AN EXACT RESULT FOR HYPERGRAPHS AND UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE TURÁN DENSITY OF K_{r+1}^r*

LINYUAN LU† AND YI ZHAO‡

Abstract. We first answer a question of de Caen [*Extremal Problems for Finite Sets*, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1994, pp. 187–197]: given $r \geq 3$, if G is an r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices such that every $r + 1$ vertices span 1 or $r + 1$ edges, then $G = K_n^r$ or K_{n-1}^r, assuming that $n > (p-1)r$, where p is the smallest prime factor of $r-1$. We then show that the Turán density $\pi(K_{r+1}^r) \leq 1 - 1/r - (1 - 1/r^{p-1})(r-1)^2/(2r^p(\binom{r+p}{p-1} + \binom{r+1}{2}))$, for all even $r \geq 4$, improving a well-known bound $1 - \frac{1}{r}$ of de Caen [*Ars Combin.*, 16 (1983), pp. 5–10] and Sidorenko [*Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, 76 (1982), pp. 3–6].

Key words. Turán density, extremal problem, hypergraph

AMS subject classifications. 05D05, 05C65

DOI. 10.1137/070710615

1. Introduction. Given a positive integer n and an r-uniform hypergraph H (or r-graph, for short), the Turán number $\text{ex}(n, H)$ is the maximum number of edges in an r-graph on n vertices that does not contain H as a subgraph (called H-*free*). It is easy to see that $f(n, H) = \text{ex}(n, H)/\binom{n}{r}$ is a decreasing function of n [10]. The limit $\pi(H) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(n, H)$, which always exists, is called the Turán density of H. Let K_k^r denote the complete r-graph on k vertices. Turán determined $\text{ex}(n, K_k^2)$ which implies that $\pi(K_k^2) = 1 - \frac{1}{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 3$. However, no Turán density $\pi(K_k^r)$ is known for any $k > r \geq 3$. The most well-known case, $k = 4$ and $r = 3$, is a conjecture of Turán [19], claiming that $\pi(K_4^3) = 5/9$. Erdős [5] offered prizes of \$500 for determining any $\pi(K_k^r)$ with $k > r \geq 3$ and \$1000 for answering it for all k and r. The best (general) known upper bound is due to de Caen [1],

$$(1) \qquad \pi(K_k^r) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\binom{k-1}{r-1}},$$

and the special case

$$(2) \qquad \pi(K_{r+1}^r) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$$

was also given by Sidorenko [14]. For the lower bound, Sidorenko [17] showed that $\pi(K_{r+1}^r) \geq 1 - \frac{\ln r}{2r}(1 + o(1))$ for large r. See survey papers of de Caen [2] and Sidorenko [16] for other bounds.

For odd $r \geq 3$, Chung and Lu [4] improved (2) to

$$(3) \qquad \pi(K_{r+1}^r) \leq 1 - \frac{5r + 12 - \sqrt{9r^2 + 24r}}{2r(r+3)} = 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r(r+3)} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right).$$

When $r = 3$, this gives the best known upper bound $\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{12} \approx 0.5936$ for $\pi(K_4^3)$.

†Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208 (lu@math.sc. edu). The research of this author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0701111.

‡Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303 (yzhao6@gsu.edu). The research of this author was supported in part by NSA grants H98230-06-1-0140 and H98230-07-1-0019.

However, it seems more difficult to improve (2) for even $r \geq 4$. For odd r, the edge density $f(n, K_{r+1}^r)$ of extremal hypergraphs decreases quickly for small n; for example, $f(r+2, K_{r+1}^r) < f(r+1, K_{r+1}^r)$. It is no longer the case for even $r \geq 4$. It is easy to see that

$$f(8, K_5^4) = f(7, K_5^4) = f(6, K_5^4) = f(5, K_5^4) = \frac{4}{5}.$$

Let G_8^4 be the 4-graph on $[8] = \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\}$ with *nonedges*

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \{1, 3, 5, 7\}, \{1, 3, 6, 8\}, \{1, 4, 5, 8\}, \{1, 4, 6, 7\},$$
$$\{2, 3, 5, 8\}, \{2, 3, 6, 7\}, \{2, 4, 5, 7\}, \{2, 4, 6, 8\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}, \{3, 4, 7, 8\}, \{5, 6, 7, 8\}.$$

It is easy to check that G_8^4 is K_5^4-free with $\frac{4}{5}\binom{8}{4}$ edges, and every 5 vertices on $[8]$ contain exactly 4 edges and one nonedge.

While studying Turán numbers, De Caen [2] asked the following question[1]: *Describe all r-graphs such that every $r+1$ vertices span 1 or $r+1$ edges*. Equivalently, we consider an r-graph G, which is the complement of the r-graph in de Caen's question:

(4)   every $r + 1$ vertices contain 0 or r edges of G.

When $r = 2$, it is easy to see that G must be a complete bipartite graph (with arbitrary partition sizes). In fact, to prove that G is bipartite, one may consider a shortest odd cycle or apply Lemma 4 in section 3.

