



Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics Lecture 11

Linyuan Lu

University of South Carolina

Mathematical Sciences Center at Tsinghua University
November 16, 2011 – December 30, 2011



Talagrand's inequality

- Ω_i : a probability space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.



Talagrand's inequality

- Ω_i : a probability space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.



Talagrand's inequality

- Ω_i : a probability space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.
- $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a unit vector; $\alpha_i \geq 0$.



Talagrand's inequality

- Ω_i : a probability space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.
- $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a unit vector; $\alpha_i \geq 0$.
- $\rho(A, \vec{x})$: Talagrand's distance from $\vec{x} \in \Omega$ to $A \subset \Omega$:

$$\rho(A, \vec{x}) := \sup_{\vec{\alpha}: \|\vec{\alpha}\|=1} \inf_{\vec{y} \in A} \sum_{i: x_i \neq y_i} \alpha_i.$$



Talagrand's inequality

- Ω_i : a probability space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.
- $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a unit vector; $\alpha_i \geq 0$.
- $\rho(A, \vec{x})$: Talagrand's distance from $\vec{x} \in \Omega$ to $A \subset \Omega$:

$$\rho(A, \vec{x}) := \sup_{\vec{\alpha}: \|\vec{\alpha}\|=1} \inf_{\vec{y} \in A} \sum_{i: x_i \neq y_i} \alpha_i.$$

- For any $t \geq 0$, $A_t = \{\vec{x} \in \Omega: \rho(A, \vec{x}) \leq t\}$.



Talagrand's inequality

- Ω_i : a probability space for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.
- $\vec{\alpha} := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a unit vector; $\alpha_i \geq 0$.
- $\rho(A, \vec{x})$: Talagrand's distance from $\vec{x} \in \Omega$ to $A \subset \Omega$:

$$\rho(A, \vec{x}) := \sup_{\vec{\alpha}: \|\vec{\alpha}\|=1} \inf_{\vec{y} \in A} \sum_{i: x_i \neq y_i} \alpha_i.$$

- For any $t \geq 0$, $A_t = \{\vec{x} \in \Omega: \rho(A, \vec{x}) \leq t\}$.

Theorem [Talagrand's inequality]:

$$\Pr(A)(1 - \Pr(A_t)) \leq e^{-t^2/4}.$$



The distance $\rho(A, \vec{x})$

- $U(A, \vec{x}) = \{\vec{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \exists \vec{y} \in A, x_i \neq y_i \Rightarrow s_i = 1\}$.



The distance $\rho(A, \vec{x})$

- $U(A, \vec{x}) = \{\vec{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \exists \vec{y} \in A, x_i \neq y_i \Rightarrow s_i = 1\}$.
- $V(A, \vec{x}) :=$ the convex hull of $U(A, \vec{x})$.



The distance $\rho(A, \vec{x})$

- $U(A, \vec{x}) = \{\vec{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \exists \vec{y} \in A, x_i \neq y_i \Rightarrow s_i = 1\}$.
- $V(A, \vec{x}) :=$ the convex hull of $U(A, \vec{x})$.

Lemma: $\rho(A, \vec{x}) = \min_{\vec{v} \in V(A, \vec{x})} \|\vec{v}\|$.



The distance $\rho(A, \vec{x})$

- $U(A, \vec{x}) = \{\vec{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \exists \vec{y} \in A, x_i \neq y_i \Rightarrow s_i = 1\}$.
- $V(A, \vec{x}) :=$ the convex hull of $U(A, \vec{x})$.

Lemma: $\rho(A, \vec{x}) = \min_{\vec{v} \in V(A, \vec{x})} \|\vec{v}\|$.

