

# CONTINUED FRACTIONS WITH PARTIAL QUOTIENTS BOUNDED IN AVERAGE

**Joshua N. Cooper**

*Department of Mathematics, Courant Institute of Mathematics, New York University, New York,  
NY 10012, USA*  
cooper@cims.nyu.edu

*Received: , Accepted: , Published:*

## Abstract

We ask, for which  $n$  does there exist a  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k < n$  and  $(k, n) = 1$ , so that  $k/n$  has a continued fraction whose partial quotients are bounded in average by a constant  $B$ ? This question is intimately connected with several other well-known problems, and we provide a lower bound in the case of  $B = 2$ .

## 1. Introduction

An important question in the theory of quasirandom permutations, uniform distribution of points, and diophantine approximation is the following: For which  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is it true that there exists an integer  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k < n$  and  $(k, n) = 1$ , so that  $k/n$  has a continued fraction whose partial quotients are bounded in average by a constant  $B$ ? That is, if we write  $k/n = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$ , we wish to find  $k$  so that

$$t^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^t a_i \leq B$$

for all  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq m$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(B)$  the set of all  $n$  for which such a  $k$  exists. These sets are discussed at length in [2] and the related matter of partial quotients bounded *uniformly* by a constant appears as an integral part of [6]. This latter question is closely connected with Zaremba's Conjecture ([8]), which states that such a  $k$  exists for all  $n > 1$  if we take  $B = 5$ .

Define the *continuant*  $K(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$  to be the denominator of the continued fraction  $k/n = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m]$ . In [3], it is proven that, if  $S_n(B)$  is the number of

sequences  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  bounded uniformly by  $B$  with  $K(\mathbf{a}) \leq n$  and  $H(B)$  is the Hausdorff dimension of the set of continued fractions with partial quotients bounded uniformly by  $B$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(S_n(B))}{\log n} = 2H(B).$$

Then, in [4],  $H(2)$  is calculated with a great deal of accuracy:  $H(2) \approx 0.53128$ . Therefore,  $S_n(2)$ , and thus the number of  $p/q$  with  $q \leq n$  whose partial quotients are bounded by 2, is  $n^{1.0625\dots+o(1)}$ . (This improves the previous best known lower bound,  $n^{\approx 1.017}$  computed in [3], slightly.)

Define  $\bar{S}_n(B)$  to be the number of sequences  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \leq n$  with partial quotients bounded *in average* by  $B$  so that  $K(\mathbf{a}) \leq n$ . Clearly,  $\bar{S}_n(B) \geq S_n(B)$ , so  $\bar{S}_n(2) \gg n^{1.0625}$ . In the next section, we prove something much stronger, however – an exponent of  $\approx 1.5728394$  – thus providing a lower bound in the first nontrivial case. Section discusses the implications for the density of  $\mathcal{F}(2)$  and a number of open questions.

## 2. The Proof

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\bar{S}_n(2) \gg n^{2 \log 2 / \log(1+\sqrt{2}) - \epsilon}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof consists of two parts: computing the number of positive sequences of length  $m$  bounded in average by 2, and then computing the smallest possible  $m$  so that  $K(a_1, \dots, a_m) > n$  and the  $a_i$  are bounded in average by 2.

First, we wish to know how many sequences  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  there are with  $a_j \geq 1$  for each  $j \in [m]$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^r a_j \leq 2r$  for each  $r \in [m]$ . Call this number  $T(m)$ . By writing  $b_j = a_j - 1$ , we could equivalently ask for sequences  $(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  with  $b_j \geq 0$  for each  $j \in [m]$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^r a_j \leq r$  for each  $r \in [m]$ . This is precisely the number of lattice paths from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(m, m)$  which do not cross the line  $y = x$ , and so  $T(m)$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Catalan number, or  $(m+1)^{-1} \binom{2m}{m} = 4^{n(1-o(1))}$ .

In the following lemmas, we show that  $K(a_1, \dots, a_m) \leq n$  if  $m \leq \log n(1 - o(1))/\log(1 + \sqrt{2})$ . Therefore, setting  $m$  as large as possible, we have at least

$$4^{\log n(1-o(1))/\log(1+\sqrt{2})} = n^{2 \log 2 / \log(1+\sqrt{2}) - o(1)}$$

sequences with partial quotients bounded in average by 2 and continuant  $\leq n$ .  $\square$

We must show that the size of a continuant with partial quotients bounded in average by  $B$  is at most the largest size of a continuant with partial quotients bounded by  $B$ .

**Lemma 2.** *If the sequence  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  of positive integers is bounded in average by  $B > 1$ , then  $K(a_1, \dots, a_m) \leq K(\underbrace{B, \dots, B}_m)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the Lemma by a “shifting” argument. That is, we perform induction on the size of the entry  $a_j$  such that  $a_j > B$  and  $j$  is as small as possible. If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$  contains no  $a_t > B$ , we are done, because increasing the partial quotients can only increase the continuant. If there is some  $a_t > B$ , let  $t \geq 2$  be the smallest such index. We consider two cases: (i)  $a_t \geq B + 2$  or  $a_{t-1} < B$ , and (ii)  $a_t = B + 1$ ,  $a_k = B$  for  $s \leq k \leq t - 1$  for some  $2 \leq s \leq t - 1$ , and  $a_{s-1} < B$ . (Clearly,  $\mathbf{a} \neq (B, B, \dots, B, B + 1, a_{t+1}, \dots, a_m)$ , since this sequence is not bounded in average by  $B$ . Therefore we may assume  $s \geq 2$ .)