We now answer the question of de Caen for $r \geq 3$. Fix an n-vertex set V. The empty r-graph is the one with no edge; a complete star is an r-graph whose edge set consists of all r-sets containing some fixed vertex. Clearly the empty graph and complete stars satisfy (4). Theorem 1 below shows that they are the only r-graphs satisfying (4) when $|V|$ is not very small. Accordingly their complements, K_n^r and K_{n-1}^r, are only r-graphs satisfying de Caen's condition.

THEOREM 1. *Let $r \geq 3$ and p be the smallest prime factor of $r-1$. Suppose that G is an r-graph on n vertices satisfying (4). If $n > r(p-1)$, then G is either the empty graph or a complete star.*

Our proof uses the upper bound for $\text{ex}(n, K_{r+1}^r)$ corresponding to (2). Our construction G_8^4 suggests that a lower bound for n is necessary. A similar problem was solved by Frankl and Füredi [7], who described all 3-graphs such that every 4 vertices contain 0 or 2 edges (the general case when every $r + 1$ vertices span 0 or 2 edges is still open).

Let K_4^{3-} denote the unique 3-graph with 4 vertices and 3 edges. It is not hard to prove that $\text{ex}(n, K_4^{3-}) \leq \frac{1}{3}$ (e.g., [1]). Mubayi [13] used the result of Frankl and Füredi [7] and supersaturation to obtain that $\text{ex}(n, K_4^{3-}) < \frac{1}{3} - 10^{-6}$. Talbot [18] recently improved the bound to $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{280}$ by considering related *chromatic Turán* problems. Motivated by these works, we apply Lemma 4, the key step in the proof of Theorem 1, to slightly improve (2) for all $r \geq 3$. (We can also improve (2) by Theorem 1 and supersaturation though the result turns out to be weaker than Theorem 2. See the last section for details.)

THEOREM 2. *For $r \geq 3$, let p be the smallest prime factor of $r-1$.*

$$\pi(K_{r+1}^r) \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{8}{9r^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right), & p = 2, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^{p-1}}\right) \frac{(r-1)^2}{2r^p\left(\binom{r+p}{p-1} + \binom{r+1}{2}\right)}, & p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

---

[1] He noted that the $r = 2, 3$ cases are easy.

When r is odd (thus $p = 2$), Theorem 2 is slightly weaker than (3). For even r, Theorem 2 gives a new upper bound for $\pi(K_{r+1}^r)$. For example, when $r = 6k + 4$ (thus $p = 3$), it gives

$$\pi(K_{r+1}^r) \le 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2r^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^4}\right).$$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. After recalling the proof of (2) in section 2, we prove Theorem 1 in section 3 and Theorem 2 in section 4. In the last section we give some concluding remarks.

2. Preliminaries. In this section we recall the proof of (2), $\pi(K_{r+1}^r) \le 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, which indicates the source of our improvement. Let G be a K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph. For $0 \le i \le r$, let Δ_i denote the family of $(r + 1)$-sets that contain exactly i edges of G and $\delta_i = |\Delta_i|$.

LEMMA 3. *For all $n \ge r$,*

$$(5) \qquad ex(n, K_{r+1}^r) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r(n - r + 1)}\right)\binom{n}{r}.$$

Moreover, if every K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph G on n vertices satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta_i \ge y\binom{n}{r+1}$, then $x = \pi(K_{r+1}^r)$ satisfies

$$(6) \qquad x^2 - x\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) + \frac{(r-1)y}{r(r+1)} \le 0.$$

Proof. Let G be a K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph G on n vertices and e edges. For an $(r-1)$-set $S \subset V(G)$, let $d_S = |\{v : S \cup \{v\} \in E(G)\}|$ be the degree of S. We have

$$(7) \qquad \sum_S d_S = er,$$

$$(8) \qquad \sum_S \binom{d_S}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^r \binom{i}{2}\delta_i,$$

$$(9) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^r i\delta_i = e(n - r).$$

We derive that

$$\sum_S d_S^2 = 2\sum_S \binom{d_S}{2} + \sum_S d_S$$

$$= 2\sum_{i=1}^r \binom{i}{2}\delta_i + er \quad \text{using (7) and (8)}$$

$$= \sum_i i^2\delta_i - \sum_i i\delta_i + er$$

$$= \sum_i i^2\delta_i - e(n - 2r) \text{ using (9)}$$

$$\le r\sum_{i=1}^r i\delta_i - e(n - 2r)$$

$$= re(n - r) - e(n - 2r).$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\frac{(er)^2}{\binom{n}{r-1}} = \frac{(\sum_S d_S)^2}{\binom{n}{r-1}} \leq \sum_S d_S^2$$

and (7), we conclude that

$$\frac{(er)^2}{\binom{n}{r-1}} \leq re(n-r) - e(n-2r)$$

$$\frac{e}{\binom{n}{r}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) + \frac{1}{r(n-r+1)}$$

with equality holds if all d_S are the same and $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta_i = 0$. This proves (5).