Proof: Let $\vec{v} \in V(A, \vec{x})$ achieve this minimum. For any $\vec{s} \in V(A, \vec{x})$, we have $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{v} \geq \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}$. Let $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{v} / \|\vec{v}\|$. We have

$$\rho(A, \vec{x}) \geq \inf_{\vec{y} \in A} \sum_{i: x_i \neq y_i} \alpha_i \geq \inf_{\vec{s} \in V(A, \vec{x})} \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \geq \|\vec{v}\|.$$



The distance $\rho(A, \vec{x})$

- $U(A, \vec{x}) = \{\vec{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \exists \vec{y} \in A, x_i \neq y_i \Rightarrow s_i = 1\}$.
- $V(A, \vec{x}) :=$ the convex hull of $U(A, \vec{x})$.

Lemma: $\rho(A, \vec{x}) = \min_{\vec{v} \in V(A, \vec{x})} \|\vec{v}\|$.

Proof: Let $\vec{v} \in V(A, \vec{x})$ achieve this minimum. For any $\vec{s} \in V(A, \vec{x})$, we have $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{v} \geq \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}$. Let $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{v} / \|\vec{v}\|$. We have

$$\rho(A, \vec{x}) \geq \inf_{\vec{y} \in A} \sum_{i: x_i \neq y_i} \alpha_i \geq \inf_{\vec{s} \in V(A, \vec{x})} \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \geq \|\vec{v}\|.$$

Conversely, take any unit vector $\vec{\alpha}$. Write $\vec{v} = \sum_i \lambda_i \vec{s}_i$ for some $\vec{s}_i \in U(A, \vec{x})$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$, and $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$. Since $\|\vec{v}\| \geq \sum_i \lambda_i (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{s}_i)$, we have $\alpha \cdot \vec{s}_i \leq \|\vec{v}\|$ for some i . \square



A general theorem

Talagrand actually proved the following theorem:

Theorem:
$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}.$$



A general theorem

Talagrand actually proved the following theorem:

Theorem:
$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}.$$

Now we show this theorem implies Talagrand's inequality.



A general theorem

Talagrand actually proved the following theorem:

Theorem: $\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}$.

Now we show this theorem implies Talagrand's inequality.

For fixed A , consider $X = \rho(A, \vec{x})$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\overline{A}_t) &= \Pr(X \geq t) \\ &= \Pr(e^{X^2/4} \geq e^{t^2/4}) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}(e^{X^2/4})e^{-t^2/4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}e^{-t^2/4}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Pr(A)\Pr(\overline{A}_t) \leq e^{-t^2/4}$.

□



Proof

Now prove $\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}$ by induction on n .



Proof

Now prove $\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}$ by induction on n .

When $n = 1$, $\rho(A, \vec{x}) = 1$ if $\vec{x} \notin A$; and 0 if $\vec{x} \in A$.

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} = \Pr(A) + (1 - \Pr(A))e^{1/4} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}.$$



Proof

Now prove $\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}$ by induction on n .

When $n = 1$, $\rho(A, \vec{x}) = 1$ if $\vec{x} \notin A$; and 0 if $\vec{x} \in A$.

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, \vec{x})} d\vec{x} = \Pr(A) + (1 - \Pr(A))e^{1/4} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}.$$

Assume it holds for n . For any $z \in \Omega$, write $z = (x, \omega)$ with $x \in \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{n+1}$. Let

$$B = \left\{ x \in \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i : (x, \omega) \in A \text{ for some } \omega \in \Omega_{n+1} \right\}$$

$$A_{\omega} = \left\{ x \in \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i : (x, \omega) \in A \right\}, \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega_{n+1}.$$



Continue

Two ways to move $z = (x, \omega) \in \Omega$ to A :

- By changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to B . $\vec{s} \in U(B, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{s}, 1) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.



Continue

Two ways to move $z = (x, \omega) \in \Omega$ to A :

- By changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to B . $\vec{s} \in U(B, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{s}, 1) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.
- By not changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to A_ω . $\vec{t} \in U(A_\omega, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{t}, 0) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.



