

Four definitions (from number theory) used in the homework exercises.

- Def.** A  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is **prime** provided  $p \neq 1$  and the only natural numbers that are factors of  $p$  are: 1 and  $p$ . p78
- Def.** A  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  is **composite** provided  $c \neq 1$  and  $c$  is not a prime number. p78
  - ▷. The number 1 is neither prime nor composite. In Math 546 you will learn 1 is a unit.
- Def.** An integer  $n$  is a **multiple of 3** provided:  $(\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}) [n = 3k]$ . p71
- Def.** A natural number  $n$  is a **perfect square** provided:  $(\exists k \in \mathbb{N}) [n = k^2]$ . p70

- Def.** The phrase *for all* (or its equivalents) is a **universal quantifier** and is denoted by  $\forall$ . p63  
 The phrase *there exists* (or its equivalents) is an **existential quantifier** and is denoted by  $\exists$ .

**Rmk.** The symbol  $\exists!$  reads *there exists a unique*. NotInBk  
 ⟨So  $\exists!$  means there exists one and only one. Compare to  $\exists$ , which means there exists at least one.⟩

**Rmk.** Priority/precedence when parentheses are excluded:  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  and  $\exists!$  have equal priority and NotInBk  
 come after the logical connective symbols:  $\sim$  (high, so do first),  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow$  (low, so do last).

**Statements with one quantifier**

►. Example/Terminology of statement with one qualifier. p64

quantifies the variable $x$	open sentence in the variable $x$
$(\forall x \in U)$	$[P(x)]$
} <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 5px;">a statement</span>	

Let $P(x)$ be an open sentence of the variable $x$ from the universe $U$ .		
a statement involving	often has the forms	the statement is true provided
universal quantifier $(\forall x \in U) [P(x)]$	For all $x \in U$ , $P(x)$ . For every $x \in U$ , $P(x)$ . For each $x \in U$ , $P(x)$ .	$P(x)$ is true for all $x \in U$ .
existential quantifier $(\exists x \in U) [P(x)]$	There exists an $x \in U$ <u>such that</u> $P(x)$ . There is an $x \in U$ <u>such that</u> $P(x)$ .	$P(x)$ is true for at least one $x \in U$ .
$(\exists! x \in U) [P(x)]$	There exists a unique $x \in U$ <u>such that</u> $P(x)$ .	$P(x)$ is true for precisely one (and only one) $x \in U$ .

?. Where does the phrase such that appear in the above chart?

**Def.** A **counterexample** to a statement is an example that shows the statement is false. p69  
 So a counterexample to a statement of the form  $(\forall x \in U) [P(x)]$  is an example that shows  $(\forall x \in U) [P(x)]$  is false; more specifically, an example/specific-element/constant  $c \in U$  for which  $P(c)$  is false.  
 <So to show a statement is false, we can find a counterexample to the statement.  
 To show a statement is true, we prove the statement.>

**Ex0.** Review our [Symbolically Write Guidelines](#). (either click the blue link or see next page)

**Ex1.O.** Do Example 1 part O. (The O is for original (statement)). (see last page)

**Thm. Negations of Quantified Statements.** For an open sentence  $P(x)$ , Thm2.16  
p67

$$\sim \{ (\forall x \in U) [P(x)] \} \equiv (\exists x \in U) [\sim P(x)]$$

$$\sim \{ (\exists x \in U) [P(x)] \} \equiv (\forall x \in U) [\sim P(x)]$$

**Ex1.N.** Do Example 1 part N. (The N is for negation (of the original statement)). (see last page)

Henceforth, when asked to *symbolically write* a statement follow the below (unless otherwise stated).

- (1) If a statement is a quantified open sentence, then use needed quantifier(s) (e.g.:  $\forall$ ,  $\exists$ ,  $\exists!$ ).

Recall

- $\forall$  reads *for all*
- $\exists$  reads *there exists*
- $\exists!$  reads *there exists a unique*.

- (2) Use logical connectives symbols (e.g.:  $\sim$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\implies$ ,  $\iff$ ) instead of the English words.

- (3) Within an open sentence, you can use English words that are not logical connectives words.

E.g., within your open sentence, one can write: “ $x$  is even”.

Beware: “ $x$  and  $y$  are odd” should be expressed as “ $x$  is odd  $\wedge$   $y$  is odd”.

- (4) Within an open sentence, you can use math symbols that are not logical connectives.

So you may use, e.g.:  $x < \sqrt{2}$ ,  $x = y$ ,  $x + y = 17$ ,  $a|b$ ,  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$ .