When $\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta_i \geq y\binom{n}{r+1}$, we can refine the estimate of $\sum d_S^2$ as

$$\sum_S d_S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r} i^2 \delta_i - e(n-2r)$$

$$= r \sum_{i=1}^{r} i\delta_i - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} i(r-i)\delta_i - e(n-2r)$$

$$\leq r \sum_{i=1}^{r} i\delta_i - (r-1) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta_i - e(n-2r)$$

$$(10) \qquad\qquad \leq re(n-r) - (r-1)y\binom{n}{r+1} - e(n-2r).$$

Consequently $\frac{(er)^2}{\binom{n}{r-1}} \leq re(n-r) - (r-1)y\binom{n}{r+1} - e(n-2r)$, and (6) follows by letting $e = x\binom{n}{r}$. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1. In this section we prove Theorem 1. We need some notations. Let $G = (V, E)$ be an r-graph and T be an $(r-1)$-subset of V. The neighborhood N_T is the set of $x \in V$ such that $\{x\} \cup T \in E$; and let $\overline{N}_T = V - T - N_T$. We define the *degree* $d_T = |N_T|$ and the *nondegree* $\bar{d}_T = |\overline{N}_T|$. The following lemma provides information on the structures of all r-graphs satisfying (4) for $r \geq 2$.

LEMMA 4. *Let G be an r-graph with $r \geq 2$ such that every $r+1$ vertices contain 0 or r edges. The following are true for every $(r-1)$-vertex set T.*

1. *$T \cup \overline{N}_T$ contains no edge of G.*
2. *For every vertex $x \in N_T$ and every $(r-1)$-subset $D \subseteq T \cup \overline{N}_T$, $\{x\} \cup D$ is an edge of G.*
3. *If $r \geq 3$, then either $d_T < 2$ or $\bar{d}_T < p - 1$.*

Proof. To prove Part 1, we need to show that for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, every i-subset of $\overline{N}_T$ and every $(r-i)$-subset of T together form a nonedge. We prove this claim by induction on i. The definition of $\overline{N}_T$ justifies the claim for $i = 1$. Suppose the claim holds for some $i \geq 1$. Consider $A \subseteq \overline{N}_T$ and $B \subseteq T$ with $|A| = i + 1$ and $|B| = r - i - 1$. Pick an $(r-i)$-set C such that $B \subset C \subseteq T$. We know that the $r+1$ set $A \cup C$ contains either 0 or r edges, and by induction hypothesis, none of the $i+1(\geq 2)$ i-subsets of A together with C form an edge. Therefore $A \cup C$ contains no edge; in particular, $A \cup B$ is not an edge.

To prove Part 2, we need to show that for $i = 0, \ldots, r-1$, every i-subset of $\overline{N}_T$, every $(r-1-i)$-subset of T, and x together form an edge. We again apply induction on i. The fact that $x \in N_T$ justifies the $i = 0$ case. Suppose that $A \subseteq \overline{N}_T$ and $B \subseteq T$ with $|A| = i+1$ and $|B| = r-i-2$ for some $i \geq 0$. Pick a set C such that $B \subset C \subseteq T$ with $|C| = r-i-1$. We know that the $(r+1)$-set $A \cup C \cup \{x\}$ contains either 0 or r edges. The induction hypothesis says that all the i-subsets of A form edges together with $C \cup \{x\}$. On the other hand, we know from Part 1 that $A \cup C$ is not an edge. Therefore $A \cup C$ is the unique nonedge in $A \cup C \cup \{x\}$, which implies that $A \cup B \cup \{x\}$ is an edge.

To prove Part 3, we assume instead that some $(r-1)$-set T satisfies $d_T \geq 2$ and $\bar{d}_T \geq p-1$. Let x, y be two vertices in N_T, and S be an $(r+p-2)$-subset of $T \cup \overline{N}_T$. By Part 2, every $(r-1)$-subset of S together with x or y form an edge. This forces that every $(r-1)$-subset of S together with x, y contains precisely r edges. Define an auxiliary $(r-2)$-graph H on S in which an $(r-2)$-subset R of S is an edge if and only if $R \cup \{x, y\}$ is a non-edge of G (here we need $r \geq 3$). For $n \geq k > t$, we call a t-graph on n vertices an $[n, k, t]$-system if every k vertices contain exactly one edge. Hence H is an $[r+p-2, r-1, r-2]$-system. The number of edges of H, $\binom{r+p-2}{r-1}/p$ is therefore an integer. This implies that p divides $\binom{r+p-2}{r-1} = \binom{r+p-2}{p-1}$, or $p!$ divides $(r+p-2)\cdots r$. Recall that p divides $r-1$ or $r \equiv 1 \bmod p$. We thus obtain a contradiction because $(r+p-2)\cdots r \equiv (p-1)! \neq 0 \bmod p$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that $r \geq 3$. By Lemma 4, Part 3, every $(r-1)$-subset $T \subset V(G)$ satisfies $d_T \leq 1$ or $\bar{d}_T \leq p-2$. We claim that if $n > (p-1)r$, then there always exists an $(r-1)$-vertex set T_0 with $d_{T_0} \leq 1$. In fact, suppose that $\bar{d}_T \leq p-2$ for all T. Then the number of nonedges is at most

