

- . The goal of this problem is to justify a proclaimed equivalency from the §2.2 handout.
 Let P , Q , and R be statements.

1. Complete the below truth table for the two compound statements:

$$(P \vee Q) \implies R \qquad \text{and} \qquad (P \implies R) \wedge (Q \implies R)$$

You may just put in the appropriate boxes directly below either T or F.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
P	Q	R	$P \vee Q$	$(P \vee Q) \implies R$	$(P \implies R)$	$(Q \implies R)$	$(P \implies R) \wedge (Q \implies R)$
T	T	T	?	?	?	?	?
T	T	F					
T	F	T					
T	F	F					
F	T	T					
F	T	F					
F	F	T					
F	F	F					

2. Is $[(P \vee Q) \implies R]$ logically equivalent to $[(P \implies R) \wedge (Q \implies R)]$? Justify your answer by using part (1) of this problem (you can **not** use the logical equivalences in §2.2Handout/Theorem 2.8). Of course, use complete sentences.

.....

Cut this whole sentence out and then put your answer to part 2 down here.