Continue

Two ways to move $z = (x, \omega) \in \Omega$ to A :

- By changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to B . $\vec{s} \in U(B, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{s}, 1) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.
- By not changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to A_ω . $\vec{t} \in U(A_\omega, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{t}, 0) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.

Taking the convex hulls, if $\vec{s} \in V(B, x)$ and $\vec{t} \in V(A_\omega, x)$, then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$((1 - \lambda)\vec{s} + \lambda\vec{t}, 1 - \lambda) \in V(A, (x, \omega)).$$



Continue

Two ways to move $z = (x, \omega) \in \Omega$ to A :

- By changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to B . $\vec{s} \in U(B, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{s}, 1) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.
- By not changing ω , it reduces the problem to moving from x to A_ω . $\vec{t} \in U(A_\omega, x) \Rightarrow (\vec{t}, 0) \in U(A, (x, \omega))$.

Taking the convex hulls, if $\vec{s} \in V(B, x)$ and $\vec{t} \in V(A_\omega, x)$, then for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$((1 - \lambda)\vec{s} + \lambda\vec{t}, 1 - \lambda) \in V(A, (x, \omega)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2(A, (x, \omega)) &\leq (1 - \lambda)^2 + \|(1 - \lambda)\vec{s} + \lambda\vec{t}\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|\vec{s}\|^2 + \lambda\|\vec{t}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$



Continue

Minimizing $\|\vec{s}\|$ and $\|\vec{t}\|$, we get

$$\rho^2(A, (x, \omega)) \leq (1 - \lambda)^2 + (1 - \lambda)\rho^2(A_\omega, x) + \lambda\rho^2(B, x).$$



Continue

Minimizing $\|\vec{s}\|$ and $\|\vec{t}\|$, we get

$$\rho^2(A, (x, \omega)) \leq (1 - \lambda)^2 + (1 - \lambda)\rho^2(A_\omega, x) + \lambda\rho^2(B, x).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_x e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, (x, \omega))} dx \\ & \leq e^{\frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{4}} \int_x \left(e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A_\omega, x)} \right)^\lambda \left(e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(B, x)} \right)^{1-\lambda} dx \\ & \leq e^{\frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{4}} \left(\int_x e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A_\omega, x)} dx \right)^\lambda \left(\int_x e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(B, x)} dx \right)^{1-\lambda} \\ & \leq e^{\frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{4}} \left(\frac{1}{\Pr(A_\omega)} \right)^\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\Pr(B)} \right)^{1-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$



Continue

Let $r = \frac{\Pr(A_\omega)}{\Pr(B)} \leq 1$ and $f(\lambda, r) = e^{(1-\lambda)^2/4} r^{-\lambda}$. Then

$$\int_x e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, (x, \omega))} dx \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(B)} f(\lambda, r).$$

Choose $\lambda = 1 + 2 \ln r$ for $e^{-1/2} \leq r \leq 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ otherwise. One can show $f(\lambda, r) \leq 2 - r$. Thus,

$$\int_x e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, (x, \omega))} dx \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(B)} \left(2 - \frac{\Pr(A_\omega)}{\Pr(B)} \right).$$

$$\int_w \int_x e^{\frac{1}{4}\rho^2(A, (x, \omega))} dx d\omega \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(B)} \left(2 - \frac{\Pr(A)}{\Pr(B)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\Pr(A)}. \quad \square$$



An application

- $A := (a_{ij})$ a random symmetric matrix of dimension $n \times n$.



An application

- $A := (a_{ij})$ a random symmetric matrix of dimension $n \times n$.
- For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, a_{ij} are independent random variables with $|a_{ij}| \leq 1$. Let $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$.