$$\frac{\binom{n}{r-1}(p-2)}{r} = \frac{p-2}{n-r+1}\binom{n}{r} < \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{r(n-r+1)}\right)\binom{n}{r}$$

because $n > (p-1)r$. In other words, G contains more than $(1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r(n-r+1)})\binom{n}{r}$ edges. By Lemma 3, there exists an $(r+1)$-set which contains $r+1$ edges, contradicting (4).

Let $U = T_0 \cup \overline{N}_{T_0}$. Then $|U| \geq n-1$. Lemma 4, Part 1 says that U contains no edges of G. If $|U| = n$, G is the empty graph. Otherwise, $|U| = n-1$. Let $\{x\} = V(G) - U$. Lemma 4, Part 2 implies that G is the complete star with center x. □

4. Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $G = (V, E)$ is a K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph. We call an $(r+1)$-set $S \subseteq V$ **bad** if S contains i edges of G for some $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the family of all bad sets. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ consist of all $(r+p)$-subsets $Q \subseteq V$ such that some $(r-1)$-subset S of Q has exactly two neighbors in Q (i.e., $|N_S \cap Q| = 2$). Then in every induced subhypergraph $G[Q]$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, we have $d_S = 2$ and $\bar{d}_S = p-1$. Lemma 4, Part 3 thus implies that every $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ contains some $B \in \mathcal{B}$. For an arbitrary $(r+p)$-set $Q \subseteq V$, we define $f(Q)$ as the number of $(r-1)$-sets $S \subset Q$ such that $|N_S \cap Q| = 2$, and $w(Q)$ as the number of bad sets in Q. Then $w(Q) \geq 1$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $R(G) = \max_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} f(Q)/w(Q)$. The heart of the proof of Theorem 2 is the lemma below.

LEMMA 5. *For* $r \geq 3$, *let* p *be the smallest prime factor of* $r-1$ *and*

(11) $R \geq \max\{r-1, R(G) : G \text{ is a } K_{r+1}^r\text{-free } r\text{-graph}\}.$

Then the Turán density $x = \pi(K_{r+1}^r)$ satisfies

$$(12) \qquad x + \frac{r-1}{2R}x(1-x)^{p-1} \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3 used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, or the convexity of x^2. In order to prove Lemma 5, we instead use the convexity of $f(x) = x^2 + cx^2(1-x)^{p-1}$ with $0 < c \leq 1/2$. Since $f(x) > x^2$, this convexity helps us to get a tighter bound for $\pi(K_{r+1}^r)$.

PROPOSITION 6. *Let c, p be constants satisfying $0 \leq c \leq 1/2$ and $p \geq 2$. Then the function $f(x) = x^2 + cx^2(1-x)^{p-1}$ is convex on $[0,1]$.*

Proof. First let $p = 2$. From $f(x) = x^2 + cx^2(1-x)$, we derive that $f''(x) = 2 + 2c - 6cx$. Since $1 - 3x \geq -2$ and $0 \leq c \leq 1/2$, we have $f''(x) \geq 0$ on $[0,1]$.

Now assume that $p \geq 3$. We have

$$f'(x) = 2x + 2cx(1-x)^{p-1} - c(p-1)x^2(1-x)^{p-2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f''(x) &= 2 + 2c(1-x)^{p-1} - 4c(p-1)x(1-x)^{p-2} + c(p-1)(p-2)x^2(1-x)^{p-3} \\
&\geq 2 + 2c(1-x)^{p-1} - 4c(p-1)x(1-x)^{p-2} \\
&= 2 + 2cg(x),
\end{aligned}$$

where $g(x) = (1-x)^{p-1} - 2(p-1)x(1-x)^{p-2} = (1-x)^{p-2}(1 - (2p-1)x)$. It is not hard to see that $g(x) \geq -2(\frac{2p-4}{2p-1})^{p-2} > -2$ on $[0,1]$. Thus $f''(x) > 2 + 2c(-2) \geq 0$ and consequently $f(x)$ is convex on $[0,1]$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $G = G(n)$ be a K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph on n vertices with $x(n)\binom{n}{r} = \mathrm{ex}(n, K_{r+1}^r)$ edges. The fact that $w(Q) \geq 1$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and the definition of R give

$$\sum_{|S|=r-1} \binom{d_S}{2}\binom{\bar{d}_S}{p-1} = \sum_S \sum_{Q \supset S:|Q|=r+p,|N_S \cap Q|=2} 1 = \sum_{S,Q} \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}:B \subset Q} \frac{1}{w(Q)}$$

$$= \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}:B \subset Q} \frac{f(Q)}{w(Q)} \leq R|\mathcal{B}|\binom{n-r+1}{p-1}.$$

