

NOTES IN COMMUTATIVE ALGEBRA: PART 1

KELLER VANDEBOGERT

1. RESULTS/DEFINITIONS OF RING THEORY

It is in this section that a collection of standard results and definitions in commutative ring theory will be presented. For the rest of this paper, any ring R will be assumed commutative with identity. We shall also use " $=$ " and " \cong " (isomorphism) interchangeably, where the context should make the meaning clear.

1.1. The Basics.

Definition 1.1. A maximal ideal is any proper ideal that is not contained in any strictly larger proper ideal. The set of maximal ideals of a ring R is denoted $\text{m-Spec}(R)$.

Definition 1.2. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is such that for any $a, b \in R$, $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$ implies that a or $b \in \mathfrak{p}$. The set of prime ideals of R is denoted $\text{Spec}(R)$.

Definition 1.3. The radical of an ideal I , denoted \sqrt{I} , is the set of $a \in R$ such that $a^n \in I$ for some positive integer n .

Definition 1.4. A *primary* ideal \mathfrak{p} is an ideal such that if $ab \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $b^n \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some positive integer n .

In particular, any maximal ideal is prime, and the radical of a primary ideal is prime.

Date: September 3, 2017.

Definition 1.5. The notation (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) shall denote the local ring R which has unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field $k := R/\mathfrak{m}$.

Example 1.6. Consider the set of smooth functions on a manifold M . Define an equivalence relation by considering f and g related at a point $p \in M$ if there exists a neighborhood U of p such that $f|_U = g|_U$. Then, let $[f]$ denote the class of f , which is referred to as its *germ*. The set of germs at p is a commutative local ring, with $[f] + [g] := [f + g]$, and $[f][g] := [fg]$. The maximal ideal is precisely the set of functions such that $f(p) = 0$.

Example 1.7. Given a commutative C^* -algebra A , set $X = \text{m-Spec}(A)$. Then $A/J = \mathbb{C}$ for all $J \in X$ by the Gelfand-Mazur theorem. Hence there exists a naturally defined homomorphism

$$\pi_J : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Now, to each $a \in A$ associate a function \hat{a} on X defined by

$$\hat{a}(J) = \pi_J(a)$$

Then \hat{a} is called the *Gelfand Transform* of $a \in A$. It is due to a result of Gelfand-Naimark that if A is unital, the Gelfand transform is an isomorphism of A onto the space of continuous functions on X .

Definition 1.8. The Jacobson radical $J(R)$ is the intersection of all maximal ideals of the ring R .

The Jacobson radical has a nice characterization:

Proposition 1.9. *If $a \in J(R)$, then $1 + a$ is a unit. Moreover, $J(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 + Rx \subset R^\times\}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $a \in J(R)$. Then, $1 + ax \notin \mathfrak{m}$ for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , since else $1 = m - ax \in \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. Hence, $(ax + b) = R$ so that $r(1 + ax) = 1$ for some $r \in R$.

Conversely, argue by contraposition. If $a \notin J(R)$, then we can find a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$ so that $(a) + \mathfrak{m} = R$. Thus there exists $r \in R$ and $m \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $ra + m = 1$. But then $m = 1 - ra \in 1 + Ra$, and m is not a unit, so we are done. \square

Example 1.10. For any local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) , $J(R) = \mathfrak{m}$, and the set of units R^\times is merely $R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. Indeed, R is local if and only if $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ consists entirely of units.

Definition 1.11. An R -module M will be called finitely generated if there is a finite set $\{x_i\}$ such that given $x \in M$ there exists $r_i \in R$ for which $x = r_1x_1 + \cdots + r_nx_n$. The category of all finitely generated R -modules will be denoted by $\text{mod } R$.

Example 1.12. In the above, if R is a field, then we merely have a vector space of dimension $n < \infty$.

The following is used to prove a fundamental result in commutative algebra known as Nakayama's Lemma.

Theorem 1.13. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module with \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . If $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ is an R -module homomorphism such that $\phi(M) \subset \mathfrak{a}M$ then there exists a monic polynomial $p(x) \in \mathfrak{a}[x]$ such that $p(\phi) = 0$. More precisely, there exist $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \phi^n + a_1\phi^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}\phi + a_n = 0$$

Proof. Choose a generating set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then, for each i , we have that $\phi(x_i) = \sum_j a_{ij}x_j$ where each $a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Subtracting, the above can be stated concisely as $\sum_j (\delta_{ij}\phi - a_{ij})x_j = 0$ (δ_{ij} denotes Kronecker delta). Then, if A is defined to be the matrix with entries $(\delta_{ij}\phi - a_{ij})$ as the i, j entry, we see that $Av = 0$ for v the column matrix of generators (x_i) .