An application

- $A := (a_{ij})$ a random symmetric matrix of dimension $n \times n$.
- For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, a_{ij} are independent random variables with $|a_{ij}| \leq 1$. Let $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$.
- The eigenvalues of A is listed as

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n.$$



An application

- $A := (a_{ij})$ a random symmetric matrix of dimension $n \times n$.
- For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, a_{ij} are independent random variables with $|a_{ij}| \leq 1$. Let $a_{ji} = a_{ij}$.
- The eigenvalues of A is listed as

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n.$$

Theorem [Alon, Krivelevich, Vu, (2002)]: For every positive integer $1 \leq s \leq n$, the probability that λ_s deviates from its median by more than t is at most $4e^{-\frac{t^2}{32s^2}}$. The same estimate holds for the probability that λ_{n+1-s} deviates from its median by more than t .



Proof

- Ω : the product space of the entries a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.



Proof

- Ω : the product space of the entries a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.
- M : the medium of s -th Laplacian; i.e.,
 $\Pr(\lambda_s(A) \leq M) = \frac{1}{2}$.



Proof

- Ω : the product space of the entries a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.
- M : the medium of s -th Laplacian; i.e.,
 $\Pr(\lambda_s(A) \leq M) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- \mathcal{A} : the event $\lambda_s(A) \leq M$.



Proof

- Ω : the product space of the entries a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.
- M : the median of s -th Laplacian; i.e.,
 $\Pr(\lambda_s(A) \leq M) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- \mathcal{A} : the event $\lambda_s(A) \leq M$.
- \mathcal{B} : the event $\lambda_s(A) \geq M + t$.



Proof

- Ω : the product space of the entries a_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.
- M : the median of s -th Laplacian; i.e., $\Pr(\lambda_s(A) \leq M) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- \mathcal{A} : the event $\lambda_s(A) \leq M$.
- \mathcal{B} : the event $\lambda_s(A) \geq M + t$.

It suffices to show $\mathcal{B}_{t'} \cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$. I.e., for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ find an vector $\alpha = (\alpha_{ij})$, for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, show

$$\sum_{(i,j): a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij} \geq t' \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij} \right)^{1/2}.$$



Continue

- For $1 \leq p \leq s$, let $v^{(p)}$ be the p -th unit eigenvector of B .



Continue

- For $1 \leq p \leq s$, let $v^{(p)}$ be the p -th unit eigenvector of B .
- For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let

$$\alpha_{ii} = \sum_{p=1}^s (v_i^{(p)})^2.$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let

$$\alpha_{ij} = 2 \sqrt{\sum_{p=1}^s (v_i^{(p)})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{p=1}^s (v_j^{(p)})^2}.$$



Claim 1

Claim 1: $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}^2 \leq 2s^2.$



Claim 1

Claim 1: $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}^2 \leq 2s^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \alpha_{ij}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{p=1}^s (v_i^{(p)})^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\sum_{p=1}^s (v_i^{(p)})^2 \right) \left(\sum_{p=1}^s (v_j^{(p)})^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{p=1}^s (v_i^{(p)})^2 \right)^2 \\ &= 2s^2. \end{aligned}$$



Claim 2

Claim 2: $\sum_{a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij} \geq \frac{t}{2}$.



Claim 2

Claim 2: $\sum_{a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij} \geq \frac{t}{2}$.

Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $u = \sum_{p=1}^s c_p v^{(p)}$ be a unit vector in the span of the vectors $v^{(p)}$ which is orthogonal to the eigenvectors of the largest $s - 1$ eigenvalues of A . Then $\sum_{p=1}^s c_p^2 = 1$, $u' A u \leq \lambda_s(A) \leq M$, and $u' B u \geq \lambda_s(B) \geq M + t$.



Claim 2

Claim 2: $\sum_{a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij} \geq \frac{t}{2}$.