We thus obtain a bound for the number of bad sets:

$$(13) \qquad |\mathcal{B}| = y\binom{n}{r+1} \geq \frac{\sum_S \binom{d_S}{2}\binom{\bar{d}_S}{p-1}}{\binom{n-r+1}{p-1}R}.$$

We define a function $f(x) = x^2 + cx^2(1-x)^{p-1}$ with $c = \frac{r-1}{2R}$. The definition of R forces $c \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By Proposition 6, $f(x)$ is convex on $[0,1]$. For every $(r-1)$-set S, let $x_S = \frac{d_S}{n-r+1}$. The convexity of f gives

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_S x_S}{\binom{n}{r-1}}\right) \leq \frac{\sum_S f(x_S)}{\binom{n}{r-1}}.$$

Since $\frac{\sum_S x_S}{\binom{n}{r-1}} = \frac{\sum_S d_S}{(n-r+1)\binom{n}{r-1}} = \frac{e}{\binom{n}{r}} = x(n)$, we have $f\left(\frac{\sum_S x_S}{\binom{n}{r-1}}\right) = f(x(n))$.

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\sum_S f(x_S)}{\binom{n}{r-1}} = \frac{\sum_S (x_S^2 + cx_S^2(1-x_S)^{p-1})}{\binom{n}{r-1}} = \frac{\sum_S d_S^2}{(n-r+1)^2\binom{n}{r-1}} + c\frac{\sum_S d_S^2 \bar{d}_S^{p-1}}{(n-r+1)^{p+1}\binom{n}{r-1}}.$$

Using (10),

$$\frac{\sum_S d_S^2}{(n-r+1)^2\binom{n}{r-1}} \le \frac{re(n-r) - (r-1)y\binom{n}{r+1} - e(n-2r)}{(n-r+1)^2\binom{n}{r-1}}$$

$$= \frac{re(n-r) - e(n-2r)}{(n-r+1)^2\binom{n}{r-1}} - \frac{r-1}{(r+1)r}y + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Since $d_S, \bar{d}_S \le n$ for all S, we have $\sum_S d_S^2\bar{d}_S^{p-1} = O(n^{p+r-1}) + \sum_S 2(p-1)!\binom{d_S}{2}\binom{\bar{d}_S}{p-1}$ and

$$c\frac{\sum_S d_S^2 \bar{d}_S^{p-1}}{(n-r+1)^{p+1}\binom{n}{r-1}} = \frac{O(n^{p+r-1}) + \sum_S 2c(p-1)!\binom{d_S}{2}\binom{\bar{d}_S}{p-1}}{(n-r+1)^{p+1}\binom{n}{r-1}}$$

$$\le 2c(p-1)!\frac{R\binom{n-r+1}{p-1}y\binom{n}{r+1}}{(n-r+1)^{p+1}\binom{n}{r-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$= 2c\frac{R}{(r+1)r}y + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{r-1}{(r+1)r}y + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Putting these together, we have

$$f(x(n)) \le \frac{\sum_S f(x_S)}{\binom{n}{r-1}} \le \frac{re(n-r) - e(n-2r)}{(n-r+1)^2\binom{n}{r-1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)x(n) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

The claim (12) follows by letting $n \to \infty$ and substituting $f(x) = x^2 + \frac{r-1}{2R}x^2(1-x)^{p-1}$. $\square$

Trivially we can choose $R = \binom{r+p}{r-1}$—applying it in (12) already yields a better bound for $\pi(K_{r+1}^r)$ than (2). The following technical lemma refines our bound for R.

LEMMA 7. *For any K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph G with at least $r+p$ vertices,*

$$R(G) \le \begin{cases} \left(\dfrac{3r+2}{4}\right)^2, & p = 2, \\ \dbinom{r+1}{2} + \dbinom{r+p}{p-1}, & p \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Fix $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$. Let $q = r + p = |Q|$. We consider a p-graph H on Q whose edges are the complements of edges of G, namely,

$$E(H) = \{Q - e : e \in E(G), e \subset Q\}.$$

Therefore a $(p-1)$-subset $S \subset Q$ has degree i in H if and only if the $(r+1)$-set $Q \setminus S$ contains exactly i edges of G. Let W be the family of $(p-1)$-sets with degree i (in H)

for some $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$ (their complementary sets in Q are bad sets for G), and F be the set of $(p+1)$-sets containing exactly two edges of H (their complementary sets in Q have degree 2 in $G[Q]$). Following the definition of $w(Q)$ and $f(Q)$ in the beginning of the section, we have $|W| = w(Q)$ and $|F| = f(Q)$. Note that the degree of any $(p-1)$-set in H is at most r since G is K_{r+1}^r-free. We also know that $|W| = w(Q) \geq 1$ since Q contains at least one bad set. From now on we consider H as our underlying hypergraph (instead of G). Our goal is to obtain upper bounds for $|F|/|W|$.

