expectation.

$$E \left[ -\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \right] \geq p\mu - p^2 \frac{\delta}{2}.$$





# Continue

Now choose  $p = \mu/\Delta$ .

$$E \left[ -\ln(\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \right] \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$



# Continue

Now choose  $p = \mu/\Delta$ .

$$E \left[ -\ln(\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \right] \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$

Then there is a specific  $S \subset I$  for which

$$-\ln(\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$



# Continue

Now choose  $p = \mu/\Delta$ .

$$E \left[ -\ln(\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \right] \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$

Then there is a specific  $S \subset I$  for which

$$-\ln(\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$

$$\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i) \leq e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}}.$$



# Continue

Now choose  $p = \mu/\Delta$ .

$$E \left[ -\ln(\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \right] \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$

Then there is a specific  $S \subset I$  for which

$$-\ln(\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i)) \geq \frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}.$$

$$\Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i) \leq e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}}.$$

$$\Pr(\wedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) \leq \Pr(\wedge_{i \in S} \bar{B}_i) \leq e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\Delta}}. \quad \square$$



# Brun's sieve

- $X_i$ : the indicator random variable for  $B_i$ , for  $i \in I$ .
- $X := \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$ .
- $m = m(n)$ ,  $B_i = B_i(n)$ , and  $X = X(n)$ .

- Let

$$S^{(r)} = \sum \Pr(B_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{i_r}),$$

where the sum is over all sets

$$\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

- Let

$$X^{(r)} = X(X-1) \cdots (X-r+1).$$



# Brun's sieve

- $X_i$ : the indicator random variable for  $B_i$ , for  $i \in I$ .
- $X := \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$ .
- $m = m(n)$ ,  $B_i = B_i(n)$ , and  $X = X(n)$ .

- Let

$$S^{(r)} = \sum \Pr(B_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{i_r}),$$

where the sum is over all sets

$$\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

- Let

$$X^{(r)} = X(X-1) \cdots (X-r+1).$$

By inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\Pr(X = 0) = \Pr(\bar{B}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{B}_m) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r S^{(r)}.$$



# Brun's sieve

**Theorem:** Suppose there is a constant  $\mu$  so that for every fixed  $r$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \binom{X}{r} = S^{(r)} \rightarrow \frac{\mu^r}{r!}.$$

Then

$$\Pr(X = 0) \rightarrow e^{-\mu},$$

and for every  $t$

$$\Pr(X = t) \rightarrow \frac{\mu^t}{t!} e^{-\mu}.$$



# Proof

**Proof:** We only prove the case  $t = 0$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose  $s$  so that

$$\left| \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^r \frac{\mu^r}{r!} - e^{-\mu} \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Select  $n_0$  so that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\left| S^{(r)} - \frac{\mu^r}{r!} \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2s(2s+1)}$$

for  $0 \leq r \leq 2s$ .





# Continue

For such  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr[X = 0] &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^r S^{(r)} \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^r \frac{\mu^r}{r!} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\leq e^{-\mu} + \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$



# Continue

For such  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr[X = 0] &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^r S^{(r)} \\ &\leq \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^r \frac{\mu^r}{r!} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\leq e^{-\mu} + \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking the sum to  $2s + 1$ , we can find  $n_0$  so that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\Pr[X = 0] \geq e^{-\mu} - \epsilon.$$

As  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary  $\Pr(X = 0) \rightarrow e^{-\mu}$ . □



# An application

Let  $G = G(n, p)$ , and EPIT represent the statement that every vertex lies in a triangle.

**Theorem (a special case of Spencer's Theorem):** Let  $c > 0$  be fixed and let  $p = p(n)$ ,  $\mu = \mu(n)$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{n-1}{2} p^3 &= \mu, \\ e^{-\mu} &= \frac{c}{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(n, p) \text{ satisfies EPIT}) = e^{-c}.$$



# Proof

First fix  $x \in V(G)$ . For each unordered  $y, z \neq x$  let  $B_{xyz}$  be the event that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a triangle of  $G$ . Let  $C_x$  be the event  $\bigwedge_{y,z} \bar{B}_{xyz}$  and  $X_x$  the corresponding indicator random variable. Apply Janson's Inequality to bound  $E(X_x) = \Pr(\bigwedge_{y,z} \bar{B}_{xyz})$ .



# Proof

First fix  $x \in V(G)$ . For each unordered  $y, z \neq x$  let  $B_{xyz}$  be the event that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a triangle of  $G$ . Let  $C_x$  be the event  $\bigwedge_{y,z} \bar{B}_{xyz}$  and  $X_x$  the corresponding indicator random variable. Apply Janson's Inequality to bound  $E(X_x) = \Pr(\bigwedge_{y,z} \bar{B}_{xyz})$ .

$$\Delta = \sum_{y,z,z'} \Pr(B_{xyz} \wedge B_{xyz'}) = O(n^3 p^5) = o(1)$$

since  $p = n^{-2/3+o(1)}$ .