Case (i):

Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m) = (a_1, \dots, a_{t-1} + 1, a_t - 1, \dots, a_m)$ . We show that  $K(\mathbf{b}) > K(\mathbf{a})$ . First, note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^r b_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^r a_j & \text{if } r \neq t - 1 \\ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j & \text{if } r = t - 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $a_t \geq B + 1$ ,  $(\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j) - a_t \leq (t - 1)B - 1$ , so  $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} b_j \leq (t - 1)B$ , and  $\mathbf{b}$  is bounded in average by  $B$ . Second, note that it suffices to consider the case of  $t = m$ , since, if  $K(b_1, \dots, b_j) > K(a_1, \dots, a_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ , then  $K(\mathbf{b}) > K(\mathbf{a})$ . (That is,  $K(\cdot)$  is monotone increasing.)

Let  $q_j = K(a_1, \dots, a_j)$  and  $q'_j = K(b_1, \dots, b_j)$ . (We use the convention that  $q_j = 0$  when  $j < 0$  and  $q_0 = 1$ .) Clearly,  $q_j = q'_j$  if  $j < t - 1$ . When  $j = t - 1$ , we have  $q'_{t-1} > q_{t-1}$  by monotonicity. When  $j = t$ ,

$$q_t = a_t q_{t-1} + q_{t-2} = a_t(a_{t-1} q_{t-2} + q_{t-3}) + q_{t-2} = (a_t a_{t-1} + 1) q_{t-2} + a_t q_{t-3},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q'_t &= (b_t b_{t-1} + 1) q'_{t-2} + b_t q'_{t-3} \\ &= ((a_t - 1)(a_{t-1} + 1) + 1) q_{t-2} + (a_t - 1) q_{t-3} \\ &= q_t + q_{t-2}(a_t - a_{t-1} - 1) - q_{t-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_t \geq a_{t-1} + 2$  and  $q_{t-2} > q_{t-3}$ , we have

$$q'_t \geq q_t + q_{t-2} - q_{t-3} > q_t.$$

Case (ii).

Now, assume that  $a_t = B + 1$ ,  $a_k = B$  for  $s \leq k \leq t - 1$  for some  $2 \leq s \leq t - 1$ , and  $a_{s-1} < B$ . Then define  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$  by letting  $b_j = a_j$  if  $j \neq s - 1$  and  $j \neq t$ ;  $b_{s-1} = a_{s-1} + 1$ ; and  $b_t = a_t - 1$ . Again, we may assume that  $t = m$ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^r b_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^r a_j & \text{if } r \neq t \text{ or } r < s - 1 \\ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} a_j & \text{if } s - 1 \leq r \leq t - 1. \end{cases}$$

For any  $r$  so that  $s - 1 \leq r \leq t - 1$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^r a_j = \sum_{j=1}^t a_j - \sum_{j=r+1}^t a_j \leq Bt - (B(t - r - 1) + (B + 1)) \leq Br - 1.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^r b_j \leq Br$  for all  $r \in [t]$ , and we may conclude that  $\mathbf{b}$  is bounded in average by  $B$ .

Define  $F(k)$  as follows:  $F(0) = 0$ ,  $F(1) = 1$ , and, for  $k > 1$ ,  $F(k) = BF(k - 1) + F(k - 2)$ . Then it is easy to see by induction that

$$K(\underbrace{B, \dots, B}_k, x) = F(k + 1)x + F(k),$$

since we have

$$K(y, c_1, \dots, c_r) = yK(c_1, \dots, c_r) + K(c_2, \dots, c_r). \quad (1)$$

Therefore,

$$K(a_{s-1}, \dots, a_t) = a_{s-1}((B + 1)F(k + 1) + F(k)) + (B + 1)F(k) + F(k - 1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K(b_{s-1}, \dots, b_t) &= (a_{s-1} + 1)(BF(k + 1) + F(k)) + BF(k) + F(k - 1) \\ &= K(a_{s-1}, \dots, a_t) + BF(k + 1) + F(k) - F(k) \\ &> K(a_{s-1}, \dots, a_t). \end{aligned}$$

This fact and (1) imply that  $K(\mathbf{b}) > K(\mathbf{a})$ , because  $b_j = a_j$  with  $j < s - 1$ . All other continuants of initial segments of  $\mathbf{b}$  are no smaller than the ones of  $\mathbf{a}$  by virtue of the monotonicity of  $K(\cdot)$ .