The $p = 2$ case. Partition the vertex set Q into $W = \{x : 1 \leq d_{\{x\}} \leq r - 1\}$, $U = \{x : d_{\{x\}} = r\}$, and $Z = \{x : d_{\{x\}} = 0\}$. Since each vertex in U misses at most one vertex of Q, nonedges inside U form a matching M. Let $U_1 = U - V(M)$ consist of vertices in U not covered by M. The family F consists of all triples $T = abc$ such that $ab, ac \in E(H)$ and $bc \notin E(H)$. Clearly $T \subset W \cup U$ for all $T \in F$.

We partition $F = F_0 \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ such that F_0 consists of all $T \in F$ with $|T \cap W| \geq 2$, F_1 consists of those $T = abc$ with $b, c \in U$, and F_2 consists of those abc with exactly one of b, c in W. We have $|F_0| \leq \binom{|W|}{2}(q-2)$, and $|F_1| \leq |M|(q-2)$. Since $|M| \leq (q - |U_1| - |W|)/2$ and $|W| \geq 1$,

$$|F_0| + |F_1| \leq \binom{|W|}{2}(q-2) + \frac{1}{2}(q - |U_1| - |W|)(q-2)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}|W|(q-2)\left(\frac{1}{2}(|W|-1) + (q - |U_1| - |W|)\right)$$

(14) $$= \frac{1}{2}|W|(q-2)(q - |U_1| - 1).$$

To estimate F_2, let us consider a vertex $x \in W$. By definition of M, x is adjacent to all the vertices in $V(M)$. If x has t nonneighbors in U, then $t \leq |U_1|$ and the number of triples of F_2 containing x is at most

$$t(|U| - t) \leq \begin{cases} |U_1|(|U| - |U_1|) & \text{if } |U_1| \leq |U|/2, \\ |U|^2/4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

First assume that $|U_1| \leq |U|/2$. Since $|U| \leq q - 1$, we have

$$|F_2| \leq |W||U_1|(|U| - |U_1|) \leq |W||U_1|(p - 1 - |U_1|).$$

Together with (14) and using $|W| \geq 1$, we derive that

$$|F| \leq |W|\left(\frac{1}{2}(q-2)(q - |U_1| - 1) + |U_1|(q - 1 - |U_1|)\right)$$

$$\leq |W|\left(\frac{3q}{4} - 1\right)^2,$$

where equality holds when $|U_1| = q/4$. In contrast, when $|U_1| > |U|/2$, we have

$$|F| \leq |W|\left(\frac{1}{2}(q-2)(q - |U_1| - 1) + \frac{1}{4}|U|^2\right)$$

$$\leq |W|\left(\frac{1}{2}(q-2)(q - \frac{1}{2}|U| - 1) + \frac{1}{4}|U|^2\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}|W|(q-1)(2q-3),$$

where equality holds when $|U| = q - 1$ and $|U_1| = |U|/2$. It is easy to check that $\left(\frac{3q}{4} - 1\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}(q - 1)(2q - 3)$ for any q. We therefore conclude that $|F|/|W| \leq \left(\frac{3q}{4} - 1\right)^2 = \left(\frac{3r+2}{4}\right)^2$.

The $p \geq 3$ case. Partition F into $F_1 = \{S \in F : S \supset T \text{ for some } T \in W\}$ and $F_2 = F - F_1$. Clearly $|F_1| \leq |W|\binom{q-p+1}{2}$. We prove below that $|F_2| \leq \sqrt{|W|}\binom{q}{p-1}$.

Fix a $(p+1)$-set $S \in F_2$. By the definition of F_2, all $(p-1)$-subsets of S have either degree 0 or degree r in H (equivalently, nondegree 1), and S contains exactly two edges (p-sets) of H. We denote these two edges by $T \cup \{x\}$ and $T \cup \{y\}$, where $T \subset S$ is a $(p-1)$-set. We have two observations.

OBSERVATION 1. *For any $a \in T$, the $(p-1)$-set $T \cup \{x\} - \{a\}$ must have degree r since $T \cup \{x\} \in E(H)$ and $S - \{a\} \notin E(H)$. This implies that $T \cup \{x, u\} - \{a\} \in E(H)$ for any vertex $u \notin S$.*

OBSERVATION 2. *For two distinct vertices $a, b \in T$, the $(p - 1)$-set $S - \{a, b\}$ must have degree 0 since two p-sets $S - \{a\}, S - \{b\}$ are not edges. Note that we need $|T| \geq 2$ or $p \geq 3$ here.*

We define a function $g : F_2 \to \binom{Q}{p-1}$ such that $g(S) = T$. If g is a one-to-one function, then $|F_2| \leq \binom{q}{p-1} \leq \sqrt{|W|}\binom{q}{p-1}$. Otherwise, let T be a $(p-1)$-set with the maximum size of $g^{-1}(T) = \{S \in F_2 : g(S) = T\}$. Let M consist of all 2-sets $S - T$ such that $S \in g^{-1}(T)$. Then $|M| = |g^{-1}(T)| \geq 2$.