Multiplying by the adjugate of A , we find that $\det(A)x_i = 0$ for each i and hence $\det(A)M = 0$. Employing the standard Laplace expansion for $\det(A)$ yields our monic polynomial, so we are done. □

Remark 1.14. We can actually conclude further by noting the form of our determinant that $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}^i$ where the a_i are as in (1.1).

Lemma 1.15 (Nakayama's Lemma). *Let M be a finitely generated R -module and I an ideal of R such that $M = IM$. Then there exists $a \in I$ such that $(1 + a)M = 0$.*

Proof. Using the previous theorem, our ϕ is simply the identity mapping. Then, we find that $M + (a_1 + \dots + a_n)M = 0$. Setting $a_1 + \dots + a_n = a \in I$, we have that $(1 + a)M = 0$. □

Example 1.16. If $I \subset J(R)$ in the above, then $(1 + a)$ is a unit and we conclude further that $M = 0$.

Example 1.17. Suppose again that $I \subset J(R)$, but assume that $M = N + IM$ for some submodule N of M . Then, we see that $M/N = I(M/N)$, and employing the previous example, $M/N = 0$ so that $M = N$.

Consider the following construction: given an R -module M over a local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) , take the quotient $M/\mathfrak{m}M \cong k \otimes M$. As a module over a field, this is actually a vector space. Choose a basis $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ of this vector space and consider the preimage $x_i \in M$ of each \bar{x}_i with respect to the canonical projection. Then it is obvious that any proper subset of this set of generators cannot generate M (since else it would have to generate the vector space $k \otimes M$). Also, the set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ generates all of M since for any $x \in M$ its image in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is in the span of our $\{\bar{x}_i\}$. Taking the preimage of this linear combination, we find that $x = r_1x_1 + \dots + r_nx_n$ for some $r_i \in R$. This motivates the following:

Definition 1.18. Let X be a generating set for an R -module M . If no proper subset of X generates M , then X is called a minimal basis.

In general, minimal bases need not contain the same number of elements. However, by our above construction, we have the following result for local rings:

Theorem 1.19. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring.*

- (1) *For any basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$, its preimage will be a minimal basis of M .*
- (2) *Conversely, every minimal basis is obtained in this manner.*
- (3) *Given any two minimal bases $\{x_i\}, \{y_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, the matrix (a_{ij}) such that $y_i = \sum_j a_{ij}x_j$ is invertible over R .*

We conclude this section by defining two fundamental rings.

Definition 1.20. A ring is called *Noetherian* if every ascending chain of ideals eventually stabilizes. This is often called the ascending chain condition (ACC).

Definition 1.21. A ring is called *Artinian* if any descending chain of ideals eventually stabilizes. Similarly, this is often called the descending chain condition (DCC).

And we have the following

Theorem 1.22 (Akizuki). *Every Artinian ring is Noetherian.*

1.2. Localization of a Ring/Module.

Definition 1.23. Let S be a multiplicative submonoid (hereafter referred to as a multiplicative subset) of a ring R . Then, the localization (or the ring of fractions) of R with respect to S is denoted either $S^{-1}R$ or R_S and is the set of equivalence classes of the form a/s with $a \in R$, $s \in S$. Two elements a/s and b/t are considered equivalent if $r(at - sb) = 0$ for some $r \in S$.

Addition is defined analogously to that of \mathbb{Q} : $\frac{r}{s} + \frac{r'}{s'} = \frac{rs' + r's}{ss'}$, and multiplication as well: $\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{r'}{s'} = \frac{rr'}{ss'}$.

Taking note of the definition of a prime ideal, we see that for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ that if $a, b \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $ab \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, the complement of a prime ideal is a natural multiplicative subset, motivating our next definition.

Definition 1.24. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Then the localization of a ring R at \mathfrak{p} , denoted $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, is the ring $S^{-1}R$ with $S = R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$.

It is easy to see that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, since any element r not belonging to \mathfrak{p} has inverse $\frac{1}{r}$. Indeed, the localization

$R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ induces a natural one to one correspondence between the prime ideals contained in p and the prime ideals of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by considering the natural inclusion $r \mapsto r/1$.