# Proof

First fix  $x \in V(G)$ . For each unordered  $y, z \neq x$  let  $B_{xyz}$  be the event that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is a triangle of  $G$ . Let  $C_x$  be the event  $\bigwedge_{y,z} \bar{B}_{xyz}$  and  $X_x$  the corresponding indicator random variable. Apply Janson's Inequality to bound  $\mathbb{E}(X_x) = \Pr(\bigwedge_{y,z} \bar{B}_{xyz})$ .

$$\Delta = \sum_{y,z,z'} \Pr(B_{xyz} \wedge B_{xyz'}) = O(n^3 p^5) = o(1)$$

since  $p = n^{-2/3+o(1)}$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{E}(X_x) \approx e^{-\mu} = \frac{c}{n}.$$



# continue

Let  $X = \sum_x X_x$ , which is the number of vertices  $x$  not lying in a triangle.

$$E(X) = \sum_x E(X_x) \rightarrow c.$$

We need to show that the Poisson Paradigm applies to  $X$ .



# continue

Let  $X = \sum_x X_x$ , which is the number of vertices  $x$  not lying in a triangle.

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_x \mathbb{E}(X_x) \rightarrow c.$$

We need to show that the Poisson Paradigm applies to  $X$ .  
Fix  $r$  and consider

$$\mathbb{E} \binom{X}{r} = \mathcal{S}^{(r)} = \sum \Pr(C_{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{x_r}),$$

where the sum is over all sets  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ .





# continue

Let  $X = \sum_x X_x$ , which is the number of vertices  $x$  not lying in a triangle.

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_x \mathbb{E}(X_x) \rightarrow c.$$

We need to show that the Poisson Paradigm applies to  $X$ . Fix  $r$  and consider

$$\mathbb{E} \binom{X}{r} = \mathcal{S}^{(r)} = \sum \Pr(C_{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{x_r}),$$

where the sum is over all sets  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ . Note

$$C_{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{x_r} = \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq r, y, z} \overline{B_{x_i y z}}.$$



# Continue

We apply Janson's Inequality again.

$$\sum \Pr(B_{x_i y z}) = p^3 \left( r \binom{n-1}{2} - O(n) \right) = r\mu + O(n^{-1+o(1)}).$$

As before  $\Delta$  is  $p^5$  times the number of pairs  $x_i y z \sim x_j y z$ ;  
 $\Delta = O(n^3 p^5) = o(1)$ .



# Continue

We apply Janson's Inequality again.

$$\sum \Pr(B_{x_i y z}) = p^3 \left( r \binom{n-1}{2} - O(n) \right) = r\mu + O(n^{-1+o(1)}).$$

As before  $\Delta$  is  $p^5$  times the number of pairs  $x_i y z \sim x_j y z$ ;  
 $\Delta = O(n^3 p^5) = o(1)$ .

$$\Pr(C_{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{x_r}) \sim e^{-r\mu}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \binom{X}{r} \approx \binom{n}{r} e^{-r\mu} \approx \frac{c^r}{r!}.$$



# Continue

We apply Janson's Inequality again.

$$\sum \Pr(B_{x_i y z}) = p^3 \left( r \binom{n-1}{2} - O(n) \right) = r\mu + O(n^{-1+o(1)}).$$

As before  $\Delta$  is  $p^5$  times the number of pairs  $x_i y z \sim x_j y z$ ;  
 $\Delta = O(n^3 p^5) = o(1)$ .

$$\Pr(C_{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge C_{x_r}) \sim e^{-r\mu}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \binom{X}{r} \approx \binom{n}{r} e^{-r\mu} \approx \frac{c^r}{r!}.$$

Applying Brun's Sieve method, we have  $\Pr(X = 0) \rightarrow e^{-c}$ .



# Generalization

A sufficient condition for Janson's Inequality:

- $I$ : a dependency digraph; if for each  $i \in I$  the event  $B_i$  is mutually independent of  $\{B_j : i \not\sim j\}$ .
- $\Delta := \sum_{i \sim j} \Pr(B_i \wedge B_j)$ .
- For all  $J \subset I, i \notin J$ ,

$$\Pr(B_i \mid \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j) \leq \Pr(B_i).$$

- For  $J \subset I, i, k \notin J$ ,

$$\Pr(B_i \mid B_k \wedge \bigwedge_{j \in J} \bar{B}_j) \leq \Pr(B_i \mid B_k).$$

Then Janson's inequality holds.



# Suen's theorem

An binary relation  $\sim$  on  $I$  is **superdependency digraph** if the following holds:

Suppose that  $J_1, J_2 \subset I$  are disjoint subsets so that there is no edge between  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ . Let  $B^1$  be any Boolean combination of the events  $\{B_j\}_{j \in J_1}$  and  $B^2$  be any Boolean combination of the events  $\{B_j\}_{j \in J_2}$ . Then  $B^1$  and  $B^2$  are independent.



# Suen's theorem

An binary relation  $\sim$  on  $I$  is **superdependency digraph** if the following holds:

Suppose that  $J_1, J_2 \subset I$  are disjoint subsets so that there is no edge between  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ . Let  $B^1$  be any Boolean combination of the events  $\{B_j\}_{j \in J_1}$  and  $B^2$  be any Boolean combination of the events  $\{B_j\}_{j \in J_2}$ . Then  $B^1$  and  $B^2$  are independent.

**Theorem [Suen]:** Under the above conditions,

$$|\Pr(\bigwedge_{i \in I} \bar{B}_i) - M| \leq M(e^{\sum_{i \sim j} y^{(i,j)}} - 1),$$

where

$$y_{i,j} = (\Pr(B_i \wedge B_j) + \Pr(B_i)\Pr(B_j)) \prod_{l \sim i \text{ or } l \sim j} (1 - \Pr(B_l))^{-1}.$$