We first claim that M is a matching; namely, any two pairs in M are disjoint. Suppose instead $xy, xz \in M$. For any $a \in T$, the $(p-1)$-set $\{x\} \cup T - \{a\}$ is contained in two nonedges $\{x, y\} \cup T - \{a\}$ and $\{x, z\} \cup T - \{a\}$, contradicting Observation 1. We next claim that $\{x, y\} \cup T - \{a, b\} \in W$ for two pairs $P, Q \in M$, any vertices $x \in P$, $y \in Q$, and any two vertices $a, b \in T$. In fact, Observation 2 says that $T_P = P \cup T - \{a, b\}$ has degree 0, and consequently $\{y\} \cup T_P$ is a nonedge. Similarly $\{x\} \cup T_Q$ is also a nonedge with $T_Q = Q \cup T - \{a, b\}$. On the other hand, we know that $\{x, y\} \cup T - \{a\}$ is an edge from Observation 1. Hence $\{x, y\} \cup T - \{a, b\}$ has degree at least 1 and nondegree at least 2, and thus it belongs to W. This implies that $|W| \geq 2^2\binom{|M|}{2}\binom{p-1}{2} \geq |M|^2$ (since $|M| \geq 2$). We thus conclude that $\sum_{T \in R_2} |g^{-1}(T)| \leq \sqrt{|W|}\binom{q}{p-1}$.

Consequently $|F| \leq |W|\binom{q-p+1}{2} + \sqrt{|W|}\binom{q}{p-1}$ and $|F|/|W| \leq \binom{q-p+1}{2} + \binom{q}{p-1}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Let R be the upper bound for $R(G)$ in Lemma 7:

$$R = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{3r+2}{4}\right)^2, & p = 2, \\ \binom{r+1}{2} + \binom{r+p}{p-1}, & p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $R \geq r - 1$ and consequently $c = \frac{r-1}{2R} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let the Turán density $x = \pi(n, K_{r+1}^r) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$. The inequality (12) from Lemma 5 gives

$$\varepsilon \geq c\left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon\right)^{p-1} > c\left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^{p-1},$$

which implies that

$$\varepsilon > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{c}{c + r^{p-1}}$$

$$> \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{c}{r^{p-1}}\left(1 - \frac{c}{r^{p-1}}\right).$$

We now apply the definition of R to $c = \frac{r-1}{2R}$. When $p = 2$, this gives $\varepsilon > \frac{8}{9r^2} + O(1/r^3)$. When $p \geq 3$, we have

$$\varepsilon > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{r-1}{2r^{p-1}\left(\binom{r+p}{p-1} + \binom{r+1}{2}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{c}{r^{p-1}}\right)$$

$$> \frac{(r-1)^2}{2r^p\left(\binom{r+p}{p-1} + \binom{r+1}{2}\right)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^{p-1}}\right). \quad \square$$

5. Concluding remarks.

- Following the approach of Mubayi [13], we can improve (2) by Theorem 1 and supersaturation as follows. By Theorem 1, every K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph on $(p-1)r+1$ vertices either contains a bad set or has at most $\binom{(p-1)r}{r-1}$ edges. In fact, from a simple removing argument and the structure of the r-graphs that satisfy (4), we can show that every K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph on $n_0 = (p-1)(r+1)$ vertices either contains $p-1$ bad sets or has at most $\binom{n_0-1}{r-1}$ edges. By averaging arguments and (5), every K_{r+1}^r-free r-graph with $n \geq n_0$ vertices and $x\binom{n}{r}$ edges contains at least

$$\frac{x - \frac{r}{n_0}}{1 - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r(n_0-r+1)} - \frac{r}{n_0}} \frac{p-1}{\binom{n_0}{r+1}} \binom{n}{r+1}$$

bad sets—this technique is usually called *supersaturation* [6]. By (6) in Lemma 3, as $r \to \infty$,

$$\pi(K_{r+1}^r) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - (1 + o(1)) \frac{p-2}{\binom{(p-1)(r+1)}{r+1} r(p-1)},$$

which is weaker than Theorem 2. This suggests that (13) gives a better estimate on the number of bad sets than the one from supersaturation.

- The bound $n > r(p-1)$ in Theorem 1 is tight for odd r and $r = 4$, but we do not know if it is tight for any even number $r > 4$. The bound for R in Lemma 7 is near tight for $p = 2$ and tight up to a constant factor for $p = 3$, but we do not know if it is tight for $p > 3$.

- Let us consider K_5^4. The following bounds are due to Giraud [8, 9].