- (5) Symbolically write the statement as it is stated (rather than something logically equivalent).

For example, the statement

$$\text{if a real number is larger than 3, then its square is larger than 9} \quad (1)$$

can be symbolically written as

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) [x > 3 \implies x^2 > 9] . \quad (\text{yes})$$

The statement in (1) is formulated as in (yes) so symbolically write (1) as (yes).

Do NOT symbolically write the statement in (1) as

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}) [x^2 \leq 9 \implies x \leq 3] \quad (\text{no})$$

since (no) is not as (1) is formulated. Do note (will help you later) that the statement in (yes)

is logically equivalent to the statement in (no) (since  $[P \implies Q] \equiv [(\sim Q) \implies (\sim P)]$ , think contrapositive); thus, if you need to prove the statement in (1), then you can prove (yes)

or (no) (choice is yours when proving).

**Statements with 2 Like Quantifiers**  
two  $\forall$  or two  $\exists$

- . As we saw in Example 1, we can interchange two  $\forall$  in a row. More specifically, let  $R(x, y)$  for an open sentence in the variables  $x$  in universe  $U_1$  and  $y$  in universe  $U_2$ . Then

$$[ (\forall x \in U_1) (\forall y \in U_2) [ R(x, y) ] ] \equiv [ (\forall y \in U_2) (\forall x \in U_1) [ R(x, y) ] ]. \quad (1)$$

Taking the negation of both sides of (1) gives (the un-useful negations)

$$\sim [ (\forall x \in U_1) (\forall y \in U_2) [ R(x, y) ] ] \equiv \sim [ (\forall y \in U_2) (\forall x \in U_1) [ R(x, y) ] ]. \quad (2)$$

Cleaning up the un-useful negations in (2) gives

$$[ (\exists x \in U_1) (\exists y \in U_2) [ \sim R(x, y) ] ] \equiv [ (\exists y \in U_2) (\exists x \in U_1) [ \sim R(x, y) ] ]. \quad (3)$$

Denote the open sentence  $\sim R(x, y)$  by the open sentence  $S(x, y)$  to see that (3) gives

$$[ (\exists x \in U_1) (\exists y \in U_2) [ S(x, y) ] ] \equiv [ (\exists y \in U_2) (\exists x \in U_1) [ S(x, y) ] ]. \quad (4)$$

So we can also interchange two  $\exists$  in a row, as seen by (4)

**⚠. Lesson Learned.** We can interchange/switch the order of 2 like/same quantifiers in a row !!!!

- ?. **Question.** What if we have two *mixed* quantifiers, i.e. one  $\exists$  and one  $\forall$ ?  
Can we still interchange the order of the quantifiers? We will find out in the next example.

**Statements with 2 Mixed Quantifiers**  
one  $\forall$  and one  $\exists$

	Symbolic Form	English Form
Statement	$(\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}) (\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}) [x + y = 0]$	There exists an integer $x$ such that for each integer $y$ , we have $x + y = 0$ .
Negation	$(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) (\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}) [x + y \neq 0]$	For each integer $x$ , there exists an integer $y$ such that $x + y \neq 0$ .

	Symbolic Form	English Form
Statement	$(\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) (\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}) [x + y = 0]$	For each integer $x$ , there is an integer $y$ such that $x + y = 0$ .
Negation	$(\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}) (\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}) [x + y \neq 0]$	There is an integer $x$ such that for each integer $y$ , we have $x + y \neq 0$ .

**Ex 2.** What about interchange the order of two mixed quantifiers? circle one: yes or no

**Ex 1.** Read the [Symbolically Write Guidelines](#), which are posted on the course Handout page.

Below are variants of statements from previous Exercises. For each Exercise:

O. Symbolically write (using quantifiers) the original statement.

Then indicate whether the original statement is true or false (no justification needed).

N. Symbolically write (using quantifiers) a useful negation of the original statement. Box your answer.

Then indicate whether the negation of the original statement is true or false (no justification needed).

**1.1.** If  $m$  is an odd integer, then  $5m + 6$  is an even integer.

≈ER1.2.4b  
p27

**1.2.** If  $m$  and  $n$  are odd integers, then  $mn + 7$  is an even integer.

I.e., The sum of 7 and the product of 2 odd integers is an even integer.

ER1.2.4c  
p27

**1.3.** If  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are integers, then  $ab^2 + a^3c^4 + a^5b^6c^7 + a^{100}b^{101}c^{102}$  is an odd integer.

≈ER1.2.7a  
p28