Localization of an R -module M is defined in a similar fashion, with our equivalence classes being of the form m/s , with $m \in M$ and $s \in S$. We consider $m/s = m'/s'$ if there exists $t \in S$ such that $t(ms' - m's) = 0$. Addition is defined as expected, and multiplication by elements of R is defined as $r \frac{m}{s} := \frac{rm}{s}$. In this way, it is clear that $S^{-1}M \cong S^{-1}R \otimes_R M$.

Definition 1.25. The support of a module M , denoted $\text{Supp } M$, is defined as:

$$\text{Supp } M := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}$$

Localization tends to behave very well with respect to other ring/module operations. For example, we have that $S^{-1}R/S^{-1}I \cong S^{-1}(R/I)$ (on the right we are actually localizing at the image of S in R/I). Using this, we will use the notation $k(\mathfrak{p})$ to denote the residue field $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong (R/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the local ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Localization of a ring also retains much of the structure of the original ring R , as shown in the following

Theorem 1.26. *Let R be a ring, S a multiplicative subset.*

- (1) *All ideals of $S^{-1}R$ are of the form $S^{-1}I$, where I is an ideal of R .*
- (2) *Every prime ideal of $S^{-1}R$ is of the form $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal disjoint from S .*

Definition 1.27. An A -algebra B is a ring B equipped with a ring homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$.

If B is an A -algebra, then it has a natural A -module structure by defining the action of A as $a \cdot b = \phi(a)b$. How does localization of A affect B ? Letting A_S denote the localization with respect to S , we want to make $B_{\phi(S)}$ into an A_S -algebra. However, the action is obvious in this case. Define

$$\frac{a}{s} \cdot \frac{b}{\phi(t)} := \frac{\phi(a)b}{\phi(st)}$$

(Of course, one would need to check that $\phi(S)$ remains multiplicative, but this is a trivial exercise.) This leads into the more general result:

Theorem 1.28. *Let A be a ring with $S \subset A$ a multiplicative set. Denote by $\psi : A \rightarrow A_S$ by the natural inclusion. If B is an A -algebra (with mapping ϕ) and there exists a homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow A_S$ such that $\psi = g \circ \phi$ and such that for every $b \in B$ there exists $s \in S$ such that $\phi(s) \cdot b \in \phi(A)$.*

Then, $A_S = B_{\phi(S)}$, and $\phi(S)$ consists precisely of the elements $b \in B$ such that $g(b)$ is a unit in A_S .

Using the above theorem, the most natural first situation is to consider a ring A with a multiplicative subset S , and suppose there exists some intermediate ring B such that $A \subset B \subset A_S$. Then, the mappings ϕ and g as above merely become inclusions, and we only need worry about when there exist $b \in B$ such that $bs = 0$ for some $s \in S$. We immediately deduce

Corollary 1.29. *Suppose $A \subset B \subset A_S$. If S contains no zero divisors, then A_S is also a ring of fractions for B . More precisely, $A_S = B_S$.*

And the following are also consequences of 1.28.

Corollary 1.30. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Then, if B satisfies the conditions of 1.28, we have that $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = B_P$, where $P = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap B$.*

Corollary 1.31. *Given two multiplicative sets S and T with $S \subset T$, we have that $(A_S)_{T'} = A_T$ (where T' denotes the image of T in A_S).*

We can now move on to some results which show how properties holding in a family of localizations of an R -module M give valuable information about M itself.

As a warm up, consider an element x such that the image of x in $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is 0 for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . That means that for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , there exists some $s \in \mathfrak{m}^c$ such that $sx = 0$. Thus $s \in \text{Ann } x$, and since this holds for every \mathfrak{m} , we see that $\text{Ann}(x)$ is not contained in any maximal ideal so that $\text{Ann}(x) = R \implies x = 0$. We have proved

Theorem 1.32. *Let R be a ring, M an R -module with $x \in M$. If $x = 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} , then $x = 0$.*

Using the above in combination with Nakayama's Lemma yields

Theorem 1.33. *Let R be a ring and M a finitely generated R -module. If $M \otimes_R k(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , then $M = 0$.*

And, more generally:

Theorem 1.34. *Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism with M a finite B module. If $M \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, then $M = 0$.*