(15) $$\frac{11}{16} \leq \pi(K_5^4) \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Sidorenko showed [14] that $\pi(K_5^4) \leq 0.74912$ and conjectured [16] that the lower bound above gives the correct value. Applying (12) with $R = 13$ (the proof of $R = 13$ is not very hard but not simple either), we derive that $\pi(K_5^4) \leq 0.74439$. Markström [12] recently obtained a much better bound $\pi(K_5^4) \leq 0.73655$ by determining $\mathrm{ex}(n, K_5^4)$ for $n \leq 16$ and applying the simple bound $\pi(K_5^4) \leq \mathrm{ex}(n, K_5^4)/\binom{n}{4}$ ($\mathrm{ex}(n, K_5^4)$ was only known [3] for $n \leq 10$ before).

- For any r-graph F with f edges, Sidorenko [15] used an analytic approach to obtain

(16) $$\pi(F) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{f-1}.$$

When $F = K_{r+1}^r$, $f = r + 1$, and this gives (2). An r-graph is called a forest if there is an ordering of its edges $E_1, \ldots, E_t$ such that for every $i = 2, \ldots, t$, there exists $j_i < i$ such that $(E_i \cap \cup_{\ell=1}^{i-1} E_\ell) \subseteq E_{j_i}$. Keevash [11] found that the method of Sidorenko actually implies that $\pi = \pi(F)$ satisfies

$$(17) \qquad \qquad \pi^{t-1} + (f - t)(\pi - 1) \leq 0,$$

where t is the size of a largest forest contained in F. When $t = 2$, (17) reduces to (16); when $t > 2$, (17) improves (16). In our case (17) does not improve the $1 - 1/r$ bound for $\pi(K_{r+1}^r)$ because the largest forest in K_{r+1}^r has only two edges.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referees for their comments that improved the presentation.

REFERENCES

[1] D. DE CAEN, *Extension of a theorem of Moon and Moser on complete subgraphs*, Ars Combin., 16 (1983), pp. 5–10.

[2] D. DE CAEN, *The current status of Turán's problem on hypergraphs,* in Extremal Problems for Finite Sets (Visegrád, 1991), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 3, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1994, pp. 187–197.

[3] D. DE CAEN, D. L. KREHER, S. P. RADZISZOWSKI, AND W. H. MILLS, *On the coverings t-sets with t + 1-sets: C(9, 5, 4) and C(10, 6, 5)*, Discrete Math., 92 (1991), pp. 65–77.

[4] F. CHUNG AND L. LU, *An upper bound for the Turán number $t_3(n, 4)$*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 87 (1999), pp. 381–389.

[5] P. ERDŐS, *On the combinatorial problems which I would like to see solved*, Combinatorica, 1 (1981), pp. 25–42.

[6] P. ERDŐS AND M. SIMONOVITS, *Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs*, Combinatorica, 3 (1983), pp. 181–192.

[7] P. FRANKL AND Z. FÜREDI, *An exact result for 3-graphs*, Discrete Math., 50 (1984), pp. 323–328.

[8] G. GIRAUD, *Majoration du nombre de Ramsey ternaire-bicolore en (4, 4)*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 269 (1969), pp. A620–A622.

[9] G. GIRAUD, *Remarques sur deux problèmes extrémaux*, Discrete Math., 84 (1990), pp. 319–321.

[10] G. KATONA, T. NEMETZ, AND M. SIMONOVITS, *On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs*, Mat. Lapok, 15 (1964), pp. 228–238.

[11] P. KEEVASH, *The Turán problem for hypergraphs on fixed size*, Electron. J. Combin., 12 (2005), Note 11, pp. 1–6.

[12] K. MARKSTRÖM, *Extremal graphs and bounds for the Turan density of the 4-uniform* **K**$_5$, Discrete Math., 309 (2009), pp. 5231–5234.

[13] D. MUBAYI, *On hypergraphs with every four points spanning at most two triples*, Electron. J. Combin., 10 (2003), Note 10, pp. 1–4.

[14] A. SIDORENKO, *The method of quadratic forms in a combinatorial problem of Turán*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh., 76 (1982), pp. 3–6 (in Russian).

[15] A. SIDORENKO, *An analytic approach to extremal problems for graphs and hypergraphs*, in Extremal Problems for Finite Sets (Visegrád, 1991), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 3, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1994, pp. 423–455.

[16] A. SIDORENKO, *What we know and what we do not know about Turán numbers*, Graphs Combin., 11 (1995), pp. 179–199.

[17] A. SIDORENKO, *Upper bounds for Turán numbers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 77 (1997), pp. 134–147.

[18] J. TALBOT, *Chromatic Turán problems and a new upper bound for the Turán density of $\mathcal{K}_4^-$*, European J. Combin., 28 (2007), pp. 2125–2142.

[19] P. TURÁN, *On an extremal problem in graph theory*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok, 48 (1941), pp. 436–452.