Fix $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $u = \sum_{p=1}^s c_p v^{(p)}$ be a unit vector in the span of the vectors $v^{(p)}$ which is orthogonal to the eigenvectors of the largest $s - 1$ eigenvalues of A . Then $\sum_{p=1}^s c_p^2 = 1$, $u' A u \leq \lambda_s(A) \leq M$, and $u' B u \geq \lambda_s(B) \geq M + t$.

$$t \leq u'(B - A)u$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} (b_{ij} - a_{ij}) \sum_{p=1}^s c_p v_i^{(p)} \sum_{p=1}^s c_p v_j^{(p)} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} \left| \sum_{p=1}^s c_p v_i^{(p)} \sum_{p=1}^s c_p v_j^{(p)} \right| \leq 2 \sum_{a_{ij} \neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$



Putting together

$$\sum_{(i,j):a_{ij}\neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij} \geq \frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}s} \left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n} \alpha_{ij} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The Talagrand distance between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is at least $\frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}s}$.



Putting together

$$\sum_{(i,j):a_{ij}\neq b_{ij}} \alpha_{ij} \geq \frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}s} \left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq n} \alpha_{ij} \right)^{1/2}.$$

The Talagrand distance between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is at least $\frac{t}{2\sqrt{2}s}$.
Applying Talagrand's inequality, we get

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A})\Pr(\mathcal{B}) \leq e^{-t^2/32s^2}.$$

Hence, $\Pr(\lambda_s \geq m + t) \leq 2e^{-t^2/32s^2}$. Similar we get
 $\Pr(\lambda_s \leq m - t) \leq e^{-t^2/32s^2}$. Hence

$$\Pr(|\lambda_s - m| \geq t) \leq 4e^{-t^2/32s^2}. \quad \square$$



General applications

- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.
- $h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: a Lipschitz function.
- Given $f: N \rightarrow N$, h is f -certifiable if whenever $h(x) \geq s$ there exists $I \subset [n]$ with $|I| \leq f(s)$ so that all $y \in \Omega$ that agree with x on the coordinates I have $h(y) \geq s$.



General applications

- $\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$.
- $h: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: a Lipschitz function.
- Given $f: N \rightarrow N$, h is f -certifiable if whenever $h(x) \geq s$ there exists $I \subset [n]$ with $|I| \leq f(s)$ so that all $y \in \Omega$ that agree with x on the coordinates I have $h(y) \geq s$.

Example: Let $\Omega = G(n, p)$ and $h(G)$ be the number of triangles in G . Then h is f -certifiable with $f(s) = 3s$.



Theorem

Theorem: Suppose $X = h(\cdot)$ is f -certifiable. For any positive b and t , we have

$$\Pr(X \leq b - t\sqrt{f(b)})\Pr(X \geq b) \leq e^{-t^2/4}.$$



Theorem

Theorem: Suppose $X = h(\cdot)$ is f -certifiable. For any positive b and t , we have

$$\Pr(X \leq b - t\sqrt{f(b)})\Pr(X \geq b) \leq e^{-t^2/4}.$$

Proof: Set $A = \{x : h(x) \leq b - t\sqrt{f(b)}\}$. We claim for any y with $h(y) \geq b$, $y \notin A_t$.



Theorem

Theorem: Suppose $X = h(\cdot)$ is f -certifiable. For any positive b and t , we have

$$\Pr(X \leq b - t\sqrt{f(b)})\Pr(X \geq b) \leq e^{-t^2/4}.$$

Proof: Set $A = \{x : h(x) \leq b - t\sqrt{f(b)}\}$. We claim for any y with $h(y) \geq b$, $y \notin A_t$.

Let I be a set of indices of size at most $f(b)$ that certifies $h(y) \geq b$. Define $\alpha_i = |I|^{-1/2}$ if $i \in I$, and 0 otherwise. For any $x \in A$, $\sum_{x_i \neq y_i} \alpha_i \geq t\sqrt{f(b)}|I|^{-1/2} \geq t$. By Talagrand's inequality,

$$\Pr(X \leq b - t\sqrt{f(b)})\Pr(X \geq b) \leq e^{-t^2/4}. \quad \square$$

