## LaTex Help

**Def.** A nonzero integer m <u>divides</u> an integer n, denoted m|n, provided that  $(\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}) [qm = n]$ . P82 **Remark**. The notation for a  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\neq 0}$  not dividing  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is  $m \nmid n$ .

## Warning

Henceforth, when asked to symbolically write a statement, do so using quanifiers.

## Exercises

**Exercise 1.** A variant of Exercise 3.1.3c.

**Conjecture 1**. For all integers a, b, and c with  $a \neq 0$ , if a divides b - 1 and a divides c - 1, then a divides bc - 1.

**ER 1i.** Sybolically write Conjecture 1. As universes, use  $\mathbb{Z}$  and/or  $\mathbb{Z}^{\neq 0}$  and/or some cross product of these. Hint: don't forget needed parentheses, e.g., a|b-1 does not make sense and should be written as a|(b-1).

**ER 1ii.** Determine if Conjecture 1 is true or false. If Conjecture 1 is true, then write a formal proof of Conjecture 1. If Conjecture 1 is false, then provide a counterexample that shows (and clearly explains) why Conjecture 1 if false.

Exercise 2. A variant of Exercise 3.1.3h.

**Conjecture 2**. For all integers a, b, and c with  $a \neq 0$ , if a divides bc then a divides b or a divides c. **ER 2i.** Sybolically write Conjecture 2. As universes, use  $\mathbb{Z}$  and/or  $\mathbb{Z}^{\neq 0}$  and/or some cross product of these.

**ER 2ii.** Determine if Conjecture 2 is true or false. If Conjecture 2 is true, then write a formal proof of Conjecture 2. If Conjecture 2 is false, then provide a counterexample that shows (and clearly explains) why Conjecture 2 if false.

Exercise 3. A variant of Exercise 3.1.6b.

**Theorem 3.** For each integer a, if there exists an integer n such that a divides 9n+5 and a divides 6n+1, then a divides 7.

ER 3i. Sybolically written, Conjecture 3 is

$$(\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}) \left[ \left( \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \right) \left[ a | (9n+5) \wedge a | (6n+1) \right] \implies a | 7 \right].$$
(3s)

In attempts to simplify (3s) (so we can see better what is going on), we let

- P(a) be the open sentence  $(\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}) [a | (9n+5) \land a | (6n+1)]$
- Q(a) be the open sentence a|7
- R(a) be the open sentence  $P(a) \implies Q(a)$ .

p97

p96

p96

Then (3s) can be expressed as

 $(\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}) [P(a) \implies Q(a)]$  as well as simply just  $(\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}) [R(a)].$ 

Explain why R(0) is true. (If you are confused about the notation, see Example 2.10 on page 57.) ER 3ii. Prove Theorem 3.