By repeating cases (i) and (ii) as appropriate, we will eventually reach a sequence of partial quotients bounded by  $B$ , and at each stage we have increased the corresponding continuant. The result therefore follows.  $\square$

It remains to find a bound on  $K(B, \dots, B)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $B \geq 1$ ,  $K(\underbrace{B, \dots, B}_m) \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(B + \sqrt{B^2 + 4})\right]^{m+1}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction. The case  $m = 0$  is trivial. Suppose it is true for all  $m < M$ . Then, by (1),

$$\begin{aligned} K(\underbrace{B, \dots, B}_M) &= BK(\underbrace{B, \dots, B}_{M-1}) + K(\underbrace{B, \dots, B}_{M-2}) \\ &\leq B \left[\frac{1}{2}(B + \sqrt{B^2 + 4})\right]^M + \left[\frac{1}{2}(B + \sqrt{B^2 + 4})\right]^{M-1} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(B + \sqrt{B^2 + 4})\right]^{M-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}B^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\sqrt{B^2 + 4} + 1\right) \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(B + \sqrt{B^2 + 4})\right]^{M+1}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

### 3. The Density of $\mathcal{F}(2)$

**Corollary 4.** *There is a constant  $C$  and a subset  $S$  of the positive integers such that  $\log |S \cap [n]| / \log n \geq \log 2 / \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - o(1) \approx 0.786$  and, for each  $n \in S$ , there exists a  $k \in [n]$ ,  $(k, n) = 1$  so that  $k/n$  has partial quotients bounded in average by 2.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be the set of all reduced fractions  $p/q$ ,  $1 < p < q$ , whose partial quotients  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$  are bounded in average by 2 and such that  $\mathbf{a}' = (a_2, \dots, a_m)$  is bounded in average by 2. The number of such  $\mathbf{a}$  with  $K(\mathbf{a}) \leq n$  is at least twice the

number of sequences  $\mathbf{a}' = (a_2, \dots, a_m)$  bounded in average by 2 with  $K(\mathbf{a}') \leq n/3$ , because, if  $[\mathbf{a}'] = p/q$ , then  $K(\mathbf{a}) = a_1q + p \leq 3K(\mathbf{a}') \leq n$ . (The fact that  $\mathbf{a}'$  is bounded in average by 2 implies that  $[a_1, \mathbf{a}']$  is, also, where  $a_1 = 1$  or  $2$ .) Then, since every rational has at most two representations as a continued fraction, the number of elements of  $U$  whose denominator is  $\leq n$  is at least  $\bar{S}_{n/3}(2)$ , which is at least  $n^{2 \log 2 / \log(1+\sqrt{2}) - o(1)}$ . Furthermore, if  $p/q = [\mathbf{a}]$  is in  $U$ , then  $[\mathbf{a}'] = (q - a_1p)/p$ , so  $p$  is the continuant of a sequence whose partial quotients are bounded in average by 2. If we denote by  $T_n$  the number of  $q \leq n$  such that there exists a  $p \in [q]$  with  $(p, q) = 1$  and  $p/q$  having partial quotients bounded in average by 2, then  $\bar{S}_{n/3}(2) \leq T_n^2$ . Therefore,  $\log T_n / \log n \gg \log 2 / \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - o(1)$ .  $\square$

Attempts by the author to find a generalization of the above result to  $\mathcal{F}(B)$  by applying much more careful counting arguments to the cases  $B > 2$  have failed thus far. It would be desirable, of course, to show that, as  $B \rightarrow \infty$ , the number of integers less than  $n$  for which there exists a  $k$ ,  $(k, n) = 1$ , such that  $k/n$  has partial quotients bounded by  $B$  is  $\gg n^{1-\epsilon}$ , but another idea is needed before this is possible. The largest known exponent is  $\approx 0.8368294437$  in the case of strict boundedness by  $B = 5$  (which is of particular interest because of Zaremba's conjecture), due to Hensley ([4]).

It would also be interesting to (i), calculate the Hausdorff dimension of the set of reals in  $[0, 1)$  whose partial quotients are bounded in average by  $B$ , and (ii), draw a connection, similar to that of the "uniform" case, between this quantity and the asymptotic density of  $\mathcal{F}(B)$ .

## References

- [1] J. N. COOPER, Quasirandom permutations, 2002, to appear.
- [2] J. N. COOPER, Survey of Quasirandomness in Number Theory, 2003, to appear.
- [3] T. W. CUSICK, Continuants with bounded digits, III, *Monatsh. Math.* **99** (1985), no. 2, 105-109.
- [4] D. HENSLEY, A polynomial time algorithm for the Hausdorff dimension of continued fraction Cantor sets, *J. Number Theory* **58** (1996), no. 1, 9-45.
- [5] G. LARCHER, On the distribution of sequences connected with good lattice points, *Monatsh. Math.*, **101** (1986), 135-150.

- [6] H. NIEDERREITER, Quasi-Monte Carlo methods and pseudo-random numbers, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1978), 957–1041.
- [7] G. RAMHARTER, Some metrical properties of continued fractions, *Mathematika* **30** (1983), no. 1, 117-132.
- [8] S. K. ZAREMBA, ed., “Applications of number theory to numerical analysis,” Proceedings of the Symposium at the Centre for Research in Mathematics, University of Montréal, Academic Press, New York-London, (1972).